

APPENDIX J

**2012 MITIGATION MONITORING AND
REPORTING PROGRAM ROADMAP**

This page intentionally left blank.

**STANDARD CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL AND
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM ROADMAP**

This Standard Conditions of Approval and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (SCA/MMRP) Roadmap is based on Initial Study/Addendum (IS/A) prepared for the 2012 OARB Project. The purpose of this “Roadmap” document is to show the differences between mitigation measures, Standard Conditions of Approval, and recommended measures from the 2002 EIR and those from the 2012 OARB Project IS/A. The following Table presents the mitigation measures, Standard Conditions of Approval, and recommended measures identified in the 2012 OARB Project IS/A which consist of mitigation measures from the 2002 OARB Redevelopment Plan EIR (“2002 EIR”), as deleted, clarified, refined, superseded or revised, and new mitigation measures, necessary to mitigate potentially significant impacts, as well as Standard Conditions of Approval. Mitigation measures from the 2002 EIR that are applicable to the 2012 OARB Project retain the same numbering; each new mitigation measures is numbered according to the section of the IS/A from which it is derived. For example, Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 is the first new mitigation measure identified in the Section 3.16 Traffic and Transportation of the IS/A. The Standard Conditions are identified with the prefix SCA- followed by an abbreviation of the environmental topic to which it applies (e.g., SCA AES-1 is the first SCA relating to aesthetic impacts).

- The first column indicates the environmental impact as identified in the 2002 EIR and the 2012 IS/A;
- The second column identifies the Standard Condition of Approval (SCA), mitigation measure (MM) or recommended measure applicable to that impact in the 2002 EIR and the 2012 IS/A, however, only those measures applicable to the 2012 Project are described in detail. ~~Strike-out~~ indicates the 2002 MM is no longer applicable; underscored text represents new/clarified MM, SCAs and/or recommended measures; in addition, which 2002 mitigation measures are no longer applicable to the 2012 OARB Project are also noted; and
- The third column identifies the monitoring schedule or timing applicable to the 2012 Project; and
- The fourth column names the party responsible for monitoring the required action for the 2012 Project.¹

¹ At various places throughout the IS/A, Mitigation Measures and Standard Conditions of Approval indicate that the project sponsor, project applicant, developer, City and/or Port are responsible for implementation. Regardless of such, the City within its jurisdiction and the Port within its jurisdiction are responsible for implementing the Mitigation Measures and/or Standard Conditions of Approval. Where both the City and Port jurisdictions are involved, both entities are responsible. The Port will impose the City of Oakland SCA where the 2012 Project requires building and electrical permits, which apply to most projects at the Port.

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
Aesthetics, Wind and Shadows			
1. Would the project create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect daytime or nighttime views in the area? (Addendum 3.1.5d; 2002 EIR Impact 4.11-3)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.11-1 and 4.11-2</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: SCA AES-1 (which supersedes 2002 Mitigation Measure M 4.11-2) and 2002 EIR Mitigation Measure 4.11-1</p>		
	<p>SCA-AES-1: Lighting Plan: <u>The proposed lighting fixtures shall be adequately shielded to a point below the light bulb and reflector and that prevent unnecessary glare onto adjacent properties. Plans shall be submitted to the Planning and Zoning Division and the Electrical Services Division of the Public Works Agency for review and approval. All lighting shall be architecturally integrated into the site.</u></p>	<p><u>Prior to the issuance of an electrical or building permit.</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>
	<p>Mitigation 4.11-1: New lighting shall be designed to minimize off-site light spillage; “stadium” style lighting shall be prohibited.</p> <p>Modern security lighting is available that directs light toward a specific site, and substantially reduces spillage of light onto adjacent properties. The City shall require the use of such directional lighting as a condition of approval for redevelopment projects throughout the project area. In no case shall the City allow the use of stadium-style lighting, which directs light outward across a broad area.</p>	<p><u>Prior to the issuance of an electrical or building permit.</u></p>	<p>City/Port</p>
	<p>Mitigation 4.11-2: At or near the boundary of the proposed Gateway Park, new lighting shall be shielded to prevent light spillage into natural areas.</p> <p>This measure applies to Impact 4.11-3 and Impact 4.12-2.</p> <p>In natural areas that may provide habitat, light scatter shall be further reduced or eliminated through the use of shields, which physically prohibit the scatter of light. With the advice of resource agencies, the City shall require such shields at specific locations, such as the Gateway Park.</p>	<p>Pre-construction</p>	<p>City/Port</p>
2. Would the project introduce structures or landscape that would not or in the future cast substantial shadow on existing solar collectors (in conflict with California Public Resources Code §§ 25980-25986), photovoltaic cells, or impair the function of a building using passive solar heat collection? (Addendum 3.1.5e; 2002 EIR Impact 4.11-4)	<p>2002 Mitigation and 2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.11-3, 4.11-4, and 4.11-5</p>		
	<p>Mitigation 4.11-3: New active or passive solar systems within or adjacent to the project area shall be set back from the property line a minimum of 25 feet.</p>	<p><u>Prior to the issuance of an electrical or</u></p>	<p>City/Port</p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	Through design review, the City shall ensure that proposed solar systems are not located in a manner that would unduly restrict design of future development. Such conflicts are to be resolved in design review. If the proposed solar system cannot be designed to accommodate adjacent actions, it shall be disallowed.	building permit.	
	<p>Mitigation 4.11-4: New construction within the Gateway development area adjacent to a parcel containing permitted or existing active or passive solar systems shall demonstrate through design review that the proposed structures shall not substantially impair operation of existing solar systems.</p> <p>Through design review, the City shall ensure that the effectiveness an operation of existing or permitted active or passive solar systems shall not be substantially impaired. The design of the subsequent proposed structures shall be modified so as not to have such an adverse effect.</p>	Prior to the issuance of an electrical or building permit.	City
	<p>Mitigation 4.11-5: The City and Port shall coordinate with respect to the design of new, permanent buildings constructed along the Port/Gateway boundary to minimize conflicts over solar access.</p> <p>The City and Port shall coordinate with one another regarding design of subsequent redevelopment activities within their respective jurisdictions that may affect operation of solar installations in the other's jurisdiction.</p>	Prior to the issuance of an electrical or building permit.	City/Port
3. Would the project cast shadow that substantially impairs the beneficial use of any public or quasi-public park, lawn, garden, or open space? (Addendum 3.1.5f; 2002 EIR Impact 4.11-5)	<p>2002 and 2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measure 4.11-6</p> <p>Mitigation 4.11-6: New construction adjacent to a public park or open space shall demonstrate through design review that development shall not substantially impair enjoyment of the public utilizing the space.</p> <p>Through design review, the City shall ensure that new building or landscaping shall not shade existing or proposed parks or open spaces in a manner that would make these public spaces substantially less useful or enjoyable to the public. The City may require specific building placement, tiered roofs, or other means of reducing shadow effects on public opens spaces. It is not the intent of this measure to completely eliminate shade in these areas, but to reduce shade to the maximum extent feasible.</p>	Prior to the issuance of a building permit	City/Port
Air Quality			
1. Would the project conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? (Addendum 3.3.5a; 2002 EIR Impact 4.4-3, 4.4-4, 4.4-5 and Cumulative Impact 5.41)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.4-3, 4.4-4 and 4.4-5</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: SCA AIR-2 and SCA TRANS-1 (which supersedes Mitigation Measure 4.4-5) and Measure Mitigation Measures 4.4-3 and 4.4-4</p>		
	<p>SCA AIR-2: Construction-Related Air Pollution Controls (Dust and Equipment Emissions): During construction, the project applicant shall require the construction contractor to implement all of the following applicable measures recommended by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD):</p> <p>a) Water all exposed surfaces of active construction areas at least twice daily (using reclaimed</p>	Ongoing throughout demolition, grading, and/or construction	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>water if possible). Watering should be sufficient to prevent airborne dust from leaving the site. Increased watering frequency may be necessary whenever wind speeds exceed 15 miles per hour. Reclaimed water should be used whenever possible.</u></p> <p><u>b) Cover all trucks hauling soil, sand, and other loose materials or require all trucks to maintain at least two feet of freeboard (i.e., the minimum required space between the top of the load and the top of the trailer).</u></p> <p><u>c) All visible mud or dirt track-out onto adjacent public roads shall be removed using wet power vacuum street sweepers at least once per day. The use of dry power sweeping is prohibited.</u></p> <p><u>d) Pave all roadways, driveways, sidewalks, etc. as soon as feasible. In addition, building pads should be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used.</u></p> <p><u>e) Enclose, cover, water twice daily or apply (non-toxic) soil stabilizers to exposed stockpiles (dirt, sand, etc.).</u></p> <p><u>f) Limit vehicle speeds on unpaved roads to 15 miles per hour.</u></p> <p><u>g) Idling times on all diesel-fueled commercial vehicles over 10,000 lbs. shall be minimized either by shutting equipment off when not in use or reducing the maximum idling time to five minutes (as required by Title 13, Section 2485, of the California Code of Regulations. Clear signage to this effect shall be provided for construction workers at all access points.</u></p> <p><u>h) Idling times on all diesel-fueled off-road vehicles over 25 horsepower shall be minimized either by shutting equipment off when not in use or reducing the maximum idling time to five minutes and fleet operators must develop a written idling policy (as required by Title 13, Section 2449 of the California Code of Regulations.)</u></p> <p><u>i) All construction equipment shall be maintained and properly tuned in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications. All equipment shall be checked by a certified mechanic and determined to be running in proper condition prior to operation.</u></p> <p><u>j) Post a publicly visible sign that includes the contractor's name and telephone number to contact regarding dust complaints. When contacted, the contractor shall respond and take corrective action within 48 hours. The telephone numbers of contacts at the City and the BAAQMD shall also be visible. This information may be posted on other required on-site signage.</u></p> <p><u>k) All exposed surfaces shall be watered at a frequency adequate to maintain minimum soil moisture of 12 percent. Moisture content can be verified by lab samples or moisture probe.</u></p> <p><u>l) All excavation, grading, and demolition activities shall be suspended when average wind speeds exceed 20 mph.</u></p> <p><u>m) Install sandbags or other erosion control measures to prevent silt runoff to public roadways.</u></p> <p><u>n) Hydroseed or apply (non-toxic) soil stabilizers to inactive construction areas (previously graded areas inactive for one month or more).</u></p> <p><u>o) Designate a person or persons to monitor the dust control program and to order increased watering, as necessary, to prevent transport of dust offsite. Their duties shall include holidays and weekend periods when work may not be in progress.</u></p> <p><u>p) Install appropriate wind breaks (e.g., trees, fences) on the windward side(s) of actively</u></p>		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>disturbed areas of the construction site to minimize wind blown dust. Wind breaks must have a maximum 50 percent air porosity.</u></p> <p><u>q) Vegetative ground cover (e.g., fast-germinating native grass seed) shall be planted in disturbed areas as soon as possible and watered appropriately until vegetation is established.</u></p> <p><u>r) The simultaneous occurrence of excavation, grading, and ground-disturbing construction activities on the same area at any one time shall be limited. Activities shall be phased to reduce the amount of disturbed surfaces at any one time.</u></p> <p><u>s) All trucks and equipment, including tires, shall be washed off prior to leaving the site.</u></p> <p><u>t) Site accesses to a distance of 100 feet from the paved road shall be treated with a 6 to 12 inch compacted layer of wood chips, mulch, or gravel.</u></p> <p><u>u) Minimize the idling time of diesel-powered construction equipment to two minutes.</u></p> <p><u>v) All equipment to be used on the construction site and subject to the requirements of Title 13, Section 2449 of the California Code of Regulations (“California Air Resources Board Off-Road Diesel Regulations”) must meet Emissions and Performance Requirements one year in advance of any fleet deadlines. The project applicant shall provide written documentation that the fleet requirements have been met.</u></p> <p><u>w) Use low VOC (i.e., ROG) coatings beyond the local requirements (i.e., BAAQMD Regulation 8, Rule 3: Architectural Coatings).</u></p>		
	<p>Mitigation 4.4-3: The Port shall develop and implement a criteria pollutant reduction program aimed at reducing or off-setting Port-related emissions in West Oakland from its maritime and rail operations to less than significant levels, consistent with applicable federal, state and local air quality standards. The program shall be sufficiently funded to strive to reduce emissions from redevelopment related contributors to local West Oakland air quality, and shall continually reexamine potential reductions toward achieving less than significant impacts as new technologies emerge. The adopted program shall define measurable reductions within specific time periods.</p> <p>This program shall be periodically reviewed and updated every one to three years, corresponding to regular updates of the CAP. The review and update shall include, and not be limited to, an assessment of any potential new strategies, a reassessment of funding requirements, technical feasibility, and cost benefit assumptions. Periodic updates shall be submitted to the City/Port Liaison Committee or its equivalent.</p> <p>The pollutant reduction program shall give priority to emission reduction strategies that address PM₁₀ emissions, but shall also provide for reductions in NO_x and ROG emissions. The emission reduction program shall include a list of potential emission reduction strategies. Strategies that shall be included in the program and implemented over the buildout period include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Port shall expand its existing cargo handling equipment re-powering and retrofitting program (part of the Berths 55-58 Project air quality mitigation program) to include marine and rail terminal yard equipment added or relocated as part of redevelopment build-out. 	Prior to starting operations	Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Port shall extend its grant program (part of the Berths 55-58 Project air quality mitigation program) to provide financial incentives to tugboat operators at New Berth 21 and other Port facilities to implement emission reduction control measures or to replace tugboat engines to low NOx technology. The Port shall require rail terminal operators to use switch engines at the New Intermodal Facility that comply with federal air emission regulations for diesel operated locomotives as set forth in federal air regulations. In addition, the rail terminal operator and the Port are to exchange information with the goal of investigating options to accelerate compliance with Tier 0, 1 and 2 requirements of the federal regulations. The Port shall not preclude in its design of the New Intermodal Facility the installation of an alternative fueling station and shall to the extent feasible accommodate such a fueling station. The Port shall encourage ships to implement source control technologies when in the port area (such as reduced hoteling). <p>Other strategies to be included in the Port criteria pollutant reduction program when technically and economically feasible, include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inclusion of an alternative fueling facility at the New Intermodal Facility. 		
	<p>Mitigation 4.4-4: The City and the Port shall jointly create, maintain and fund on a fair share basis, a truck diesel emission reduction program. The program shall be sufficiently funded to strive to reduce redevelopment related contributions to local West Oakland diesel emissions to less than significant levels, consistent with applicable federal, state and local air quality standards. The adopted program shall define measurable reduction within specific time periods.</p> <p>This program shall be periodically reviewed and updated every one to three years, corresponding to regular updates of the CAP. The review and update shall include, and not be limited to, an assessment of any potential new strategies, a reassessment of funding requirements, technical feasibility, and cost benefit assumptions. Periodic updates shall be submitted to the City/Port Liaison Committee or its equivalent.</p> <p>The diesel emissions reduction program shall include a list of potential emission reduction strategies that shall include on-site Port improvements and/or practices; loan, grant or incentive-based programs; and on-going studies.</p> <p>Strategies that shall be included in the diesel emissions reduction program and implemented over the build-out period include the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> On-site Port improvements. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Configure truck parking in the Port to minimize traffic interference and reduce idling times. 	Prior to operations	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allow easy access to a truck parking facility at the Port 24-hours a day. • Synchronize traffic lights in the Port area to reduce congestion (requires coordination with the City). <p>2. City/Port loan or grant/incentive programs for local businesses or entities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide incentives for re-powering, retrofitting, electrifying, or switching to alternative fuels to local businesses, franchises or truck fleets operating in West Oakland. Such businesses may include, for example, locally owned and operated trucking operations, refuse and recycling collection vehicles, school buses, Port and/or City fleet vehicles, and US Mail trucks. • Other strategies to be included in the diesel emissions reduction program to be examined and incorporate when technically and economically feasible, include the following: <p>1. On-site Port improvements.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allow trucks using alternative fuels to the head of queues or have separate gate entrances. <p>2. On-going studies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explore methods to minimize truck idling times at the Port. • Explore and encourage the use of alternative fuels for Port marine, rail and truck operations. • Propose and fund a random roadside heavy duty diesel vehicle (HDDV) emissions testing program and an HDDV repair subsidy program. <p>3. City/Port loan or grant/incentive programs for local businesses or entities.</p> <p>Provide subsidies, training programs and/or voucher programs for local West Oakland businesses to conduct timing retard, compressions changes and other adjustments to diesel engines to reduce emissions.</p> <p>Install oxidative catalyst and particulate traps on diesel engines with low NOx, alternatively fueled or electrified engines.</p>		
	<p><u>SCA TRANS-1: Parking and Transportation Demand Management, see Traffic and Transportation section below.</u></p>		
	<p>Mitigation 4.4-5: Major developers shall fund on a fair share basis BAAQMD recommended feasible Transportation Control Measures (TCMs) for reducing vehicle emissions from commercial, institutional, and industrial operations, as well as all CAP TCMs the BAAQMD has identified as appropriate for local implementation.</p>	Pre-construction	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:		
		Schedule	Responsibility	
2. Would the project violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation? (Addendum 3.3.5b; 2002 EIR Impact 4.4-1 through 4.4-5)	<p>Construction Emissions:</p> <p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measure 4.4-1 and 4.4-2</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: SCA AIR-1 and SCA AIR-2 (which supersedes 2002 EIR Mitigation Measure 4.4-1 and 4.4-2)</p>			
	<p><u>SCA AIR-1: Construction Management Plan: The project applicant shall submit to the Planning and Zoning Division and the Building Services Division for review and approval a construction management plan that identifies the conditions of approval and mitigation measures to construction impacts of the project and explains how the project applicant will comply with these construction-related conditions of approval and mitigation measures.</u></p>	<p>Prior to issuance of a demolition, grading, or building permit</p>	<p>City/Port</p>	
	<p>Mitigation 4.4-1: Contractors shall implement all BAAQMD “Basic” and “Optional” PM₁₀ (fugitive dust) control measures at all sites, and all “Enhanced” control measures at sites greater than four acres.</p> <p>Mitigation 4.4-2: Contractors shall implement exhaust control measures at all construction sites. Exhaust control measures shall be implemented where feasible at each construction site, and may include, but not be limited to the following:</p>	<p>Construction</p>	<p>City/Port</p>	
	<p>Operation Emissions:</p> <p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.4-3, 4.4-4, and 4.4-5</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.4-3, 4.4-4, 4.4-5 and 4.4-6, supplemented with SCA AIR-2</p>			
	<p>Mitigation 4.4-6: Title 24 of the Uniform Building Code (UBC) requires that new construction include energy-conserving fixtures and designs. Additionally, the City and Port shall implement sustainable development policies and strategies related to new development design and construction. Implementation of UBC requirements would reduce the need for space and water heating that would emit pollutants.</p> <p>City and Port policies and strategies shall be conditioned for all new development within the redevelopment project area. Specific examples may include, and are not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wood fire heating shall be prohibited in new live/work development. • Where siting allows and where feasible, buildings shall be oriented to take advantage of passive and active climate control designs. • To the maximum extent feasible, central water heating systems shall be installed. 	<p>Prior to issuance of a demolition, grading, or building permit</p>	<p>City/Port</p>	
3. Would the project result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria air pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.4-3, 4.4-4, and 4.4-5</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.4-3, 4.4-4, 4.4-5, 4.4-6 and 5.4-1, supplemented with SCA AIR-2</p>			

