



CITIZENS' POLICE REVIEW BOARD
Meeting of Thursday, April 24, 2014 - 6:15 P.M.
City Council Chambers, Third Floor
ONE FRANK H. OGAWA PLAZA, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA
(510) 238-3159

	Attachments
I. Call to Order	
II. Roll Call	
III. Approval of Minutes	
A. March 27, 2014	1
B. April 10, 2014	2
IV. Open Forum	
V. Agenda Discussion	
VI. Manager's Report	
A. Announcements	
1. Proposed Cancellation of May 8, 2014 Meeting	
a. CPRB 2014 Annual Meeting Calendar	3
2. Search and Seizure Board Training Rescheduled	
3. Oakland Police Department Stop Data Presentation - Update	
4. CPRB Budget - Update	
5. PUEBLO's Policy Builders Presentation - Update	
B. Pending Cases as of April 16, 2014	4
VII. CPRB Executive Director Hiring Process - Update	
- City Administrator's Office	
A. CPRB Executive Director Job Description	5
VIII. Board Committee - Updates	
A. Post-Copley Hearing Procedures	
1. General Updates	
a. Nominations of members for case hearing selection	
2. Next Meeting – April 30, 6:00pm (Conference Call)	
B. Outreach Planning	
1. General Updates	

2. Next Meeting - TBD

- IX. CPRB Policy Recommendation- Follow up Discussion
- A. OPD Crowd Control – Crowd Management
 - 1. Officers with histories of repeated uses of force should be restricted from participating in crowd control events where OPD officer will be outfitted with riot gear.
- X. Closed Session: New Business
- A. City Administrator’s Decision on CPRB Recommendations Pursuant to Ordinance No. 12454 Sec. 6.C.2., Case Nos. 13-0442, Harriet Kuriowa & 13-0500, Frenswa Raynor
 - B. Cases Proposed for Administrative Closure/ Pursuant to Ordinance No. 12454 C.M.S. section 6, paragraph G subsection 9, hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process.
 - 1. Based on the Findings of the Investigation
 - Case No. 11-1179, James Chanin and John Burriss on Behalf of Scott Campbell
 - a. Public Comment
 - b. Staff Report
 - c. Discussion
 - d. Action

Complainants allege that an Oakland police officer shot Mr. Campbell with a less-lethal round during an Occupy Oakland incident, in violation of the provisions of the City of Oakland Police Department’s Crowd Control Policies.

Rationale for administrative closure – The investigation disclosed sufficient evidence that the alleged acts did occur. There is no recommended discipline for two of the officers involved because the statute of limitation for disciplinary action has expired. For a third officer, the Oakland Police Department has already instituted the disciplinary process for the violations alleged in the complaint. The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint on the basis a hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process and that no good cause has been shown for further action.

Case No. 13-0795, Sonya Smith

- a. Public Comment
- b. Staff Report
- c. Discussion
- d. Action

Complainant filed a complaint because her son was shot and killed by OPD officers. Complainant alleges that OPD officers have failed to contact her about the circumstances surrounding the death of her son, which the complainant views as unprofessional and discourteous.

Rationale for administrative closure – The investigation disclosed sufficient evidence that the alleged acts of excessive force did occur but were justified, lawful and proper. The handling of the investigation and communication with the complainant is service-related and therefore is not a Manual of Rules violation. A third allegation of a failure to activate/operate a Personal Digital Recording Device (PDRD) investigated by the CPRB, the Oakland Police Department has already instituted the disciplinary process for the violation. The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint on the basis a hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process and that no good cause has been shown for further action.

2. No Manual of Rules Violation

Case No. 13-0760, Erica Altobelli

- a. Public Comment
- b. Staff Report
- c. Discussion
- d. Action

Complainant alleges that OPD officers did not respond to address a drug transaction that was taking place in her neighborhood.

Rationale for administrative closure – There was no Manual of Rules violations identified in the investigation. The complaint involves decisions of the Police Department based on current staffing and resources. No specific officer was involved. The CPRB investigator provided the complainant with contact information for her neighborhood Problem Solving Officer for further assistance. The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint on the basis a hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process and that no good cause has been shown for further action.

- XI. Open Session Disclosure of non-confidential closed session matters.
By motion and vote in open session Board elects whether to disclose non-confidential information

- XII. Adjournment

NOTE: Meetings of the Citizens' Police Review Board are accessible. Individuals requesting alternate format materials and/or auxiliary aids and services must do so no later than five working days before the scheduled meeting date, otherwise it may not be possible to arrange a specific accommodation. Such requests should be addressed to the Citizens' Police Review Board, One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 11th Floor, Oakland, CA 94612, or by telephone by calling (510) 238-3159. **Because some persons are sensitive to certain chemicals, persons attending this meeting are requested to refrain from wearing scented products.** TTY (238-2007)

CPRB MISSION STATEMENT

The Citizens' Police Review Board is committed to ensuring that Oakland has a professional police department, whose members behave with integrity and justice. As representatives of the community, our goal is to improve police services to the community by increasing understanding between community members and police officers. To ensure police accountability, we provide the community with a public forum to air its concerns on policy matters and individual cases alleging police misconduct.