



CITY OF OAKLAND DESIGNATED LANDMARKS ADDITIONAL DESIGN REVIEW CRITERIA

Landmark designation is specifically intended to preserve and enhance structures and other physical features, sites, and areas that are reminders of past eras, events, and persons important in local, state, or national history, or which provide significant examples of architectural styles of the past or are landmarks in the history of architecture, or which are unique and irreplaceable assets to the city and its neighborhoods, or which provide for this and future generations examples of the physical surroundings in which past generations lived.

*Proposals involving Designated Landmarks that require Regular Design Review may be granted only upon determination that the proposal conforms to the Regular Design Review criteria set forth in Section 17.136.050 and to the **additional** criteria set forth in criteria 1, 2, and 3 below, or to one or both of the criteria in 4 below:*

Please indicate the way in which the proposal meets the following required criteria. Attach additional sheets if necessary.

1. That the proposal will not adversely affect the exterior features of the designated landmark nor, when subject to control as specified in the designating ordinance for a publicly owned landmark, its major interior architectural features:

2. That the proposal will not adversely affect the special character, interest, or value of the landmark and its site, as viewed both in themselves and in their setting:

3. That the proposal conforms with the Design Guidelines for Landmarks and Preservation Districts as adopted by the City Planning Commission and, as applicable for certain federally related projects, with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties:

4. If the proposal does not conform to criteria set forth in 1, 2 and 3 above:

a. That the designated landmark or portion thereof is in such condition that it is not architecturally feasible to preserve or restore it.

- OR -

b. That, considering the economic feasibility of alternatives to the proposal, and balancing the interest of the public in protecting the designated landmark or portion thereof, and the interest of the owner of the landmark site in the utilization thereof, approval is required by considerations of equity:
