Purnell, Daniel

From: Abney, Michelle
Sent: Tuesday, October 06, 2009 4:28 PM
To: Purnell, Daniel
Subject: RE: Public Records Act Request - Amendment to Ethics Complaint/PRR-090220
Importance: High

This request is consider completed. Responses were as follows:

Items I through3 were responded to by Donna Hom between September 23, 2009 and September 30, 2009. I have an email from her dated the 30th indicating that she responded and attached the records she provided.

Items 4 and 5 were responded to on October 6, 2009 by Sarah Bedford.

Item 6 was responded to on September 30, 2009 by me forwarding an email from Officer David Kozicki.

Thanks.

Michelle Taylor Abney
Open Government Coordinator
City Attorney's Office
(510) 238-2965
(510) 238-6500 (Fax)

From: Marleen Sacks [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, October 06, 2009 12:08 PM
To: Purnell, Daniel
Cc: Morodomi, Mark; Abney, Michelle
Subject: Fw: Public Records Act Request - Amendment to Ethics Complaint

Dear Mr. Purnell:

Below is a Public Records Act request that I submitted to the City on September 22, 2009. As previously mentioned, Government Code Section 6253(c) requires that a public agency, "within 10 days from receipt...determine whether the request...seeks copies of disclosable public records....and shall promptly notify the person making the request of the determination and the reasons therefore....When the agency dispatches the determination, and if the agency determines that the request seeks disclosable public records, the agency shall state the estimated date and time when the records will be made available."

I have received a response with request to item # 6 on the list below. However, I have received no response with respect to my other requests. Therefore, the City once again failed to provide a response within 10 days, as required by the law. I am requesting that my previously filed Public Ethics Complaint be amended to include this additional violation, as it is yet another example of the City's pattern and practice of failing to comply with the clear requirements of the PRA. The violation is particularly notable given the pendency of my complaint in this very issue. Please don't hesitate to