



CITY OF OAKLAND

MEMORANDUM

TO: HONORABLE MAYOR &
CITY COUNCIL

FROM: Donna Hom

SUBJECT: FY 2013-2015 Proposed Policy Budget

DATE: May 23, 2013

City Administrator

Date

Approval

/s/ Deanna J. Santana

5/23/13

The purpose of this memorandum is to transmit to the full City Council a second set of responses to questions raised by City Councilmembers regarding the Fiscal Year (FY) 2013-2015 Proposed Policy Budget. These are questions that either were not included in the supplemental memorandum dated May 13, 2013 given that the questions required more data, analysis, etc. or they were questions received after May 13 through Community Meetings or other venues. We have answered as many questions as possible, however, some questions still require more staff analysis, and as such, we will answer any remaining questions through an additional supplemental memo. To the extent that any additional information becomes available on any of the below questions, we will continue to update the City Council accordingly.

DISCUSSION

Issues from Community Budget Meetings

1) Provide a cost analysis for Employee Contributions and Cost of Living Adjustments (COLA) for miscellaneous employees at: 1%; 2%; 3%; 4%; and, 5%.

Please refer to Attachment A, which also includes as a reference the average compensation of miscellaneous employees by bargaining group.

2) Provide a cost to provide benefits to Temporary Part Time and Permanent Part Time employees.

Refer to the chart below for the cost to provide benefits to Temporary Part Time (TPT) employees. This table shows the current Kaiser rate applied to the City's TPT employee count. Also, please take note of the assumptions made in developing the calculations:

Temporary Part-Time (TPT) Employee Medical Costing		
Assumptions	TPT Employees	Total Cost
All Single (1) (2)	1395	\$ 7,275,363
All 2-Party (1) (3)	1395	\$14,550,726
All Family (1) (4)	1395	\$18,915,966
40%/30%/30% (1) (5)	1395	\$12,950,153

Assumptions:

- (1) Using 2013 data, total head counts of TPT employees
- (2) Assume all TPT are Single @65% Kaiser Rate
- (3) Assume all TPT are 2-Party @65% Kaiser Rate
- (4) Assume all TPT are Family @65% Kaiser Rate
- (5) Assume 40% Single, 30% 2-Party & 30% Family @65% Kaiser Rate

The table below shows the current Kaiser rate to Single (individual) employee, 2-Party and Family rates. The scenario assumes a 65% employer share and a 35% employee share:

	Kaiser Rate as of 1/2013	65%	35%
		<i>City Share</i>	<i>Employee Share</i>
Single	668.63	434.61	234.02
2-Party	1,337.26	869.22	468.04
Family	1,738.44	1129.99	608.45

At this time, staff is still working on developing the benefits costing for Permanent Part Time (PPT) employees and will be providing this information in a future supplemental report.

3) Provide clarification on the proposal to utilize \$2.6M in one-time “triple flip” funds for Affordable Housing.

The State Department of Finance (DOF) has again rejected the City of Oakland’s request and appeal for funding of project related staff costs through the current Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14A). In the absence of project related funds to cover the cost of staff needed to complete affordable housing projects, gap funding will be needed. Without funds to cover affordable housing staff who are assigned to complete projects on the ROPS, the City is at risk of breaching its financial obligations to those projects through FY 14-15. The City continues to explore all administrative remedies through ongoing discussions with DOF staff to get ROPS funding for the affordable housing project staff.

As a part of the Proposed Budget, there is a proposal to provide gap funding in the amount of \$2.6 million to cover Affordable Housing staff and programs in FY 13-14 in the event that the State Department of Finance continues to reject the City of Oakland's request and appeal for funding of project related staff costs through the current Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14A). The source of the \$2.6 million is from so-called 'triple flip' funds, or one time funds associated with the City's share of a property tax apportionment coming through the Alameda County Tax Collector's office. This policy alternative also includes a proposal to cover Affordable Housing staff and programs with 20% of the so-called 'Boomerang' funds coming back to the City as one of the taxing entities entitled to an annual apportionment of Alameda County property taxes. Prior to the dissolution of Redevelopment, 25% of Redevelopment tax increment was allocated to a fund for Affordable Housing development. Moving forward, in future budget years, it is projected that the annual amount of 'Boomerang' funds coming back to the City will be approximately \$15 million, therefore, approximately \$3.4 million would be allocated to Affordable Housing programs and staff in FY 14-15. These 20% of the annual 'Boomerang' funds would become a dedicated source of funding for Affordable Housing in Oakland.

The Proposed Budget proposes that the entire Affordable Housing staff, or seven filled positions, will be funded by one-time carry forward bond funds in FY 2013-14 and will be cut from the FY 14-15 budget. In the absence of another source of dedicated funding from the State to replace Redevelopment Tax Increment funding, the City's ability to underwrite Affordable Housing development and staff on an annual basis will be restricted to an annual diminishing source of Federal HOME funding. Oakland's HOME allocation has been reduced annually by 10%-30% and is expected to be reduced to approximately \$2 million in FY 2013. Only 10% of the HOME funds can be used for administration. With only a HOME allocation to underwrite the City's annual Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for affordable housing developments, Oakland's annual allocation will have been diminished from approximately \$13-15 million annually to \$2 million annually (and declining) in years to come.

4) Provide Clarification for FY 2013-2015 funding for the Rainbow Teen Center, also known as the Digital Arts and Culinary Academy (DACA).

At the March 6, 2012 City Council meeting, the City Council adopted one-time funding for DACA. The funding sources consisted of one-time funds from OPRCA Recreation Center Operations - Fund 1550 (\$67,000) and Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) fund (\$48,000), respectively. Specifically, the staff report stated:

This appropriation does not include maintenance, utilities, or any other O&M costs which have already been absorbed and on-going funds will need to be identified in the FY 2012-13 Mid-Year Budget cycle to keep the Center's operation funded.

In the FY 2012-13 Mid-Year Budget cycle, the City Council approved an additional CDBG funding was provided to continue to fund DACA operations on a one-time basis through June 30, 2013. As a result of this, the Administration did not make an assumption in the FY 2013-15

Proposed Budget that the City Council would appropriate on-going funding for DACA, given that another center (West Oakland Teen Center) does not have an on-going budget as well. As such, the option to appropriate on-going funding is including in the Policy Tradeoffs & Service Buybacks list in the Proposed Budget for the City Council to consider how it would like to fund these unfunded centers on an on-going basis. It should be noted that staff does not have authority to add items to the baseline budget without City Council approval.

5) What is the status of the Animal Shelter Volunteer Coordinator recruitment?

Recently, the City made an offer for the Volunteer Coordinator position to a candidate. Unfortunately, the candidate declined the job offer and as such, there are no viable candidates on the current eligibility list. The Oakland Police Department is working with Human Resources on recruitment. The job is posted on the city's website as of May 22, 2013. More information can be found in the link:

http://agency.governmentjobs.com/oaklandca/job_bulletin.cfm?JobID=645897

6) What is the current practice of issuing tickets on street sweeping? Why issue tickets when we don't sweep the street?

The current policy of the City is to enforce sweeping hours based on the posted signs, whether or not sweeping actually occurs. This policy is currently under review.

7) What is the cost adding of 100 Summer Jobs to the Mayor's Summer Jobs Program?

The cost of adding 100 Summer Jobs to the Mayor's Summer Jobs Program is \$150,000.

8) Provide clarification on Code Enforcement Inspection Services and whether the Department of Planning and Building is proposing to eliminate Code Enforcement Inspector positions.

Currently, Inspection Services (both Permit and Code Enforcement Inspections) are significantly understaffed a result of significant reductions in staffing over the last few years. In 2008, there were 14 Code Enforcement (CE) Inspectors plus two supervisors. Now in 2013, there are 4 CE Inspectors plus two supervisors. CE Inspection services receive, on average, 600 CE complaints per month. Each complaint requires a minimum of two inspections (initial and follow-up). Each CE Inspector handles five inspections per day, in addition to research, documentation, citizen meetings, etc.

The Proposed Budget does not cut any filled positions in Code Enforcement. However, the budget proposal does freeze current vacant positions. These positions are not being "cut" but will remain unfilled. This has the net effect of affecting the Department's ability to provide appropriate service levels in all programs. Adequate staffing of CE services would require thirteen (13) CE Inspectors to meet the workload demand.

Currently, the funding for the CE Inspection function is from the Development Service Fund, which has a projected negative fund balance of \$1.54 million at the end of this fiscal year. To fund additional 9 CE Inspectors, each position costs approximately \$131,721 in FY 2013-14 or \$1,185,489 for 9 positions and \$134,881 in FY 2014-15 or \$1,213,929 for 9 positions. If the funding comes from non-General Purpose fund, 32.84% overhead will need to be added.

9) Provide information from other Cities on the percentage of General Fund allocated to Police and Fire.

Please refer to the table below:

General Fund Budget Comparisons
Neighboring Cities & CA Cities
with 300K to 500K in population

City	% Allocated to Police & Fire
Sacramento	53%
Riverside	55%
Berkeley	55%
Bakersfield	55%
San Leandro	59%
Richmond	62%
Fremont	64%
Oakland	65%
Anaheim	66%
Alameda	68%
Long Beach	68%
Fresno	70%
Santa Ana	70%
Hayward	73%
Average	63%

All data are taken from published budgets on each City's website. If FY 2013-15 data were not available, then the most recent published budget document was used.

Questions not included (or additional information on questions that were included) in the May 13, 2013 Supplemental

1) Provide a list, scope of work, date entered, and dollar amount for consultant contract approved under the City Administrators contracting authority during FY11/12 and FY12/13

The Oracle financial system (Purchasing Module) does not have the ability identify approved City Administrator contracts and/or vendors by business type, professional contracts and/or goods and services (including consultant contracts). The Controller’s Office can provide a report for all contracts entered under the City Administrator’s contract authority—similar to the yearly information report provided to the Finance & Management Committee. Below is a link to the most recent report from January 2012:

<http://oakland.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=1693195&GUID=16EE4B4E-BB81-4647-9667-7D4597F3166E>

At the beginning of this year, the City Administrator proactively directed staff to find ways to be able to track this information accurately by categories. Information Technology (IT) is currently upgrading the Oracle into to Release 12, which is the updated platform for Oracle. As such, staff is currently determining whether this upgrade will include the ability to customize some of the reporting capabilities—including the ability to identify approved City Administrator contracts and/or vendors by categories. This upgrade will occur within this next year.

2) Please provide the Revenue and Operations and Maintenance (O&M) costs for all City garages

Please refer to the table below for the Revenue and O&M Expenditure for all City Garages:

Garage	FY 11-12		
	O&M Expenditure	Revenue	Net Revenue
Medical Hill ^{1, 2}	-	480,000.00	480,000.00
88 Franklin Garage ^{1, 2}	-	39,600.00	39,600.00
UCOP ²	(177,515.51)	287,170.55	109,655.04
City Center West Garage ²	(1,808,467.27)	2,493,974.60	685,507.33
Montclair Garage	(162,629.61)	404,442.45	241,812.84
Clay Garage	(411,856.16)	1,335,465.67	923,609.51
Dalziel	(253,263.29)	512,410.17	259,146.88
Franklin	(306,720.23)	944,791.62	638,071.39
Harrison	(203,004.30)	271,939.18	68,934.88

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

Subject: Proposed FY 13-15 Proposed Policy Budget

Date: May 23, 2013

Page 7

Telegraph	(285,665.31)	713,909.86	428,244.56
Willey Court House	(153,405.91)	211,888.94	58,483.03
Total	\$(3,762,527.58)	\$7,695,593.05	\$3,933,065.46

Notes:

1 For certain garages, the City receives rental income for the facility and do not budget O&M as the City is not directly paying a contractor to operate the garage.