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)? (Addendum 3.3.5c; 2002 EIR Impact 4.4-3, 4.4-5, Cumulative Impact 5.4-1)	<p>Mitigation Measure 5.4-1: The City and the Port shall encourage, lobby, and potentially participate in emission reduction demonstration projects that promote technological advances in improving air quality.</p> <p>Such encouragement, lobbying, and participation may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retrofitting locomotive engines to meet current federal standards. • Using reduced sulfur fuels in ships while the ships are in the San Francisco Bay. • Treating NO_x with selective catalytic reductions. • Implementing random roadside emissions tests and develop a system of fines for trucks not in compliance with emission regulations. • Establishing emissions-based berthing fees. • Buying relatively old, highly polluting cars to take them off the road. <p>Although these programs may assist in advancing emission reduction technologies or implementing emission reduction methods, the incremental contribution of the redevelopment program would remain cumulatively considerable, and the cumulative impact on air quality remains significant and unavoidable</p>	Pre-operations; Operations	City/Port
4. 2012: Significant and Unavoidable (No New Impact and no substantial increase in severity of a previously identified impact)d the project expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations? (Addendum 3.3.5d; 2002 EIR Impact 4.4-3)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.4-2, 4.4-3, 4.4-4, 4.4-5 and 4.4-6</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.4-3, 4.4-4, 4.4-5 and 4.4-6, supplemented with SCA AIR-1, SCA AIR-2 (which supersede 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.4-1 and 4.4-2), and SCA AIR-3</p>		
	<p><u>SCA AIR-3: Exposure to Air Pollution (Toxic Air Contaminants: Particulate Matter):</u></p> <p><u>A. Indoor Air Quality: In accordance with the recommendations of the California Air Resources Board (ARB) and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District, appropriate measures shall be incorporated into the project design in order to reduce the potential health risk due to exposure to diesel particulate matter to achieve an acceptable interior air quality level for sensitive receptors. The appropriate measures shall include one of the following methods:</u></p> <p><u>1) The project applicant shall retain a qualified air quality consultant to prepare a health risk assessment (HRA) in accordance with the ARB and the Office of Environmental Health and Hazard Assessment requirements to determine the exposure of project residents/occupants/users to air pollutants prior to issuance of a demolition, grading, or building permit. The HRA shall be submitted to the Planning and Zoning Division for review and approval. The applicant shall implement the approved HRA recommendations, if any. If the HRA concludes that the air quality risks from nearby sources are at or below acceptable levels, then additional measures are not required.</u></p>	Prior to issuance of a demolition, grading, or building permit	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>2) The applicant shall implement all of the following features that have been found to reduce the air quality risk to sensitive receptors and shall be included in the project construction plans. These features shall be submitted to the Planning and Zoning Division and the Building Services Division for review and approval prior to the issuance of a demolition, grading, or building permit and shall be maintained on an ongoing basis during operation of the project.</u></p> <p><u>a) Redesign the site layout to locate sensitive receptors as far as possible from any freeways, major roadways, or other sources of air pollution (e.g., loading docks, parking lots).</u></p> <p><u>b) Do not locate sensitive receptors near distribution center's entry and exit points.</u></p> <p><u>c) Incorporate tiered plantings of trees (redwood, deodar cedar, live oak, and/or oleander) to the maximum extent feasible between the sources of pollution and the sensitive receptors.</u></p> <p><u>d) Install, operate and maintain in good working order a central heating and ventilation (HV) system or other air take system in the building, or in each individual residential unit, that meets or exceeds an efficiency standard of MERV 13. The HV system shall include the following features: Installation of a high efficiency filter and/or carbon filter to filter particulates and other chemical matter from entering the building. Either HEPA filters or ASHRAE 85% supply filters shall be used.</u></p> <p><u>e) Retain a qualified HV consultant or HERS rater during the design phase of the project to locate the HV system based on exposure modeling from the pollutant sources.</u></p> <p><u>f) Install indoor air quality monitoring units in buildings.</u></p> <p><u>g) Project applicant shall maintain, repair and/or replace HV system on an ongoing and as needed basis or shall prepare an operation and maintenance manual for the HV system and the filter. The manual shall include the operating instructions and the maintenance and replacement schedule. This manual shall be included in the CC&Rs for residential projects and distributed to the building maintenance staff. In addition, the applicant shall prepare a separate homeowners manual. The manual shall contain the operating instructions and the maintenance and replacement schedule for the HV system and the filters.</u></p> <p><u>A-B. Outdoor Air Quality: To the maximum extent practicable, individual and common exterior open space, including playgrounds, patios, and decks, shall either be shielded from the source of air pollution by buildings or otherwise buffered to further reduce air pollution for project occupants.</u></p>		
Biological Resources			
1. Would the project have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any	2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.12-4, 4.12-5, 4.12-6, 4.12-8, 4.12-9, and 4.12-13 related to habitat and loss of wetlands; Mitigation Measures 4.12-10, 4.12-11 and 4.12-12 related to		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
<p>species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?</p> <p>(Addendum 3.4.5a; 2002 EIR Impacts 4.12-1, 4.12.2, 4.12.6, 4.12-7, 4.12-8 and Cumulative Impact 5.12-1; Note: 2002 EIR Impacts 4.12-3, 4.12-4 and 4.12-5 are not applicable to the 2012 Project.)</p>	<p>invasive species.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.12-5, 4.12-6, related to habitat and loss of wetlands, supplemented with SCA BIO-1 (which supersedes Mitigation Measure 4.12-8 and 4.12-9), SCA BIO-5 (which supersedes Mitigation Measure 4.12-13). Mitigation Measures 4.12-10, and Mitigation Measures 4.12-11, 4.12-12 as modified below, and Mitigation Measures 3.4-1a and 3.4-1b, to address potential increased predation on California Least Terns by raptors. <i>(Note: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.12-1 through 4.12-3 were eliminated in the 2002 FEIR)</i></p>		
	<p><u>SCA BIO-1: Tree Removal During Breeding Season:</u> <u>To the extent feasible, removal of any tree and/or other vegetation suitable for nesting of raptors shall not occur during the breeding season of March 15 and August 15. If tree removal must occur during the breeding season, all sites shall be surveyed by a qualified biologist to verify the presence or absence of nesting raptors or other birds. Pre-removal surveys shall be conducted within 15 days prior to start of work from March 15 through May 31, and within 30 days prior to the start of work from June 1 through August 15. The pre-removal surveys shall be submitted to the Planning and Zoning Division and the Tree Services Division of the Public Works Agency. If the survey indicates the potential presences of nesting raptors or other birds, the biologist shall determine an appropriately sized buffer around the nest in which no work will be allowed until the young have successfully fledged. The size of the nest buffer will be determined by the biologist in consultation with the CDFG, and will be based to a large extent on the nesting species and its sensitivity to disturbance. In general, buffer sizes of 200 feet for raptors and 50 feet for other birds should suffice to prevent disturbance to birds nesting in the urban environment, but these buffers may be increased or decreased, as appropriate, depending on the bird species and the level of disturbance anticipated near the nest.</u></p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of a tree removal permit</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p>SCA BIO-5 Regulatory Permits and Authorizations: <u>Prior to construction in or near the water, the project applicant shall obtain all necessary regulatory permits and authorizations, including without limitation, from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) and the City of Oakland, and shall comply with all conditions issued by applicable agencies. Required permit approvals and certifications may include, but not be limited to the following:</u></p> <p>a) <u>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps): Section 404. Permit approval from the Corps shall be obtained for the placement of dredge or fill material in Waters of the U.S., if any, within the interior of the project site, pursuant to Section 404 of the federal Clean Water Act.</u></p> <p>b) <u>Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB): Section 401 Water Quality Certification. Certification that the project will not violate state water quality standards is required before the Corps can issue a 404 permit, above.</u></p> <p>c) <u>San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) approvals.</u></p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of a demolition, grading, or building permit within vicinity of the shoreline</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>
	<p>Mitigation Measure 4.12-5: A qualified observer shall be present on site during all in-water construction activities near potential herring spawning areas between December 1 and March 1.</p> <p>This measure shall be enforced via contract specifications. The observer shall have the authority to redirect, but not to stop work.</p>	<p><u>During construction</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>
	<p>Mitigation Measure 4.12-6: If spawning is observed, in-water construction activities shall be redirected for 200 meters around the spawning area for two weeks.</p> <p>Work may resume in the spawning area after two weeks, providing additional spawning does not occur. This measure shall be enforced via contract specifications.</p>	<p><u>During construction</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>
	<p>Mitigation 4.12-8: Trees shall be removed between September 1 and January 31 to avoid the nesting season (February 1 to August 31). Alternatively, field surveys shall be conducted no earlier than 45 days and no later than 20 days prior to the removal of any trees during the nesting/breeding season of bird species potentially nesting on the site to determine whether birds are present.</p>	<p><u>Pre construction</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>
	<p>Mitigation Measure 4.12-10: The Port shall continue to enforce its tariff requirements regarding ballast water and if the State law sunsets, shall implement the remainder of its ballast water ordinance, as it may be amended from time to time.</p> <p>Item No. 02215 of the Port's tariff (its operating rules and regulations) defines the Port's Ballast Water Management Program. Among other things, the Port's program compiles information regarding the ballasting behavior of carriers calling at the Port of Oakland. This information is expected to be valuable in crafting durable solutions to the problems ballast water-borne invasive species pose to the ecology of the Bay, and to invasive species issues elsewhere. This mitigation measure would continue the Port's program through the build-out year of this project, or 2020, or until required by regulatory permit conditions, whichever is later. Should portions of the Port's</p>	<p><u>During construction</u></p>	<p><u>Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p>program be redundant to federal, state, or regional programs, or be pre-empted by such programs, the Port will continue to operate those non-pre-empted portions of its program that provide information not obtained through other programs.</p> <p>Modified Mitigation Measure 4.12-11: The Port, and developer and sub-tenants at Berths 6½ 7 and 8 (Wharves 6½ and 7), shall continue to develop and implement a carrier ballast water education program.</p> <p>Either by itself or by participating in programs by others, e.g., Sea Grant, the Port shall create a program to educate ocean carriers regarding the potential harm of ballasting activities. The program shall at a minimum, include the following elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Educate carriers to all applicable regulations and guidelines. • Inform carriers of the benefits of ships constructed with internal ballast water transfer systems. These systems allow ballast water to be shifted internally from tank to tank, minimizing or eliminating the need for discharge of ballast water when ships are at berth • Encourage carriers to purchase internally-ballasting vessels when they place orders for new ships. <p>Educate carriers regarding potential benefits of reducing ballast water discharges, even if ballast water has already been exchanged in the open ocean.</p>	Operations	City/Port
	<p>Modified Mitigation Measure 4.12-12: The Port, and developer and sub-tenants at Berths 7 and 8 (Wharves 6½ and 7), shall support international and United States efforts to adopt uniform international or national standards to avoid introduction of exotic species through shipping activities.</p>	Operations	City/Port
	<p>Mitigation 4.12-13: Contractors and developers shall comply with all conditions imposed by the RWQCB for fill of wetlands.</p>	Pre-construction	City/Port
	<p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.4-1a:</u> The developer shall submit a Landscape Plan for City review and approval. The plan shall not include tall ornamental trees that could provide perches for raptors in the northern project site, in the vicinity of Gateway Park.</p> <p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.4-1b:</u> The developer shall submit a Lighting Plan for City review and approval. The plan shall note that raptor deterrents shall be placed on light standards in the northern project site, in the vicinity of Gateway Park, or lighting fixtures or posts in the area shall have limited horizontal elements which could be used as perches.</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of a building permit, associated with the Planned Unit Development process</p>	City/Port
2. Would the project have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.12-11 and 4.12-12.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.12-11 and 4.12-12</p>		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service? (Addendum 3.4.5b; 2002 EIR Impact 4.12-8)			
3. Would the project have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands (as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act) or state protected wetlands, through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? (Addendum 3.4.5c; 2002 EIR Impact 4.12-9; Note: 2002 EIR Impacts 4.12-3 is not applicable to the 2012 Project.)	2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measure 4.12-4		
	2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measure 4.12-4 is superseded by SCA BIO-5		
	Mitigation Measure 4.12-4: Contractors, developers, the Port and EBRPD shall comply with all permit conditions from the Corps, RWQCB, USEWS/NMFS, BCDC, and CDFG for fill.	Pre-construction	City/Port
4. Would the project substantially interfere with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? (Addendum 3.4.5d; 2002 EIR Impacts 4.12-4, 4.12-7 and 4.12-8)	2002 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.12-5, 4.12-6, 4.12-11, and 4.12-12 2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.12-5, 4.12-6, 4.12-11 (as modified above), and 4.12-12 (as modified above)		
5. Would the project fundamentally conflict with the City of Oakland Tree Protection Ordinance (Oakland Municipal Code (OMC) Chapter 12.36) by removal of protected trees under certain circumstances? (Addendum 3.4.5f; 2002 EIR Impact 4.12-6, 4.12-7)	2002 Mitigation: Mitigation 4.12-7. 2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measure 4.12-7 is superseded by SCA BIO-2 and supplemented by BIO-3 and BIO-4.		
	<u>SCA BIO-2: Tree Removal Permit: Prior to removal of any protected trees, per the Protected Tree Ordinance, located on the project site or in the public right-of-way adjacent to the project, the project applicant must secure a tree removal permit from the Tree Division of the Public Works Agency, and abide by the conditions of that permit.</u>	Prior to issuance of a demolition, grading, or building permit.	City/Port
	<u>SCA BIO-3: Tree Replacement Plantings: Replacement plantings shall be required for erosion control, groundwater replenishment, visual screening and wildlife habitat, and in order to prevent excessive loss of shade, in accordance with the following criteria:</u> a) <u>No tree replacement shall be required for the removal of nonnative species, for the removal of trees which is required for the benefit of remaining trees, or where insufficient planting area exists for a mature tree of the species being considered.</u> b) <u>Replacement tree species shall consist of Sequoia sempervirens (Coast Redwood), Quercus</u>	Prior to issuance of a final inspection of the building permit.	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>agrifolia (Coast Live Oak), Arbutus menziesii (Madrone), Aesculus californica (California Buckeye) or Umbellularia californica (California Bay Laurel) or other tree species acceptable to the Tree Services Division.</u></p> <p><u>c) Replacement trees shall be at least of twenty-four (24) inch box size, unless a smaller size is recommended by the arborist, except that three fifteen (15) gallon size trees may be substituted for each twenty-four (24) inch box size tree where appropriate.</u></p> <p><u>d) Minimum planting areas must be available on site as follows:</u></p> <p><u>i. For Sequoia sempervirens, three hundred fifteen square feet per tree;</u></p> <p><u>ii. For all other species listed in #2 above, seven hundred (700) square feet per tree.</u></p> <p><u>e) In the event that replacement trees are required but cannot be planted due to site constraints, an in lieu fee as determined by the master fee schedule of the city may be substituted for required replacement plantings, with all such revenues applied toward tree planting in city parks, streets and medians.</u></p> <p><u>Plantings shall be installed prior to the issuance of a final inspection of the building permit, subject to seasonal constraints, and shall be maintained by the project applicant until established. The Tree Reviewer of the Tree Division of the Public Works Agency may require a landscape plan showing the replacement planting and the method of irrigation. Any replacement planting which fails to become established within one year of planting shall be replanted at the project applicant's expense.</u></p>		
	<p><u>SCA BIO-4: Tree Protection During Construction:</u> Adequate protection shall be provided during the construction period for any trees which are to remain standing, including the following, plus any recommendations of an arborist:</p> <p><u>a) Before the start of any clearing, excavation, construction or other work on the site, every protected tree deemed to be potentially endangered by said site work shall be securely fenced off at a distance from the base of the tree to be determined by the City Tree Reviewer. Such fences shall remain in place for duration of all such work. All trees to be removed shall be clearly marked. A scheme shall be established for the removal and disposal of logs, brush, earth and other debris which will avoid injury to any protected tree.</u></p> <p><u>b) Where proposed development or other site work is to encroach upon the protected perimeter of any protected tree, special measures shall be incorporated to allow the roots to breathe and obtain water and nutrients. Any excavation, cutting, filing, or compaction of the existing ground surface within the protected perimeter shall be minimized. No change in existing ground level shall occur within a distance to be determined by the City Tree Reviewer from the base of any protected tree at any time. No burning or use of equipment with an open flame shall occur near or within the protected perimeter of any protected tree.</u></p> <p><u>c) No storage or dumping of oil, gas, chemicals, or other substances that may be harmful to trees shall occur within the distance to be determined by the Tree Reviewer from the base of any</u></p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of a demolition, grading, or building permit.</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>protected trees, or any other location on the site from which such substances might enter the protected perimeter. No heavy construction equipment or construction materials shall be operated or stored within a distance from the base of any protected trees to be determined by the tree reviewer. Wires, ropes, or other devices shall not be attached to any protected tree, except as needed for support of the tree. No sign, other than a tag showing the botanical classification, shall be attached to any protected tree.</u></p> <p><u>d) Periodically during construction, the leaves of protected trees shall be thoroughly sprayed with water to prevent buildup of dust and other pollution that would inhibit leaf transpiration.</u></p> <p><u>e) If any damage to a protected tree should occur during or as a result of work on the site, the project applicant shall immediately notify the Public Works Agency of such damage. If, in the professional opinion of the Tree Reviewer, such tree cannot be preserved in a healthy state, the Tree Reviewer shall require replacement of any tree removed with another tree or trees on the same site deemed adequate by the Tree Reviewer to compensate for the loss of the tree that is removed.</u></p> <p><u>f) All debris created as a result of any tree removal work shall be removed by the project applicant from the property within two weeks of debris creation, and such debris shall be properly disposed of by the project applicant in accordance with all applicable laws, ordinances, and regulations.</u></p>		
	<p>Mitigation 4.12-7: Application for a tree preservation/tree removal permit from the City of Oakland for all protected trees shall comply with the Tree Ordinance, which includes replacement of native trees at a minimum of a 1:1 ratio. The Port is not subject to the City's ordinance, but shall replace native trees at a minimum ratio of 1:1.</p> <p>A City tree permit requires a map of the affected trees and submission of development plans. Unless certain exceptions are met, any native tree removed in the project requires at least a 1:1 mitigation. In addition to the ordinance requirements, development of the area shall result in landscaping of the area, and shall create a beneficial aesthetic effect. Although the Port is not subject to the City's ordinance, it shall replace native trees at a minimum ratio of 1:1.</p>	Pre-construction	City/Port
Cultural Resources²			
1. Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in <i>CEQA Guidelines</i> Section 15064.5? ³	<p>2002: Mitigation Measures 4.6-2, 4.6-3, 4.6-4, 4.6-5, 4.6-6, 4.6-7, 4.6-8, 4.6-9, 4.6-10, 4.6-11, 4.6-14, 4.6-15, and 4.6-16. (Note: Mitigation 4.6-12 was eliminated in the 2002 FEIR).</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.6-2, 4.6-3, 4.6-4 (Completed), 4.6-5, 4.6-6 (Completed), 4.6-7, 4.6-8 (Completed), 4.6-9, 4.6-10, 4.6-11 (Completed), 4.6-14 (modified), 4.6-15 (Completed), and 4.6-16 (Completed).</p>		

