Dear Mr. Baker:

This is an official request for public records pursuant to the Public Records Act. I am seeking all records in the following categories:

1. All records referring or relating to the City Administrator's use of "outside experts" to interivew and select the Measure Y evaluators (RAND and Patricia Bennett et al), including, but not limited to, the names and titles of the outside experts used, and any correspondence between City officials and those outside experts, as well as all correspondence between Patricia Bennett, and her associates/subcontractors, and City officials.
2. All records that indicate that the Police Department credits the violence prevention programs funded by Measure Y for the significant crime reduction this year (as opposed to improved staffing and change in leadership etc.);
3. All records that refer or relate to Jeff Baker's current responsibilities and assignments outside of Measure Y, including his responsibility for staffing other committees (e.g. Community Policing Advisory Board).

Please email all documents to me electronically at this address. Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Marleen Sacks
[redacted]

Attachment 14A

Purnell, Daniel

From: Marleen Sacks [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, January 05, 2010 12:35 PM
To: Baker, Jeff
Cc: Morodomi, Mark; Purnell, Daniel
Subject: Fw: Request for Public Records; Ten-Day Notice

Jeff:

When I last heard from your office I was told that the records should have been sent on December 23, 2009. I sent you an email on January 1 and asked for an update but I have not heard from you. Could you please let me know when I can expect the additional documentation? Thanks.

Marleen

----- Forwarded Message ----
From: Marleen Sacks <[redacted]>
To: "Baker, Jeff" <jbaker@oaklandnet.com>
Sent: Fri, January 1, 2010 1:45:46 PM
Subject: Re: Request for Public Records; Ten-Day Notice

Jeff:

I received a packet of intformation from DHS. However, I'm not sure if you were planning to respond separately. I didn't get any information that relates to item No.1 below, as it relates to the use of an outside expert to hire the evaluator; I also didn't get any information regarding your role in staffing the Community Policing Advisory Board. Please let me know when I can expect responsive documents. Thanks.

Marleen

From: "Baker, Jeff" <jbaker@oaklandnet.com>
To: Marleen Sacks <[redacted]>; "Abney, Michelle" <MAbney@oaklandcityattorney.org>; "Morodomi, Mark" <MMorodomi@oaklandcityattorney.org>
Sent: Mon, December 14, 2009 4:06:05 PM
Subject: RE: Request for Public Records; Ten-Day Notice

Ms. Sacks:

Please be apprised that my office is in the process of gathering the records as requested in your Public Records Request of December 4, 2009. We anticipate completion and delivery of your request on or before December 23, 2009. Best Regards. jb

---

From: Marleen Sacks [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: Friday, December 04, 2009 12:25 PM
To: Baker, Jeff
Cc: Morodomi, Mark; David@donahue.com; Abney, Michelle
Subject: Request for Public Records

Attachment 14A

Purnell, Daniel

From: Marleen Sacks [redacted]
Sent: Monday, January 11, 2010 3:47 PM
To: Purnell, Daniel; Morodomi, Mark
Cc: Abney, Michelle
Subject: Re: Public Records Request

Dan:

Also, I submitted a records request to the City subsequent to No. 11, and Jeff Baker sent me an email indicating he would get me a response by December 23, 2009. When I returned from the winter break, I still hadn't gotten any response from him, so I sent him two emails. When I got no response to that, I called him and he said he should be getting me something in the next couple of days. (I think I spoke to him last Tuesday). So I STILL have gotten no response from him. Could you please check on this? Thanks.

Marleen

From: "Purnell, Daniel" <DPurnell@oaklandnet.com>
To: "Morodomi, Mark" <MMorodomi@oaklandcityattorney.org>; Marleen Sacks <[redacted]>
Cc: "Abney, Michelle" <MAbney@oaklandcityattorney.org>
Sent: Mon, January 11, 2010 3:25:22 PM
Subject: Public Records Request

Marleen and Mark:

In reviewing the summary matrix, I show the City not having responded to request No. 11? Could you please fill me in as to the status of that request?

I also show that there is still an outstanding issue with regard to the sufficiency of the City's response where it withheld or redacted information. While the Ethics Commission does not have the authority to make an in-camera review of the withheld information, it does have the jurisdiction to inquire whether the provisions of the Sunshine Ordinance were complied with, specifically Sections 2.20.240 and 2.20.250. I'm showing this as a potential issue in connection with request Nos. 1, 6, 7 and

Attachment 14A

8.

Would it be productive to discuss the Section 240 and 250 issues via telephone?

Please let me know your thoughts.