2 The first four garages listed were transferred from the Redevelopment Agency and may be subject to future state action.

3) What is the annual cost to the City to pay delinquent garbage bills? How much is recovered?

The cost to pay delinquent garbage bill was \$8,340,301 in FY2011-12. The amount recovered in FY2011-12 was \$7,871,379. The difference of \$468,922 may be recovered in future years through the lien process.

4) What would be the on-going costs of maintenance and licensing for replacing the servers that handle the email for City employees?

On-going maintenance and licensing cost of a Microsoft Cloud based solution would be an estimated at \$600,000 annually. On-going maintenance and licensing cost of an On-Premise based solution (i.e. managed and support by City IT staff) is estimated to be between \$800,000 to \$900,000 annually.

5) Does the Proposed Budget add two paving crews? What is the impact to service if there is only one paving crew added? Paving crews assume four employees, can we assume 3 employees per paving crew instead?

The Policy Tradeoff & Service Buyback section of the Proposed Budget provides an option for the City Council to fund one four person **street patch crew** which could repave segments of blocks, rather than an 8 – 10 person street **paving crew** which can pave entire blocks. In either case, new equipment would be required, in addition to the costs for asphalt. For a street patch crew, below are the equipment and asphalt costs that would be needed:

Asphalt and Supplies:			
	Asphalt cost to Patch Streets		\$400,000
Equipment Acquisition:			
	Asphalt Patch Truck (One-Time Cost)		\$225,000
	Asphalt Grinder (One-Time Cost)		\$500,000
			\$725,000

As noted in the Proposed Budget, an added **street patching** crew could increase the number of blocks that could be rehabilitated to improve the riding surface, and repair minor and medium defects before major repairs are needed. Three individuals would be sufficient for a street *patch* crew, but not a street *paving* crew. The cost savings of reducing a 4 person street patch crew to a 3 person crew is \$96,174 (equivalent to the total compensation of 1 Public Works Street Maintenance Worker). Below is the detail for a 3 person crew:

Personnel Costs:			
No.	Job Classification	Each Per Year	Total
1	Street Maintenance Leader (1.00 FTE)	\$120,525	\$120,525
2	Public Works Maintenance Workers (2.00 FTE)	\$96,174	\$192,348
			\$312,873

As background, 16 people are assigned to street maintenance. Street maintenance crews vary from 2 people (for pothole repair) to 10 people (for a major street paving effort) depending on the work to be done. Crew sizes vary daily depending on work to be done, attendance, asphalt availability, etc.

6) What would be the reductions to the City Council at 2%, 2.5% and 4%?

The Proposed Budget currently assumes a 5% cut in year 1 (FY 13-14) and a 10% cut in year 2 (FY 14-15). Please refer to the table below for the various reduction percentages:

Reduction Goals	YEAR 1 (FY 2013-14)		YEAR 2 (FY 2014-15)	
	Reduction Amount	Gap-Need Funding	Reduction Amount	Gap-Needed Funding
5% in Y1 and 10% in Y2	\$ (602,572)	\$ -	\$ (1,235,134)	\$ -
2%	(241,029)	361,543	(247,027)	988,107
2.50%	(301,286)	301,286	(308,784)	926,351
4%	(482,058)	120,514	(494,054)	741,080

It should be noted that if the City Council approves alternative reduction targets that are lower than is currently assumed in the Proposed Budget, additional funding must be identified to fill any gaps in funding.

7) What would be the costs to add 2 City Attorney Positions and a Paralegal in Year 2?

The below costing assumes funding of 1 Deputy City Attorney II, 1 Deputy City Attorney III and 1 Paralegal in FY 2014-2015:

City Attorney Staff in FY 2014-15	
Deputy City Attorney II	\$173,007
Deputy City Attorney III	222,450
Paralegal	117,074
Total:	\$ 512,531

8) On Page D-101 of the Proposed Budget re: Repayment Plan for Negative Fund Balances, for Self Insurance Fund—why does it show the fund ending with a \$833K surplus. Is it possible for the City to pay less and invest the dollars in City Services? With respect to the Equipment Fund ending with a \$754K surplus, is it possible for the City to pay less and invest the dollars in City Services?

The Self Insurance Fund in part funds payments for liability claims and settlements which are unpredictable. As a result, the repayment plan is estimated, and represents a best-case scenario. Ideally this fund will have reserves in the future in order to off-set this unpredictability of claims and settlements, and ensure the fund is in a positive position going forward.

The Equipment Fund is intended to pay for replacement vehicles as the City fleet ages, which has not been possible due to the negative fund balance. At the end of the repayment schedule City departments will continue to pay into this fund for replacement costs (via Internal Service Fund charges), therefore, it is intended to have a positive fund balance for that purpose.

9) Additional information on the Real Estate Transfer Tax (RETT)

In the April 25, 2013 Supplemental Memo, staff answered a question regarding providing projections based on the City increasing the RETT for homes over \$1.1 M and providing pros/cons on increasing the RETT (Question #6, p. 5). Since answering this question, staff has located a document developed by CaliforniaCityFinance.com that suggests that Oakland has one of the highest RETT rates in the State of California at \$15.00 per \$1,000 Property Value (or at a rate of 1.5%). Please refer to Attachment B to view this document.

10) Additional information on the cost of training and recruitment per Police Officer

In the supplemental memorandum dated May 15, 2013, staff answered a question regarding the costs per police officer for training and recruitment (Question #14, p. 39) by stating the following:

Excluding the salaries of the Police Officer Trainees, the actual cost to recruit and train an officer is approximately \$20,000. Any changes to the current reimbursement policy would require a change to the OPOA MOU. The OPOA MOU addresses academy reimbursement, as follows:

Police Office Trainee Training Costs: The parties recognize that in the past a substantial number of persons have accepted the benefit of training at the Oakland Police Academy and then have voluntarily separated from service to join other safety agencies or have decided for personal reasons that police work is not their preference. The purpose of this provision is to insure that the recruit either accept a commitment of service to the City or be responsible for costs associated with Academy training. Thus the parties agree that any member who, prior to completing five years of service, voluntarily separates from service with the department shall be responsible for reimbursing the City, on a full or prorate basis, for the \$8000 cost of his/her training at the Police Academy. A schedule of the member's reimbursement responsibility is set forth as follows: Length of Service % of Repayment Due

- Separation prior to 1 year. 100% repayment of the \$8,000
- Separation after 1 year but before completing the second year 80% repayment of the \$8,000.
- Separation after 2 years but before completing the third year 60% repayment of the \$8,000.
- Separation after 3 years but before completing the fourth year 40% repayment of the \$8,000.
- Separation after 4 years but before completing the fifth year 20% repayment of the \$8,000.
- Separation after 5 years 0% repayment

As a matter of clarity, staff would like also provide the following additional information to supplement the response provided on May 15:

The full costs of hiring police officers through an academy are documented in the information memorandum on Police Officer Academy Costs of 3/27/13

<http://www2.oaklandnet.com/oakca1/groups/cityadministrator/documents/memorandum/oak040265.pdf>

The information memorandum details the three major stages: pre-academy, academy, and field training. To screen a sufficient number of applicants to enroll 55 candidates in the police academy and graduate 40 officers costs approximately \$5M, or \$125,000 per each of the 40 graduates. The largest cost elements are background checks (approximately \$800,000), Police Officer Trainee salaries and benefits during the six month academy (approximately \$1.9M), and the Field Training Officer premium (approximately \$1.2M).

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

DONNA HOM
Budget Director

Attachment

(A) COLA Costing for Miscellaneous Employees

(B) California City Documentary and Property Transfer Tax Rates—Source:
CaliforniaCityFinance.com.

**City of Oakland FY 2013-15 Proposed Budget
Compensation, Benefits, and Retirement by Bargaining Unit**

General Purpose Fund

Unit	FY13-14						FY14-15					
	TOTAL	1%	2%	3%	4%	5%	TOTAL	1%	2%	3%	4%	5%
CMEA	\$4,680,808	\$46,808	\$93,616	\$140,424	\$187,232	\$234,040	\$4,793,826	\$47,938	\$95,877	\$143,815	\$191,753	\$239,691
DCA I-IV	\$927,020	\$9,270	\$18,540	\$27,811	\$37,081	\$46,351	\$949,265	\$9,493	\$18,985	\$28,478	\$37,971	\$47,463
DCA V	\$129,129	\$1,291	\$2,583	\$3,874	\$5,165	\$6,456	\$132,187	\$1,322	\$2,644	\$3,966	\$5,287	\$6,609
L1021	\$46,668,092	\$466,681	\$933,362	\$1,400,043	\$1,866,724	\$2,333,405	\$47,451,840	\$474,518	\$949,037	\$1,423,555	\$1,898,074	\$2,372,592
L1245	\$611,184	\$6,112	\$12,224	\$18,336	\$24,447	\$30,559	\$626,032	\$6,260	\$12,521	\$18,781	\$25,041	\$31,302
L21	\$42,755,174	\$427,552	\$855,103	\$1,282,655	\$1,710,207	\$2,137,759	\$43,684,631	\$436,846	\$873,693	\$1,310,539	\$1,747,385	\$2,184,232
Unrepresented	\$5,018,932	\$50,189	\$100,379	\$150,568	\$200,757	\$250,947	\$5,113,503	\$51,135	\$102,270	\$153,405	\$204,540	\$255,675
Grand Total	\$100,790,339	\$1,007,903	\$2,015,807	\$3,023,710	\$4,031,614	\$5,039,517	\$102,751,284	\$1,027,513	\$2,055,026	\$3,082,539	\$4,110,051	\$5,137,564