² Note: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measure 4.6-13 is not applicable to the 2012 Project; 4.6-12 was eliminated from the 2002 FEIR.

³ Ibid.

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
Specifically, a substantial adverse change includes physical demolition, destruction, relocation, or alteration of the resource or its immediate surroundings such that the significance of the historical resource would be “materially impaired?” (Addendum 3.5.5a and Cumulative 3.5.6; 2002 EIR Impact 4.6-2 and Cumulative Impact 5.6-1)	<p>Mitigation Measure 4.6-2: The City, Port and OARB sub-district developers shall fund on a fair-share basis development of a commemoration site, including preparation of a Master Plan for such a site, at a public place located within the Gateway development area. The City shall ensure that the scale and scope of the commemoration site reflects the actual loss of historic resources.</p> <p>Land shall be set aside for development of a commemoration site at a publicly accessible place located within the Gateway development area (potentially the Gateway Park at the Bay Bridge touchdown peninsula). The commemoration site should include relocated physical elements of the OARB Historic District, along with appropriate monument(s) to memorialize the contributions of civilians and the military in the Bay Area to all wars.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An appropriate location shall be set-aside for development of a commemoration site. The commemoration site shall be at a publicly accessible place. It may be located within or adjacent to any historic district contributor buildings that are preserved on a permanent basis (see Mitigation Measure 4.6-16). If that is not feasible, another potential location is within or near to the Gateway Park. • A design plan for the commemoration site shall be prepared, and shall include the design of monuments and the selection of appropriate relocated physical elements from the OARB, potentially including relocated structures or portions of structures to be included in the site. The City and the Port shall identify structures and/or portions of structures to be preserved or moved to the commemoration site prior to demolition. • The master planning process should involve the City and the Port, the public and interested historical and veterans groups, historic experts, and other public agencies. • Implementation of the commemoration site master plan may be phased along with the timing of new development. • The master plan shall include an endowment to be funded by the City and the Port, or their designee, for on-going maintenance and replacement and may also include curator costs associated with commemoration site and with trail signage, exhibits, and design elements as described below. • The City and the Port shall develop an ongoing outreach program informing the public of the importance of the OARB to the community and the region, and of the existence of the commemorative site. 	Prior to approval of PUD.	City/Port
	<p>Mitigation Measure 4.6-3: The City shall ensure the commemoration site is linked to the Gateway Park and the Bay Trail via a public access trail.</p> <p>Within the Gateway development area, this trail may be located along the shoreline. Beyond the Gateway, the trail would follow the new alignment of Maritime Street, connecting to 7th Street, which connects to the Port’s Middle Harbor Shoreline Park and other existing and planned trail segments.</p>	Prior to approval of PUD.	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The design and development of this on-site trail shall include a series of interpretive panels, exhibits and design elements that communicate the scope and historical significance of Base activities and their impact on the community throughout the life of the Base. A brochure shall be developed and made available describing the history of the Army Base that could be used as a self-guided tour, related to the interpretive panels and exhibits described above. 		
	Mitigation Measure 4.6-4: The City, Port, and OARB sub-district developers shall fund on a fair share basis collection and preservation of oral histories from OARB military and civilian staff.	Completed	NA
	<p>Mitigation Measure 4.6-5: The City, Port, and OARB sub-district developers shall fund on a fair share basis collaboration with “military.com” or a similar military history web site.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The parties shall fund development of an interactive web page to be provided to military.com or other web-based organization where former military personnel can be connected to the OARB documentation. A list of list of draftees/enlistees processed through the OARB during WWII and the Korean and Vietnam Wars may be an element of such a site. 	Prior to issuance of a building permit	City/Port
	Mitigation Measure 4.6-6: The City, Port, and OARB sub-district developers shall fund on a fair share basis distribution of copies of the complete OARB HABS/HAER documentation prepared by the Army to: the Oakland History Room, Oakland Public Library, Bancroft Library, University of California; and the Port of Oakland Archives for the purpose of added public access to these records.	Completed	NA
	<p>Mitigation Measure 4.6-7: If determined of significant historical educational value by the Oakland Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board and the Oakland Heritage Alliance, the City, Port, and OARB sub-district developers shall fund on a fair share basis distribution of copies of “A Job Well Done” documentary video published by the Army.</p> <p>The Army has produced a television broadcast-quality video documentary that describes the mission and historical significance of the OARB. This documentary is not widely distributed, and has not been viewed by the Oakland Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board or the Oakland Heritage Alliance. This documentary is currently available to the public, but is not widely distributed. This mitigation measure will ensure that the documentary is widely distributed and made available to a larger audience interested in the history of the Base. It will also offset the modification and/or destruction of many of the historic buildings on the base, preserve their images, and provide a description of their function and role to the interested public. Copies of the video shall be distributed to: the Oakland History Room, Oakland Public Library, Bancroft Library, University of California; the Port of Oakland Archives; local public schools and libraries; and local public broadcasting stations. Funding shall also be used to copy this video onto more permanent archive-</p>	Prior to issuance of a building permit	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	stable medium such as a CD.		
	Mitigation Measure 4.6-8: The City, Port, and OARB sub-district developers shall fund on a fair share basis preservation and long term curation of murals from OARB Building No. 1, and OBRA shall either donate the murals to the Oakland Museum of California, or provide a permanent location elsewhere.	Completed	NA
	<p>Mitigation Measure 4.6-9: The City, Port, and OARB sub-district developers shall fund on a fair share basis a program to salvage as whole timber posts, beams, trusses and siding of warehouses to be deconstructed. These materials shall be used on site if deconstruction is the only option. Reuse of a warehouse building or part of a warehouse building at its current location, or relocated to another Gateway location is preferable.</p> <p>To the extent feasible, these materials shall be used in whole, on site, in the construction of new buildings within the Gateway development area. Special consideration shall be given to the use of these materials at the commemoration site through the site’s Master Planning effort</p> <p>If on-site reuse is found infeasible, opportunities shall be sought for reuse of these materials in other East Bay Area construction, or be sold into the recycled construction materials market. Landfill disposal of salvageable construction material from contributing historic structures shall be prohibited by contract specification. Salvage and reuse requirements shall be enforced via contract specification.</p> <p>Salvage operations shall employ members of local job-training bridge programs (Youth Employment Program, Joint Apprenticeship Training Committee, Homeless Collaborative) or other similar organizations, if feasible, to provide construction-training opportunities to Oakland residents.</p> <p>Salvage and reuse of the timber from these structures will help to reduce the impacts on the environment and save this ecologically and historically valuable material for reuse in the local community.</p>	Prior to issuance of a building permit	City/Port
	<p>Mitigation Measure 4.6-10: The City, Port, and OARB sub-district developers shall fund on a fair share basis production of a brochure describing history and architectural history of the OARB.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The brochure shall be distributed to local libraries and schools, and be made available to the public at select pick-up and drop-off locations along the Bay Trail to be used for self-guided tours. • This brochure shall build upon the previously completed historical documentation produced by the Port of Oakland, the Navy, and the Army for previous projects and on the original research completed for preparation of the Historical Resource Documentation Program and book. • This brochure shall will document the history of the redevelopment area and provide references to where more detailed information about the Base may be found. 	Prior to issuance of a building permit	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	Mitigation Measure 4.6-11: The City, Port, and OARB sub-district developers shall fund on a fair-share basis acquisition of copies of construction documentation and photographs of historic buildings currently in the OARB files and transfer the copies to the Oakland History Room files and Port historic archives, including funding to cover costs of archiving and cataloging these materials, as well as curator costs at the Oakland History Room. While select photos and information may be exhibited at the commemoration site, the Oakland History Room is the most appropriate location for the archive.	Completed	NA
	<u>Modified Mitigation Measure 4.6-14: No demolition or deconstruction of contributing structures to the OARB Historic District shall occur until a master plan and/or Lease Disposition and Development Agreement has been approved by the City or the Port, respectively, and demolition or deconstruction of a building is required to realize the master infrastructure development plan necessary for approved redevelopment activities, in conformity with applicable General Plan Historic Preservation Element and City of Oakland Planning requirements.</u> ⁴	Approval of master plan and/or Lease Disposition and Development Agreement	City/Port
	Mitigation Measure 4.6-14: No demolition or deconstruction of contributing structures to the OARB Historic District shall occur until necessary. All efforts shall be made to retain as much of Building 1 as possible while still achieving remediation goals.		
	Mitigation Measure 4.6-15: As part of the deconstruction and salvaging requirements for demolition of any contributing structure within the OARB Historic District (see Mitigation Measure 4.6-9), specific architectural elements, building components or fixtures should be salvaged. A professional historic preservationist shall determine which, if any such elements, components or fixtures should be retained.	Completed	NA
	Mitigation Measure 4.6-16: The City, Port, and OARB sub-district developers shall fund on a fair-share basis preparation of an Historical Resource Documentation Program. This program shall consist of a coordinated effort of primary research and documentation, with a substantial scholarly	Completed	NA

⁴ As noted in Section 3.5 Cultural Resources of the 2012 OARB Initial Study/Addendum, remediation activities have been ongoing and will continue. Building 1 has been deconstructed. Reuse feasibility studies have been prepared, reviewed and approved determining that the reuse of all of the existing buildings have been deemed infeasible for the proposed warehouse and rail oriented logistics facilities contemplated for the 2012 Project. The 2002 EIR mitigation measure that no demolition or deconstruction may occur until a building permit is obtained is not feasible. Geological studies prepared during the master planning process for the project area have determined that the entire OARB site requires significant and time consuming grading work. As noted in Section 2, Project Description, every site needs to be dynamically compacted, surcharged with as much as 8 feet of soil, wicked of its water content, and then regraded to a new grade which will raise the sites from 2 to 3 feet above the current elevation. This is only feasible if done on a large scale, such as all of the Central Gateway or at least one third of the East Gateway. This activity cannot be performed around the existing buildings. All building must be taken down in advance of the required grading. Reuse feasibility studies prepared have concluded that the reuse of all of the existing buildings have been deemed infeasible for the port and rail oriented logistics facilities contemplated in the 2012 Project. All building must be relocated pursuant to SCA CULT-4 or deconstructed pursuant Mitigation Measure 4.6-9 in advance of the required grading. Thus, the mitigation requirement for demolishing buildings, subject to a specific building permit, is hereby deleted.

