

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Oakland, California; Note

Primary Credit Analyst:

Chris Morgan, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5032; chris.morgan@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Misty L Newland, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5073; misty.newland@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Related Criteria And Research

Summary:

Oakland, California; Note

Credit Profile

US\$55.0 mil TRANs ser 2014-2015 due 06/30/2015

Short Term Rating

SP-1+

New

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services assigned its 'SP-1+' short-term rating to Oakland, Calif.'s 2014-2015 tax and revenue anticipation notes (TRANs).

The rating reflects our opinion of the city's:

- Very strong coverage of principal and interest at maturity,
- Substantial alternative liquidity sources available for interfund loans at the maturity date, and
- Record of conservative projections relative to actual performance.

The notes are general obligations of the city and are payable from taxes, income, revenue, cash receipts, and other money that the city receives for the general fund for fiscal 2015.

As has been the case in prior years, the city has agreed to set aside funds in advance of the maturity dates in amounts equal to half of principal in March, the remaining half of principal in May, and interest in May. The city has resolved to deposit such funds with a fiscal agent in a dedicated account to be applied solely for the purpose of paying the principal and interest on the notes. The fiscal agent is required to invest the proceeds in accordance with the city's investment policy, which is governed by state guidelines that, among other elements, require the preservation of principal as the primary goal.

We consider the coverage at TRAN maturity to be very strong. Management projects that the city's general fund, on the TRANs' June 30, 2015 maturity date, will hold \$121.2 million, which would cover principal and interest by 3.17x. Management also projects that on the TRANs' March 2015 set-aside date, the general fund will hold \$67.2 million, which would cover principal and interest by a still very strong 3.44x, and the \$73.5 million that management projects for May 2014 translates into 3.78x. In addition, management has identified 35 other funds available for interfund borrowing, which it forecasts will hold \$73.5 million at the TRANs' maturity date. This would increase coverage to 4.48x, which we consider very strong.

Underlying these ratios is what management projects will be an overall 4.5% increase in receipts excluding TRANs, led by property taxes, which represent 31% of non-TRAN projected receipts. The city projects faster growth in its other leading taxes than it experienced in fiscal 2014, with business license taxes (9% of projected non-TRAN receipts) up 3% for fiscal 2015 and sales and use taxes (8%) up 2.6%. At the same time, the city projects taxes on property sales (8% of projected non-TRANs receipts) to step down 6.6% after a robust fiscal 2014. The city's projections show service charges, which can be rate-sensitive and include parking revenue and fees to utilities rising by 15%. The city's

projected disbursements also show an overall 4.5% rise for fiscal 2015 (excluding TRAN payments) using management's projections, led by a 14% rebound in operations and maintenance expenses (such as contracted services) and an 8% increase in salaries and benefits. We understand that the city eliminated employee furloughs in fiscal 2014 and that the bulk of its employee contracts run through fiscal 2015 with its contract with its firefighters tentatively approved and set to be renewed in July 2014.

The city's projections have been conservative in recent years, in our view. We calculate that actual coverage of TRANs maturing in fiscal 2012 was 2.57x compared with the 1.85x projected prior to their issuance and the fiscal 2013 ratio at 2.69x compared with 1.58x. For fiscal 2014, we calculate, based on management's latest estimates and projections, that coverage will be 2.81x, compared with 2.35x 12 months prior. Contributing to this positive variance in fiscal 2014 was a conservative beginning-cash assumption and faster-than-forecast increases in taxes on property sales and hotel stays. The city projects that such receipts will close fiscal 2014 at 41% and 15%, respectively, higher than the city projected at this time last year.

Encompassing slightly fewer than 400,000 residents and \$45 billion in market value, Oakland is situated in the core of the San Francisco Bay Area and at the nexus of the regional freeway and commuter rail networks. We consider its median household effective buying income to be strong, at 119% of the U.S. The city is home to one of the largest West Coast ports and has multiple major redevelopment projects in the planning or construction phases, including the first phase of a 3,100-unit residential project on its waterfront that broke ground in March 2014. The city's property tax base has surpassed its prior peak in fiscal 2009 and employment growth has continued, which has helped to drive the city's unemployment rate down to 11.3% for 2013 from a peak of 16.9% in 2010.

Related Criteria And Research

Related Criteria

USPF Criteria: Short-Term Debt, June 15, 2007

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2014 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.