**City of Oakland FY 2013-15 Proposed Budget
Compensation, Benefits, and Retirement by Bargaining Unit**

All Funds

Unit	FY13-14						FY14-15					
	TOTAL	1%	2%	3%	4%	5%	TOTAL	1%	2%	3%	4%	5%
CMEA	\$6,440,021	\$64,400	\$128,800	\$193,201	\$257,601	\$322,001	\$6,595,244	\$65,952	\$131,905	\$197,857	\$263,810	\$329,762
DCA I-IV	\$4,050,976	\$40,510	\$81,020	\$121,529	\$162,039	\$202,549	\$4,148,544	\$41,485	\$82,971	\$124,456	\$165,942	\$207,427
DCA V	\$2,145,591	\$21,456	\$42,912	\$64,368	\$85,824	\$107,280	\$2,196,829	\$21,968	\$43,937	\$65,905	\$87,873	\$109,841
L1021	\$122,481,132	\$1,224,811	\$2,449,623	\$3,674,434	\$4,899,245	\$6,124,057	\$125,035,623	\$1,250,356	\$2,500,712	\$3,751,069	\$5,001,425	\$6,251,781
L1245	\$2,449,513	\$24,495	\$48,990	\$73,485	\$97,981	\$122,476	\$2,508,577	\$25,086	\$50,172	\$75,257	\$100,343	\$125,429
L21	\$114,542,435	\$1,145,424	\$2,290,849	\$3,436,273	\$4,581,697	\$5,727,122	\$116,101,988	\$1,161,020	\$2,322,040	\$3,483,060	\$4,644,080	\$5,805,099
Unrepresented	\$8,623,771	\$86,238	\$172,475	\$258,713	\$344,951	\$431,189	\$8,744,256	\$87,443	\$174,885	\$262,328	\$349,770	\$437,213
Grand Total	\$260,733,439	\$2,607,334	\$5,214,669	\$7,822,003	\$10,429,338	\$13,036,672	\$265,331,061	\$2,653,311	\$5,306,621	\$7,959,932	\$10,613,242	\$13,266,553

City Savings from 1%-5% Compensation Reduction for Miscellaneous Employees

		1%	2%	3%	4%	5%
FY 13-14	GPF	\$1,007,903	\$2,015,807	\$3,023,710	\$4,031,614	\$5,039,517
	All Funds	\$2,607,334	\$5,214,669	\$7,822,003	\$10,429,338	\$13,036,672
FY14-15	GPF	\$1,027,513	\$2,055,026	\$3,082,539	\$4,110,051	\$5,137,564
	All Funds	\$2,653,311	\$5,306,621	\$7,959,932	\$10,613,242	\$13,266,553

Additional City Costs from 1%-5% One-Time FY 2013-14 Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) for Miscellaneous Employees

		1%	2%	3%	4%	5%
FY 13-14	GPF	\$1,007,903	\$2,015,807	\$3,023,710	\$4,031,614	\$5,039,517
	All Funds	\$2,607,334	\$5,214,669	\$7,822,003	\$10,429,338	\$13,036,672
FY14-15	GPF	\$1,027,513	\$2,055,026	\$3,082,539	\$4,110,051	\$5,137,564
	All Funds	\$2,653,311	\$5,306,621	\$7,959,932	\$10,613,242	\$13,266,553

Note: A cost of living adjustment (COLA) will increase the City's salary, fringe benefit, and retirement costs. It will also increase the City's overhead costs, which could amount to up to 5% of increased compensation costs, which is not accounted for in the attached table. For example, if as a result of a COLA, salaries, fringe benefits, and retirement costs increased by \$10M across all funds, overhead costs could increase by \$500,000 dollars, almost all impacting non-GPF.

The numbers for a 1-5% COLA are the same as for a 1-5% concession. Concessions will have the opposite effect on overhead costs, leading to a cost savings to the City. Note that if compensation is reduced for employees through non-salary measures (cost sharing on benefits), it will not reduce budgeted overhead costs in the same way.

AVERAGE COMPENSATION PER UNION - MISCELLANEOUS

From FY13-15 Proposed Budget

Union	Pay Element	FY 13-14	FY 14-15
		AVERAGE	AVERAGE
CMEA	FTE	31.0	31.0
	Salary	\$ 98,904	\$ 98,904
	Allowances/Premiums	\$ 813	\$ 813
	Fringe Benefit Civilian	\$ 36,941	\$ 39,117
	Retirement Civilian	\$ 27,001	\$ 28,781
	Total	\$ 163,659	\$ 167,615
IBEW 1245	FTE	21.0	21.0
	Salary	\$ 70,317	\$ 70,317
	Allowances/Premiums	\$ 866	\$ 866
	Fringe Benefit Civilian	\$ 26,263	\$ 27,811
	Retirement Civilian	\$ 19,197	\$ 20,462
	Total	\$ 116,643	\$ 119,456
Local 21	FTE	851.2	843.2
	Salary	\$ 80,780	\$ 80,704
	Allowances/Premiums	\$ 852	\$ 853
	Fringe Benefit Civilian	\$ 30,141	\$ 31,885
	Retirement Civilian	\$ 22,053	\$ 23,485
	Total	\$ 133,825	\$ 136,927
Local 21 DCA I-IV	FTE	19.0	19.0
	Salary	\$ 128,377	\$ 128,377
	Allowances/Premiums	\$ 1,836	\$ 1,836
	Fringe Benefit Civilian	\$ 47,949	\$ 50,773
	Retirement Civilian	\$ 35,047	\$ 37,358
	Total	\$ 213,209	\$ 218,344
DCA V	FTE	8.0	8.0
	Salary	\$ 160,123	\$ 160,123
	Allowances/Premiums	\$ 4,557	\$ 4,557
	Fringe Benefit Civilian	\$ 59,806	\$ 63,328
	Retirement Civilian	\$ 43,713	\$ 46,596
	Total	\$ 268,199	\$ 274,604
SEIU	FTE	1,279.0	1,276.0
	Salary	\$ 52,645	\$ 52,706
	Allowances/Premiums	\$ 886	\$ 888
	Fringe Benefit Civilian	\$ 19,637	\$ 20,818
	Retire SEIU	\$ 14,346	\$ 15,309
	Total	\$ 87,514	\$ 89,722
SEIU PT	FTE	261.1	261.1
	Salary	\$ 33,630	\$ 33,630
	Allowances/Premiums	\$ 3,093	\$ 3,093
	Fringe Benefit Civilian	\$ 2,649	\$ 2,652
	Retirement PT	\$ 1,261	\$ 1,261
	Total	\$ 40,633	\$ 40,637