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	input and publicly available products. The first product of this program shall include a coordinated effort to conduct the research, writing, photo documentation, assembly and publication efforts needed to prepare a comprehensive book on the history of the Oakland Army Base. The book shall document the important contribution the Base has had to the U.S. military, to Oakland and to the nation at large.		
2. Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to <i>CEQA Guidelines</i> Section 15064.5? (Addendum 3.5.5b; 2002 EIR Impact 4.6-1)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measure 4.6-1</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measure 4.6-1 is superseded by SCA CULT-1.</p>		
	<p><u>SCA CULT-1: Archaeological Resources: Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15064.5 (f), "provisions for historical or unique archaeological resources accidentally discovered during construction" should be instituted. Therefore, in the event that any prehistoric or historic subsurface cultural resources are discovered during ground disturbing activities, all work within 50 feet of the resources shall be halted and the project applicant and/or lead agency shall consult with a qualified archaeologist or paleontologist to assess the significance of the find. If any find is determined to be significant, representatives of the project proponent and/or lead agency and the qualified archaeologist would meet to determine the appropriate avoidance measures or other appropriate measure, with the ultimate determination to be made by the City of Oakland. All significant cultural materials recovered shall be subject to scientific analysis, professional museum curation, and a report prepared by the qualified archaeologist according to current professional standards.</u></p> <p><u>a) In considering any suggested measure proposed by the consulting archaeologist in order to mitigate impacts to historical resources or unique archaeological resources, the project applicant shall determine whether avoidance is necessary and feasible in light of factors such as the nature of the find, project design, costs, and other considerations. If avoidance is unnecessary or infeasible, other appropriate measures (e.g., data recovery) shall be instituted. Work may proceed on other parts of the project site while measure for historical resources or unique archaeological resources is carried out.</u></p> <p><u>Should an archaeological artifact or feature be discovered on-site during project construction, all activities within a 50-foot radius of the find would be halted until the findings can be fully investigated by a qualified archaeologist to evaluate the find and assess the significance of the find according to the CEQA definition of a historical or unique archaeological resource. If the deposit is determined to be significant, the project applicant and the qualified archaeologist shall meet to determine the appropriate avoidance measures or other appropriate measure, subject to approval by the City of Oakland, which shall assure implementation of appropriate measure measures recommended by the archaeologist. Should archaeologically-significant materials be recovered, the qualified archaeologist shall recommend appropriate analysis and treatment, and shall prepare a report on the findings for submittal to the Northwest Information Center.</u></p>	Ongoing throughout demolition, grading, and/or construction.	City/Port
	<p>Mitigation Measure 4.6-1: Should previously unidentified cultural resources be encountered during redevelopment, work in that vicinity shall stop immediately, until an assessment of the finds can be made by an archaeologist. If the resource is found to be significant under CEQA, an appropriate</p>	Construction	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	mitigation plan must be developed.		
3. Would the project directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature? (Addendum 3.5.5c; 2002 EIR Impact 4.6-1)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measure 4.6-1</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measure 4.6-1, superseded by SCA CULT-3.</p>		
	<p><u>SCA CULT-3: Paleontological Resources: In the event of an unanticipated discovery of a paleontological resource during construction, excavations within 50 feet of the find shall be temporarily halted or diverted until the discovery is examined by a qualified paleontologist (per Society of Vertebrate Paleontology standards [SVP 1995,1996]). The qualified paleontologist shall document the discovery as needed, evaluate the potential resource, and assess the significance of the find under the criteria set forth in Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines. The paleontologist shall notify the appropriate agencies to determine procedures that would be followed before construction is allowed to resume at the location of the find. If the City determines that avoidance is not feasible, the paleontologist shall prepare an excavation plan for mitigating the effect of the project on the qualities that make the resource important, and such plan shall be implemented. The plan shall be submitted to the City for review and approval.</u></p>	Ongoing throughout demolition, grading, and/or construction.	City/Port
4. Would the project disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries? (Addendum 3.5.5d; 2002 EIR Impact 4.6-1)	<p>2002 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measure 4.6-1</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measure 4.6-1, superseded by SCA CULT-2</p>		
	<p><u>SCA CULT-2: Human Remains: In the event that human skeletal remains are uncovered at the project site during construction or ground-breaking activities, all work shall immediately halt and the Alameda County Coroner shall be contacted to evaluate the remains, and following the procedures and protocols pursuant to Section 15064.5 (e)(1) of the CEQA Guidelines. If the County Coroner determines that the remains are Native American, the City shall contact the California Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC), pursuant to subdivision (c) of Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, and all excavation and site preparation activities shall cease within a 50-foot radius of the find until appropriate arrangements are made. If the agencies determine that avoidance is not feasible, then an alternative plan shall be prepared with specific steps and timeframe required to resume construction activities. Monitoring, data recovery, determination of significance and avoidance measures (if applicable) shall be completed expeditiously.</u></p>	Ongoing throughout demolition, grading, and/or construction	City/Port
Geology and Soils			
1. Would the project expose people or	2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.13-1 and 4.13-2.		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
structures to substantial risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map or Seismic Hazards Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to California Geological Survey 42 and 117 and Public Resources Code section 2690 et. seq.; ii) Strong seismic ground shaking; iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction, lateral spreading, subsidence, collapse; iv) Landslides? (Addendum 3.6.5a; 2002 EIR Impact 4.13-1, 4.13-2, 4.13-3, 2002 EIR Cumulative Impact 5.13-1)	2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.13-1 and 4.13-2, supplemented with SCA GEO-2 and SCA GEO-3.		
	<p><u>SCA GEO-2: Soils Report: A preliminary soils report for each construction site within the project area shall be required as part of this project and submitted for review and approval by the Building Services Division. The soils reports shall be based, at least in part, on information obtained from on-site testing. Specifically the minimum contents of the report should include:</u></p> <p><u>A. Logs of borings and/or profiles of test pits and trenches:</u></p> <p><u>a) The minimum number of borings acceptable, when not used in combination with test pits or trenches, shall be two (2), when in the opinion of the Soils Engineer such borings shall be sufficient to establish a soils profile suitable for the design of all the footings, foundations, and retaining structures.</u></p> <p><u>b) The depth of each boring shall be sufficient to provide adequate design criteria for all proposed structures.</u></p> <p><u>c) All boring logs shall be included in the soils report.</u></p> <p><u>B. Test pits and trenches</u></p> <p><u>a) Test pits and trenches shall be of sufficient length and depth to establish a suitable soils profile for the design of all proposed structures.</u></p> <p><u>b) Soils profiles of all test pits and trenches shall be included in the soils report.</u></p> <p><u>C. A plat shall be included which shows the relationship of all the borings, test pits, and trenches to the exterior boundary of the site. The plat shall also show the location of all proposed site improvements. All proposed improvements shall be labeled.</u></p> <p><u>D. Copies of all data generated by the field and/or laboratory testing to determine allowable soil bearing pressures, shear strength, active and passive pressures, maximum allowable slopes where applicable and any other information which may be required for the proper design of foundations, retaining walls, and other structures to be erected subsequent to or concurrent with work done under the grading permit.</u></p> <p><u>E. Soils Report. A written report shall be submitted which shall include, but is not limited to, the following:</u></p> <p><u>a) Site description;</u></p> <p><u>b) Local and site geology;</u></p> <p><u>c) Review of previous field and laboratory investigations for the site;</u></p> <p><u>d) Review of information on or in the vicinity of the site on file at the Information Counter, City of Oakland, Office of Planning and Building;</u></p>	<u>Prior to issuance of demolition, grading or building permit</u>	<u>City/Port</u>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p>e) <u>Site stability shall be addressed with particular attention to existing conditions and proposed corrective attention to existing conditions and proposed corrective actions at locations where land stability problems exist;</u></p> <p>f) <u>Conclusions and recommendations for foundations and retaining structures, resistance to lateral loading, slopes, and specifications, for fills, and pavement design as required;</u></p> <p>g) <u>Conclusions and recommendations for temporary and permanent erosion control and drainage. If not provided in a separate report they shall be appended to the required soils report;</u></p> <p>h) <u>All other items which a Soils Engineer deems necessary;</u></p> <p>i) <u>The signature and registration number of the Civil Engineer preparing the report.</u></p> <p>F. <u>The Director of Planning and Building may reject a report that she/he believes is not sufficient. The Director of Planning and Building may refuse to accept a soils report if the certification date of the responsible soils engineer on said document is more than three years old. In this instance, the Director may be require that the old soils report be recertified, that an addendum to the soils report be submitted, or that a new soils report be provided.</u></p>		