California City Documentary and Property Transfer Tax Rates

	Governance: General Law or Chartered	Per \$1000 Property Value City Rate	Rev&Tax Code Sec 11911-11929 County Rate	Per \$1000 Property Value Total
ALAMEDA COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
ALAMEDA	Chartered	\$ 12.00	\$ 1.10	\$ 13.10
ALBANY	Chartered	\$ 11.50	\$ 1.10	\$ 12.60
BERKELEY	Chartered	\$ 15.00	\$ 1.10	\$ 16.10
DUBLIN	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
EMERYVILLE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
FREMONT	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
HAYWARD	Chartered	\$ 4.50	\$ 1.10	\$ 5.60
LIVERMORE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
NEWARK	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
* OAKLAND	Chartered	\$ 15.00	\$ 1.10	\$ 16.10
PIEDMONT	Chartered	\$ 13.00	\$ 1.10	\$ 14.10
PLEASANTON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN LEANDRO	Chartered	\$ 6.00	\$ 1.10	\$ 7.10
UNION CITY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ALPINE COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
AMADOR COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
AMADOR	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
IONE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
JACKSON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PLYMOUTH	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SUTTER CREEK	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
BUTTE COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
BIGGS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CHICO	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
GRIDLEY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
OROVILLE	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PARADISE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CALAVERAS COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
ANGELS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
COLUSA COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
COLUSA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WILLIAMS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
ANTIOCH	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
BRENTWOOD	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CLAYTON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CONCORD	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
DANVILLE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
EL CERRITO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
HERCULES	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LAFAYETTE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MARTINEZ	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MORAGA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10

California City Documentary and Property Transfer Tax Rates

	Governance: General Law or Chartered	Per \$1000 Property Value City Rate	Rev&Tax Code Sec 11911-11929 County Rate	Per \$1000 Property Value Total
OAKLEY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ORINDA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PINOLE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PITTSBURG	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PLEASANT HILL	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
RICHMOND	Chartered	\$ 7.00	\$ 1.10	\$ 8.10
SAN PABLO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN RAMON	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WALNUT CREEK	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
DEL NORTE COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
CRESCENT CITY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
EL DORADO COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
PLACERVILLE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
FRESNO COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
CLOVIS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
COALINGA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
FIREBAUGH	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
FOWLER	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
FRESNO	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
HURON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
KERMAN	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
KINGSBURG	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MENDOTA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ORANGE COVE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PARLIER	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
REEDLEY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SANGER	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN JOAQUIN	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SELMA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
GLENN COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
ORLAND	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WILLOWS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
HUMBOLDT COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
ARCATA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
BLUE LAKE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
EUREKA	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
FERNDALE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
FORTUNA	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
RIO DELL	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
TRINIDAD	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
IMPERIAL COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
BRAWLEY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CALEXICO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10

California City Documentary and Property Transfer Tax Rates

	Governance: General Law or Chartered	Per \$1000 Property Value City Rate	Rev&Tax Code Sec 11911-11929 County Rate	Per \$1000 Property Value Total
CALIPATRIA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
EL CENTRO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
HOLTVILLE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
IMPERIAL	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WESTMORLAND	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
INYO COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
BISHOP	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
KERN COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
ARVIN	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
BAKERSFIELD	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CALIFORNIA CITY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
DELANO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MARICOPA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MCFARLAND	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
RIDGECREST	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SHAFTER	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
TAFT	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
TEHACHAPI	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WASCO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
KINGS COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
AVENAL	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CORCORAN	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
HANFORD	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LEMOORE	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LAKE COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
CLEARLAKE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LAKEPORT	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LASSEN COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
SUSANVILLE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LOS ANGELES COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
AGOURA HILLS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ALHAMBRA	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ARCADIA	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ARTESIA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
AVALON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
AZUSA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
BALDWIN PARK	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
BELL	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
BELLFLOWER	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
BELL GARDENS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
BEVERLY HILLS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
BRADBURY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
BURBANK	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CALABASAS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10

California City Documentary and Property Transfer Tax Rates

	Governance: General Law or Chartered	Per \$1000 Property Value City Rate	Rev&Tax Code Sec 11911-11929 County Rate	Per \$1000 Property Value Total
CARSON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CERRITOS	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CLAREMONT	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
COMMERCE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
COMPTON	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
COVINA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CUDAHY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CULVER CITY	Chartered	\$ 4.50	\$ 1.10	\$ 5.60
DIAMOND BAR	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
DOWNEY	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
DUARTE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
EL MONTE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
EL SEGUNDO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
GARDENA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
GLENDALE	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
GLENDORA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
HAWAIIAN GARDENS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
HAWTHORNE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
HERMOSA BEACH	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
HIDDEN HILLS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
HUNTINGTON PARK	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
INDUSTRY	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
INGLEWOOD	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
IRWINDALE	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LA HABRA HEIGHTS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LAKELWOOD	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LA MIRADA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LANCASTER	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LA PUENTE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LA VERNE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LAWNSDALE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LOMITA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LONG BEACH	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LOS ANGELES	Chartered	\$ 4.50	\$ 1.10	\$ 5.60
LYNWOOD	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MALIBU	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MANHATTAN BEACH	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MAYWOOD	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MONROVIA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MONTEBELLO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MONTEREY PARK	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
NORWALK	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PALMDALE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10

California City Documentary and Property Transfer Tax Rates

	Governance: General Law or Chartered	Per \$1000 Property Value City Rate	Rev&Tax Code Sec 11911-11929 County Rate	Per \$1000 Property Value Total
PALOS VERDES ESTATES	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PARAMOUNT	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PASADENA	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PICO RIVERA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
POMONA	Chartered	\$ 2.20	\$ 1.10	\$ 3.30
RANCHO PALOS VERDES	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
REDONDO BEACH	Chartered	\$ 2.20	\$ 1.10	\$ 3.30
ROLLING HILLS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ROSEMEAD	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN DIMAS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN FERNANDO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN GABRIEL	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN MARINO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SANTA CLARITA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SANTA FE SPRINGS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SANTA MONICA	Chartered	\$ 3.00	\$ 1.10	\$ 4.10
SIERRA MADRE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SIGNAL HILL	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SOUTH EL MONTE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SOUTH GATE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SOUTH PASADENA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
TEMPLE CITY	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
TORRANCE	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
VERNON	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WALNUT	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WEST COVINA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WEST HOLLYWOOD	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WESTLAKE VILLAGE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WHITTIER	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MADERA COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
CHOWCHILLA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MADERA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MARIN COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
BELVEDERE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CORTE MADERA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
FAIRFAX	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LARKSPUR	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MILL VALLEY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
NOVATO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ROSS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN ANSELMO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN RAFAEL	Chartered	\$ 2.00	\$ 1.10	\$ 3.10
SAUSALITO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10

California City Documentary and Property Transfer Tax Rates

	Governance: General Law or Chartered	Per \$1000 Property Value City Rate	Rev&Tax Code Sec 11911-11929 County Rate	Per \$1000 Property Value Total
TIBURON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MARIPOSA COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
MENDOCINO COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
FORT BRAGG	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
POINT ARENA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
UKIAH	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WILLITS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MERCED COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
ATWATER	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
DOS PALOS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
GUSTINE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LIVINGSTON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LOS BANOS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MERCED	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MODOC COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
ALTURAS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MONO COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
MAMMOTH LAKES	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MONTEREY COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
CARMEL-BY-THE-SEA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
DEL REY OAKS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
GONZALES	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
GREENFIELD	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
KING CITY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MARINA	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MONTEREY	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PACIFIC GROVE	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SALINAS	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAND CITY	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SEASIDE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SOLEDAD	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
NAPA COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
AMERICAN CANYON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CALISTOGA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
NAPA	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ST HELENA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
YOUNTVILLE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
NEVADA COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
GRASS VALLEY	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
NEVADA CITY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
TRUCKEE	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ORANGE COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
ALISO VIEJO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ANAHEIM	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10

California City Documentary and Property Transfer Tax Rates

	Governance: General Law or Chartered	Per \$1000 Property Value City Rate	Rev&Tax Code Sec 11911-11929 County Rate	Per \$1000 Property Value Total
BREA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
BUENA PARK	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
COSTA MESA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CYPRESS	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
DANA POINT	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
FOUNTAIN VALLEY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
FULLERTON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
GARDEN GROVE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
HUNTINGTON BEACH	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
IRVINE	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LAGUNA BEACH	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LAGUNA HILLS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LAGUNA NIGUEL	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LAGUNA WOODS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LA HABRA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LAKE FOREST	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LA PALMA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LOS ALAMITOS	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MISSION VIEJO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
NEWPORT BEACH	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ORANGE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PLACENTIA	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
RANCHO SANTA MARGARITA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN CLEMENTE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SANTA ANA	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SEAL BEACH	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
STANTON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
TUSTIN	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
VILLA PARK	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WESTMINSTER	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
YORBA LINDA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PLACER COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
AUBURN	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
COLFAX	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LINCOLN	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LOOMIS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ROCKLIN	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ROSEVILLE	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PLUMAS COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
PORTOLA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
RIVERSIDE COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
BANNING	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
BEAUMONT	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10

California City Documentary and Property Transfer Tax Rates

	Governance: General Law or Chartered	Per \$1000 Property Value City Rate	Rev&Tax Code Sec 11911-11929 County Rate	Per \$1000 Property Value Total
.....				
BLYTHE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CALIMESA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CANYON LAKE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CATHEDRAL CITY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
COACHELLA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CORONA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
DESERT HOT SPRINGS	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
HEMET	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
INDIAN WELLS	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
INDIO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LAKE ELSINORE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LA QUINTA	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MORENO VALLEY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MURRIETA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
NORCO	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PALM DESERT	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PALM SPRINGS	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PERRIS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
RANCHO MIRAGE	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
RIVERSIDE	Chartered	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10	\$ 2.20
SAN JACINTO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
TEMECULA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SACRAMENTO COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
CITRUS HEIGHTS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ELK GROVE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
FOLSOM	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
GALT	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ISLETON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
RANCHO CORDOVA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SACRAMENTO	Chartered	\$ 2.75	\$ 1.10	\$ 3.85
SAN BENITO COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
HOLLISTER	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN JUAN BAUTISTA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
ADELANTO	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
APPLE VALLEY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
BARSTOW	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
BIG BEAR LAKE	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CHINO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CHINO HILLS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
COLTON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
FONTANA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
GRAND TERRACE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
HESPERIA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
.....				