	<p><u>SCA-GEO-3: Geotechnical Report:</u></p> <p>a) <u>A site-specific, design level, landslide or liquefaction geotechnical investigation for each construction site within the project area shall be required as part of this project and submitted for review and approval by the Building Services Division. Specifically:</u></p> <p>i. <u>Each investigation shall include an analysis of expected ground motions at the site from identified faults. The analyses shall be accordance with applicable City ordinances and polices, and consistent with the most recent version of the California Building Code, which requires structural design that can accommodate ground accelerations expected from identified faults.</u></p> <p>ii. <u>The investigations shall determine final design parameters for the walls, foundations, foundation slabs, surrounding related improvements, and infrastructure (utilities, roadways, parking lots, and sidewalks).</u></p> <p>iii. <u>The investigations shall be reviewed and approved by a registered geotechnical engineer. All recommendations by the project engineer, geotechnical engineer, shall be included in the final design, as approved by the City of Oakland.</u></p> <p>iv. <u>The geotechnical report shall include a map prepared by a land surveyor or civil engineer that shows all field work and location of the “No Build” zone. The map shall include a statement that the locations and limitations of the geologic features are accurate representations of said features as they exist on the ground, were placed on this map by</u></p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of demolition, grading or building permit</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>the surveyor, the civil engineer or under their supervision, and are accurate to the best of their knowledge.</u></p> <p><u>v. Recommendations that are applicable to foundation design, earthwork, and site preparation that were prepared prior to or during the projects design phase, shall be incorporated in the project.</u></p> <p><u>vi. Final seismic considerations for the site shall be submitted to and approved by the City of Oakland Building Services Division prior to commencement of the project.</u></p> <p><u>vii. A peer review is required for the Geotechnical Report. Personnel reviewing the geologic report shall approve the report, reject it, or withhold approval pending the submission by the applicant or subdivider of further geologic and engineering studies to more adequately define active fault traces.</u></p> <p><u>b) Tentative Tract or Parcel Map approvals shall require, but not be limited to, approval of the Geotechnical Report.</u></p>		
	<p>Mitigation 4.13-1: Redevelopment elements shall be designed in accordance with criteria established by the UBC, soil investigation and construction requirements established in the Oakland General Plan, the Bay Conservation and Development Commission Safety of Fill Policy, and wharf design criteria established by the Port or City of Oakland (depending on the location of the wharf).</p> <p>The UBC requires structures in the San Francisco Bay Area to be designed to withstand a ground acceleration of 0.4 g. A licensed engineer should monitor construction activities to ensure that the design and construction criteria are followed.</p> <p>The Health and Safety element of the Oakland General Plan requires a soils and geologic report be submitted to the Department of Public Works (DPW) prior to the issuance of any building permit. The Oakland General Plan also requires all structures of three or more stories to be supported on pile foundations that penetrate Bay Mud deposits, and to be anchored in firm, non-compressible materials unless geotechnical findings indicate a more appropriate design. The General Plan also provides for the identification and evaluation of existing structural hazards and abatement of those hazards to acceptable levels of risk.</p> <p>To comply with the BCDC safety of fill policy, the plans and specifications for the placement of Bay fill will be submitted to the BCDC Engineering Criteria Review Board for review and approval.</p> <p>The Port of Oakland has developed wharf design criteria to be used in the design, construction, reconstruction, and repairs of existing and future wharf structures, except in the event that current engineering practice requires adjustments or modification of the wharf design criteria. All construction associated with New Berth 21 must adhere to the wharf design criteria established by</p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of demolition, grading or building permit</u></p>	<p>City/Port</p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p>the Port of Oakland. A licensed engineer should monitor construction activities to ensure that the design and construction criteria are followed.</p> <p>The City shall adopt wharf design criteria and apply them to any wharf in the City’s jurisdiction.</p>		
	<p>Mitigation 4.13-2: Redevelopment elements shall be designed and constructed in accordance with requirements of a site-specific geotechnical evaluation.</p> <p>Site-specific geotechnical, soils, and foundation investigation reports shall be prepared by a licensed geotechnical or soil engineer experienced in construction methods on fill materials in an active seismic area. The reports shall provide site-specific construction methods and recommendations regarding grading activities, fill placement, compaction, foundation construction, drainage control (both surface and subsurface), and seismic safety. Designers and contractors shall comply with recommendations in the reports. A licensed geotechnical or soil engineer shall monitor earthwork and construction activities to ensure that recommended site-specific construction methods are followed.</p> <p>The Oakland General plan requires all structures of three or more stories to be supported on pile foundations that penetrate Bay Mud deposits and to be anchored in firm, non-compressible materials unless geotechnical findings indicate a more appropriate design. The General Plan also provides for the identification and evaluation of existing structural hazards and abatement of those hazards to acceptable levels of risk.</p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of demolition, grading or building permit</u></p>	<p>City/Port</p>
<p>2. Would the project result in substantial soil erosion or loss of topsoil, creating substantial risks to life, property, or creeks/waterways? (Addendum 3.6.5b; 2002 EIR Impact 4.13-4)</p>	<p>2002 EIR Mitigation: Mitigation Measure 4.13-3.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measure 4.13-3, superseded by SCA GEO-1 and SCA HYD-1 through SCA HYD-4 (see Hydrology and Water Quality section below).</p>		
	<p><u>SCA GEO-1: Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan:</u></p> <p><u>Prior to issuance of a demolition, grading, or building permit.</u></p> <p><u>A. The project applicant shall obtain a grading permit if required by the Oakland Grading Regulations pursuant to Section 15.04.660 of the Oakland Municipal Code. The grading permit application shall include an erosion and sedimentation control plan for review and approval by the Building Services Division. The erosion and sedimentation control plan shall include all necessary measures to be taken to prevent excessive stormwater runoff or carrying by stormwater runoff of solid materials on to lands of adjacent property owners, public streets, or to creeks as a result of conditions created by grading operations. The plan shall include, but not be limited to, such measures as short-term erosion control planting, waterproof slope covering, check dams, interceptor ditches, benches, storm drains, dissipation structures, diversion dikes, retarding berms and barriers, devices to trap, store and filter out sediment, and stormwater</u></p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of a demolition, grading, or building permit; and ongoing throughout and construction activities (refer to SCA language to the left)</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>retention basins. Off-site work by the project applicant may be necessary. The project applicant shall obtain permission or easements necessary for off-site work. There shall be a clear notation that the plan is subject to changes as changing conditions occur. Calculations of anticipated stormwater runoff and sediment volumes shall be included, if required by the Director of Development or designee. The plan shall specify that, after construction is complete, the project applicant shall ensure that the storm drain system shall be inspected and that the project applicant shall clear the system of any debris or sediment.</u></p> <p><u>Ongoing throughout and construction activities</u></p> <p><u>A-B. The project applicant shall implement the approved erosion and sedimentation plan. No grading shall occur during the wet weather season (October 15 through April 15) unless specifically authorized in writing by the Building Services Division.</u></p>		
	<p>Mitigation 4.13-3: Prior to ground disturbing activities, the contractor shall develop and implement a Regional Water Quality Control Board acceptable Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) that includes erosion control measures.</p>	Pre construction	City/Port
3. Would the project be located on expansive soil, as defined in section 1802.3.2 of the California Building Code (2007, as it may be revised), creating substantial risks to life or property? (Addendum 3.6.5c; 2002 EIR Impact 4.13-5)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.13-1 and 4.13-2.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.13-1 and 4.13-2, supplemented with SCA GEO-2 and SCA GEO-3.</p>		
4. Would the project be located above a well, pit, swamp, mound, tank vault, or unmarked sewer line, creating substantial risks to life or property? (Addendum 3.6.5d; 2002 EIR Impact 4.13-6)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.13-2, 4.13-4, and 4.13-5.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.13-2, 4.13-4, and 4.13-5, supplemented with SCA GEO-2 and SCA GEO-3.</p>		
	<p>Mitigation 4.13-4: The project applicant shall thoroughly review available building and environmental records.</p> <p>The City and Port shall keep a record of, and the designer shall review, available plans, and facility, building, and environmental records in order to identify underground utilities and facilities, so that these may be either avoided or incorporated into design as relevant.</p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of demolition, grading or building permit; and on-going</u></p>	City/Port
	<p>Mitigation 4.13-5: The developer shall perform due diligence, including without limitation, retaining the services of subsurface utility locators and other technical experts prior to any ground-disturbing activities.</p> <p>The contractor shall utilize Underground Service Alert or other subsurface utility locators to identify and avoid underground utilities and facilities during construction of redevelopment elements. The</p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of demolition, grading or building permit; and on-going</u></p>	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	contractor shall keep a record of its contacts regarding underground features, and shall make these records available to the City or Port upon request. This condition shall be enforced through contract specification.		
5. Would the project be located above landfills for which there is no approved closure or post-closure plan, or unknown fill soils, creating substantial risks to life or property? (Addendum 3.6.5e; 2002 EIR Impact 4.13-6)	<p>2002: Mitigation Measures 4.13-2, 4.13-4, and 4.13-5.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.13-2, 4.13-4, and 4.13-5, supplemented with SCA-GEO-2.</p>		
Greenhouse Gas Emissions⁵			
1. Would the project generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment? (Addendum 3.7.5a; not addressed in the 2002 EIR)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Not applicable.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: No mitigation warranted, however, SCA GCC-1 will be required.</p>		
	<p><u>SCA GCC-1: Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Reduction Plan: The project applicant shall retain a qualified air quality consultant to develop a Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Reduction Plan for City review and approval. The applicant shall implement the approved GHG Reduction Plan.</u></p> <p><u>The goal of the GHG Reduction Plan shall be to increase energy efficiency and reduce GHG emissions by at least 20 percent, with a goal of 36 percent below the project's "adjusted" baseline GHG emissions (as explained below) to help achieve the City's goal of reducing GHG emissions. The GHG Reduction Plan shall include, at a minimum, (a) a detailed GHG emissions inventory for the project under a "business-as-usual" scenario with no consideration of project design features, or other energy efficiencies, (b) an "adjusted" baseline GHG emissions inventory for the project, taking into consideration energy efficiencies included as part of the project (including the City's Standard Conditions of Approval, proposed mitigation measures, project design features, and other City requirements), (c) a comprehensive set of quantified additional GHG reduction measures available to further reduce GHG emissions beyond the adjusted GHG emissions, and (d) requirements for ongoing monitoring and reporting to demonstrate that the additional GHG reduction measures are</u></p>	<u>Prior to approval of PUD.</u>	<u>City/Port</u>