California City Documentary and Property Transfer Tax Rates

	Governance: General Law or Chartered	Per \$1000 Property Value City Rate	Rev&Tax Code Sec 11911-11929 County Rate	Per \$1000 Property Value Total
HIGHLAND	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LOMA LINDA	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MONTCLAIR	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
NEEDLES	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ONTARIO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
RANCHO CUCAMONGA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
REDLANDS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
RIALTO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN BERNARDINO	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
TWENTYNINE PALMS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
UPLAND	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
VICTORVILLE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
YUCAIPA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
YUCCA VALLEY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN DIEGO COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
CARLSBAD	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CHULA VISTA	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CORONADO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
DEL MAR	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
EL CAJON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ENCINITAS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ESCONDIDO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
IMPERIAL BEACH	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LA MESA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LEMON GROVE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
NATIONAL CITY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
OCEANSIDE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
POWAY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN DIEGO	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN MARCOS	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SANTEE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SOLANA BEACH	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
VISTA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY				
SAN FRANCISCO	Chartered		\$100K-\$250K = \$5 per thousand, \$250K-\$1m = \$6.80 per thousand, \$1m-\$5m = \$7.50 per thousand, \$5m-\$10m = \$20.00 per thousand, \$10m+ \$25 per thousand. Discounts for certain solar & seismic improvements.	
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
ESCALON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LATHROP	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LODI	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MANTECA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
RIPON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10

California City Documentary and Property Transfer Tax Rates

	Governance: General Law or Chartered	Per \$1000 Property Value City Rate	Rev&Tax Code Sec 11911-11929 County Rate	Per \$1000 Property Value Total
STOCKTON	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
TRACY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
ARROYO GRANDE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ATASCADERO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
EL PASO DE ROBLES	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
GROVER BEACH	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MORRO BAY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PISMO BEACH	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN LUIS OBISPO	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN MATEO COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
ATHERTON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
BELMONT	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
BRISBANE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
BURLINGAME	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
COLMA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
DALY CITY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
EAST PALO ALTO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
FOSTER CITY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
HALF MOON BAY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
HILLSBOROUGH	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MENLO PARK	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MILLBRAE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PACIFICA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PORTOLA VALLEY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
REDWOOD CITY	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN BRUNO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN CARLOS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN MATEO	Chartered	\$ 5.00	\$ 1.10	\$ 6.10
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WOODSIDE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
BUELLTON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CARPINTERIA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
GOLETA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
GUADALUPE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LOMPOC	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SANTA BARBARA	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SANTA MARIA	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SOLVANG	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SANTA CLARA COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
CAMPBELL	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
CUPERTINO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
GILROY	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10

California City Documentary and Property Transfer Tax Rates

	Governance: General Law or Chartered	Per \$1000 Property Value City Rate	Rev&Tax Code Sec 11911-11929 County Rate	Per \$1000 Property Value Total
LOS ALTOS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LOS ALTOS HILLS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LOS GATOS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MILPITAS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MONTE SERENO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MORGAN HILL	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MOUNTAIN VIEW	Chartered	\$ 3.30	\$ 1.10	\$ 4.40
PALO ALTO	Chartered	\$ 3.30	\$ 1.10	\$ 4.40
SAN JOSE	Chartered	\$ 3.30	\$ 1.10	\$ 4.40
SANTA CLARA	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SARATOGA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SUNNYVALE	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
CAPITOLA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SANTA CRUZ	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SCOTTS VALLEY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WATSONVILLE	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SHASTA COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
ANDERSON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
REDDING	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SHASTA LAKE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SIERRA COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
LOYALTON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SISKIYOU COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
DORRIS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
DUNSMUIR	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
ETNA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
FORT JONES	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MONTAGUE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MOUNT SHASTA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
TULELAKE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WEED	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
YREKA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SOLANO COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
BENICIA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
DIXON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
FAIRFIELD	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
RIO VISTA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SUISUN CITY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
VACAVILLE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
VALLEJO	Chartered	\$ 3.30	\$ 1.10	\$ 4.40
SONOMA COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
CLOVERDALE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
COTATI	General Law	\$ 1.90	\$ 1.10	\$ 3.00

California City Documentary and Property Transfer Tax Rates

	Governance: General Law or Chartered	Per \$1000 Property Value City Rate	Rev&Tax Code Sec 11911-11929 County Rate	Per \$1000 Property Value Total
HEALDSBURG	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PETALUMA	Chartered	\$ 2.00	\$ 1.10	\$ 3.10
ROHNERT PARK	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SANTA ROSA	Chartered	\$ 2.00	\$ 1.10	\$ 3.10
SEBASTOPOL	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SONOMA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WINDSOR	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
STANISLAUS COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
CERES	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
HUGHSON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MODESTO	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
NEWMAN	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
OAKDALE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PATTERSON	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
RIVERBANK	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
TURLOCK	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WATERFORD	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.65

California City Documentary and Property Transfer Tax Rates

	Governance: General Law or Chartered	Per \$1000 Property Value City Rate	Rev&Tax Code Sec 11911-11929 County Rate	Per \$1000 Property Value Total
SUTTER COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
LIVE OAK	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
YUBA CITY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
TEHAMA COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
CORNING	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
RED BLUFF	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
TEHAMA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
TRINITY COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
TULARE COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
DINUBA	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
EXETER	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
FARMERSVILLE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
LINDSAY	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PORTERVILLE	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
TULARE	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
VISALIA	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WOODLAKE	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
TUOLUMNE COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
SONORA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
VENTURA COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
CAMARILLO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
FILLMORE	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
MOORPARK	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
OJAI	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
OXNARD	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
PORT HUENEME	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SAN BUENAVENTURA	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SANTA PAULA	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
SIMI VALLEY	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
THOUSAND OAKS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
YOLO COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
DAVIS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WEST SACRAMENTO	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WINTERS	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WOODLAND	General Law	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10	\$ 2.20
YUBA COUNTY			\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
MARYSVILLE	Chartered	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10
WHEATLAND	General Law	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.10

Source: CaliforniaCityFinance.com