⁵ As noted in Section 3.7 Greenhouse Gas Emissions of the IS/A, climate change and greenhouse gas emissions were not expressly addressed in the 2002 EIR. However, since information on climate change and greenhouse gas emissions was known, or could have been known in 2002, it is not legally "new information" as specifically defined under CEQA and thus is not legally required to be analyzed as part of this Addendum. However, an analysis of the proposed 2012 Project, using the previously recommended May 2011 BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines and Thresholds, has been conducted in order to provide more information to the public and decision-makers, and in the interest of being conservative. Thus, although the analysis in this Addendum evaluates climate change and greenhouse gas emissions, there is no resulting significant CEQA impact. Nevertheless, the City will impose its Standard Conditions of Approval and any Recommended Measures (that are not legally required mitigation measures).

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>being implemented. If the project is to be constructed in phases, the GHG Reduction Plan shall provide GHG emission scenarios by phase.</u></p> <p><u>Specifically, the applicant/sponsor shall adhere to the following:</u></p> <p><u>a) GHG Reduction Measures Program. Prepare and submit to the City Planning Director or his/her designee for review and approval a GHG Reduction Plan that specifies and quantifies GHG reduction measures that the project will implement by phase.</u></p> <p><u>Potential GHG reduction measures to be considered include, but are not be limited to, measures recommended in BAAQMD's latest CEQA Air Quality Guidelines, the California Air Resources Board Scoping Plan (December 2008, as may be revised), the California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) Quantifying Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Measures Document (August 2010, as may be revised), the California Attorney General's website, and Reference Guides on Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) published by the U.S. Green Building Council.</u></p> <p><u>The proposed GHG reduction measures must be reviewed and approved by the City Planning Director or his/her designee. The types of allowable GHG reduction measures include the following (listed in order of City preference): (1) physical design features; (2) operational features; and (3) the payment of fees to fund GHG-reducing programs (i.e., the purchase of "offset carbon credits," pursuant to item "b" below).</u></p> <p><u>The allowable locations of the GHG reduction measures include the following (listed in order of City preference): (1) the project site; (2) off-site within the City of Oakland; (3) off-site within the San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin; (4) off-site within the State of California; then (5) elsewhere in the United States.</u></p> <p><u>b) Offset Carbon Credits Guidelines. For GHG reduction measures involving the purchase of offset carbon credits, evidence of the payment/purchase shall be submitted to the City Planning Director or his/her designee for review and approval prior to completion of the project (or prior to completion of the project phase, if the project includes more one phase).</u></p> <p><u>As with preferred locations for the implementation of all GHG reductions measures, the preference for offset carbon credit purchases include those that can be achieved as follows (listed in order of City preference): (1) within the City of Oakland; (2) within the San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin; (3) within the State of California; then (4) elsewhere in the United States. The cost of offset carbon credit purchases shall be based on current market value at the time purchased and shall be based on the Project's operational emissions estimated in the GHG Reduction Plan or subsequent approved emissions inventory, which may result in emissions that are higher or lower than those estimated in the GHG Reduction Plan.</u></p>		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>c) Plan Implementation and Documentation. For physical GHG reduction measures to be incorporated into the design of the project, the measures shall be included on the drawings submitted for construction-related permits. For operational GHG reduction measures to be incorporated into the project, the measures shall be implemented on an indefinite and ongoing basis beginning at the time of project completion (or at the completion of the project phase for phased projects).</u></p> <p><u>For physical GHG reduction measures to be incorporated into off-site projects, the measures shall be included on drawings and submitted to the City Planning Director or his/her designee for review and approval and then installed prior to completion of the subject project (or prior to completion of the project phase for phased projects). For operational GHG reduction measures to be incorporated into off-site projects, the measures shall be implemented on an indefinite and ongoing basis beginning at the time of completion of the subject project (or at the completion of the project phase for phased projects).</u></p> <p><u>d) Compliance, Monitoring and Reporting. Upon City review and approval of the GHG Reduction Plan program by phase, the applicant/sponsor shall satisfy the following requirements for ongoing monitoring and reporting to demonstrate that the additional GHG reduction measures are being implemented. The GHG Reduction Plan requires regular periodic evaluation over the life of the Project (generally estimated to be at least 40 years) to determine how the Plan is achieving required GHG emissions reductions over time, as well as the efficacy of the specific additional GHG reduction measures identified in the Plan.</u></p> <p><u>Implementation of the GHG reduction measures and related requirements shall be ensured through the project applicant/sponsor's compliance with Conditions of Approval adopted for the project. Generally, starting two years after the City issues the first Certificate of Occupancy for the project, the project applicant/sponsor shall prepare each year of the useful life of the project an Annual GHG Emissions Reduction Report (Annual Report), subject to the City Planning Director or his/her designee for review and approval. The Annual Report shall be submitted to an independent reviewer of the City Planning Director's or his/her designee's choosing, to be paid for by the project applicant/sponsor (see <i>Funding</i>, below), within two months of the anniversary of the Certificate of Occupancy.</u></p> <p><u>The Annual Report shall summarize the project's implementation of GHG reduction measures over the preceding year, intended upcoming changes, compliance with the conditions of the Plan, and include a brief summary of the previous year's Annual Report results (starting the second year). The Annual Report shall include a comparison of annual project emissions to the baseline emissions reported in the GHG Plan.</u></p> <p><u>The GHG Reduction Plan shall be considered fully attained when project emissions are 36 percent below the project's "adjusted" baseline GHG emissions, as confirmed by the City Planning Director or his/her designee through an established monitoring program unless the applicant demonstrates it is infeasible to achieve the 36 percent goal. Monitoring and reporting</u></p>		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>activities will continue at the City’s discretion, as discussed below.</u></p> <p><u>e) Funding. Within two months after the Certificate of Occupancy, the project applicant/sponsor shall fund an escrow-type account or endowment fund to be used exclusively for preparation of Annual Reports and review and evaluation by the City Planning Director or his/her designee, or its selected peer reviewers. The escrow-type account shall be initially funded by the project applicant/sponsor in an amount determined by the City Planning Director or his/her designee and shall be replenished by the project applicant/sponsor so that the amount does not fall below an amount determined by the City Planning Director or his/her designee. The mechanism of this account shall be mutually agreed upon by the project applicant/sponsor and the City Planning Director or his/her designee, including the ability of the City to access the funds if the project applicant/sponsor is not complying with the GHG Reduction Plan requirements, and/or to reimburse the City for its monitoring and enforcement costs.</u></p> <p><u>f) Corrective Procedure. If the third Annual Report, or any report thereafter, indicates that, in spite of the implementation of the GHG Reduction Plan, the project is not achieving the GHG reduction goal, the project applicant/sponsor shall prepare a report for City review and approval, which proposes additional or revised GHG measures to better achieve the GHG emissions reduction goals, including without limitation, a discussion on the feasibility and effectiveness of the menu of other additional measures (Corrective GHG Action Plan). The project applicant/sponsor shall then implement the approved Corrective GHG Action Plan.</u></p> <p><u>If, one year after the Corrective GHG Action Plan is implemented, the required GHG emissions reduction target is still not being achieved, or if the project applicant/owner fails to submit a report at the times described above, or if the reports do not meet City requirements outlined above, the City Planning Director or his/her designee may, in addition to its other remedies, (a) assess the project applicant/sponsor a financial penalty based upon actual percentage reduction in GHG emissions as compared to the percent reduction in GHG emissions established in the GHG Reduction Plan; or (b) refer the matter to the City Planning Commission for scheduling of a compliance hearing to determine whether the project’s approvals should be revoked, altered or additional conditions of approval imposed.</u></p> <p><u>The penalty as described in (a) above shall be determined by the City Planning Director or his/her designee and be commensurate with the percentage GHG emissions reduction not achieved (compared to the applicable numeric significance thresholds) or required percentage reduction from the “adjusted” baseline.</u></p> <p><u>In determining whether a financial penalty or other remedy is appropriate, the City shall not impose a penalty if the project applicant/sponsor has made a good faith effort to comply with the GHG Reduction Plan.</u></p> <p><u>The City would only have the ability to impose a monetary penalty after a reasonable cure period and in accordance with the enforcement process outlined in Planning Code Chapter</u></p>		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>17.152. If a financial penalty is imposed, such penalty sums shall be used by the City solely toward the implementation of the GHG Reduction Plan.</u></p> <p><u>g) <i>Timeline Discretion and Summary.</i> The City Planning Director or his/her designee shall have the discretion to reasonably modify the timing of reporting, with reasonable notice and opportunity to comment by the applicant, to coincide with other related monitoring and reporting required for the project.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Fund Escrow-type Account for City Review: Certificate of Occupancy plus 2 months</u> • <u>Submit Baseline Inventory of "Actual Adjusted Emissions": Certificate of Occupancy plus 1 year</u> • <u>Submit Annual Report #1: Certificate of Occupancy plus 2 years</u> • <u>Submit Corrective GHG Action Plan (if needed): Certificate of Occupancy plus 4 years (based on findings of Annual Report #3)</u> • <u>Post Attainment Annual Reports: Minimum every 3 years and at the City Planning Director's or his/her designee's reasonable discretion</u> 		
Hazards and Hazardous Materials⁶			
1. Would the project create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment? (Addendum 3.8.5b; 2002 EIR Impact 4.7-1 and 4.7-3)	<p>2002 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted (Implementation of SCA HAZ-1, SCA HAZ-2, and SCA HAZ-3 would further reduce and avoid potential impacts).</p>		
	<p><u>SCA HAZ-1: Best Management Practices for Soil and Groundwater Hazards</u></p> <p><u>The project applicant shall implement all of the following Best Management Practices (BMPs) regarding potential soil and groundwater hazards.</u></p> <p><u>a) Soil generated by construction activities shall be stockpiled onsite in a secure and safe manner or if designated for off-site disposal at a permitted facility, the soil shall be loaded, transported and disposed of in a safe and secure manner. All contaminated soils determined to be hazardous or non-hazardous waste must be adequately profiled (sampled) prior to acceptable reuse or disposal at an appropriate off-site facility. Specific sampling and handling and transport procedures for reuse or disposal shall be in accordance with applicable local, state and federal agencies laws, in particular, the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) and/or the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (ACDEH) and policies of the</u></p>	<p><u>Ongoing throughout demolition, grading, and construction activities.</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

⁶ Note: The following 2002 EIR Impacts and Mitigation Measures are not applicable to 2012 Project: 4.7-2 and related Mitigation Measures 4.7-1 and 4.7-2; Impact 4.7-10 and related Mitigation Measure 4.7-14.

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>City of Oakland. The excavation, on-site management, and off-site disposal of soil from Project areas within the OARB shall follow the DTSC-approved RAP/RMP.</u></p> <p>b) <u>Groundwater pumped from the subsurface shall be contained onsite in a secure and safe manner, prior to treatment and disposal, to ensure environmental and health issues are resolved pursuant to applicable laws and policies of the City of Oakland, the RWQCB and/or the ACDEH. The on-site management and off-site disposal of groundwater extracted from Project areas within the OARB shall follow the DTSC-approved RAP/RMP for Project areas within the OARB. Engineering controls shall be utilized, which include impermeable barriers to prohibit groundwater and vapor intrusion into the building (pursuant to the Standard Condition of Approval regarding Radon or Vapor Intrusion from Soil and Groundwater Sources.</u></p> <p>c) <u>Prior to issuance of any demolition, grading, or building permit, the applicant shall submit for review and approval by the City of Oakland, written verification that the appropriate federal, state or county oversight authorities, including but not limited to the RWQCB and/or the ACDEH, have granted all required clearances and confirmed that the all applicable standards, regulations and conditions for all previous contamination at the site. The applicant also shall provide evidence from the City's Fire Department, Office of Emergency Services, indicating compliance with the Standard Condition of Approval requiring a Site Review by the Fire Services Division pursuant to City Ordinance No. 12323, and compliance with the Standard Condition of Approval requiring a Phase I and/or Phase II Reports.</u></p>		
	<p><u>SCA HAZ-2: Hazards Best Management Practices:</u> <u>The project applicant and construction contractor shall ensure that construction of Best Management Practices (BMPs) are implemented as part of construction to minimize the potential negative effects to groundwater and soils. These shall include the following:</u></p> <p>a) <u>Follow manufacture's recommendations on use, storage, and disposal of chemical products used in construction;</u></p> <p>b) <u>Avoid overtopping construction equipment fuel gas tanks;</u></p> <p>c) <u>During routine maintenance of construction equipment, properly contain and remove grease and oils;</u></p> <p>d) <u>Properly dispose of discarded containers of fuels and other chemicals.</u></p> <p>e) <u>Ensure that construction would not have a significant impact on the environment or pose a substantial health risk to construction workers and the occupants of the proposed development. Soil sampling and chemical analyses of samples shall be performed to determine the extent of potential contamination beneath all USTs, elevator shafts, clarifiers, and subsurface hydraulic lifts when on-site demolition, or construction activities would potentially affect a particular development or building.</u></p>	<p><u>Prior to commencement of demolition, grading, or construction.</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p>f) If soil, groundwater or other environmental medium with suspected contamination is encountered unexpectedly during construction activities (e.g., identified by odor or visual staining, or if any underground storage tanks, abandoned drums or other hazardous materials or wastes are encountered), the applicant shall cease work in the vicinity of the suspect material, the area shall be secured as necessary, and the applicant shall take all appropriate measures to protect human health and the environment. Appropriate measures shall include notification of regulatory agency(ies) and implementation of the actions described in the City's Standard Conditions of Approval (and DTSC-approved RAP/RMP for Project area within the OARB), as necessary, to identify the nature and extent of contamination. Work shall not resume in the area(s) affected until the measures have been implemented under the oversight of the City or regulatory agency, as appropriate</p>		
	<p>SCA HAZ-3: Hazardous Materials Business Plan: The project applicant shall submit a Hazardous Materials Business Plan for review and approval by Fire Prevention Bureau, Hazardous Materials Unit. Once approved this plan shall be kept on file with the City and will be updated as applicable. The purpose of the Hazardous Materials Business Plan is to ensure that employees are adequately trained to handle the materials and provides information to the Fire Services Division should emergency response be required. The Hazardous Materials Business Plan shall include the following:</p> <p>a) The types of hazardous materials or chemicals stored and/or used on site, such as petroleum fuel products, lubricants, solvents, and cleaning fluids.</p> <p>b) The location of such hazardous materials.</p> <p>c) An emergency response plan including employee training information.</p> <p>d) A plan that describes the manner in which these materials are handled, transported and disposed.</p>	Prior to issuance of a business license.	City/Port
2. Would the project create a significant hazard to the public through the storage or use of acutely hazardous materials near sensitive receptors? (Addendum 3.8.5c; the 2002 EIR did not address this impact)	<p>2002 Mitigation: The 2002 EIR did not address this impact.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted (Implementation of SCA HAZ-1 and SCA HAZ-2 would reduce any potential hazards to a less-than-significant level).</p>		
3. Would the project be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 (i.e., the "Cortese List") and, as a result, would create a significant hazard to the public or the	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.7-3 through 4.7-9, 4.7-11 through 4.7-13, 4.7-16, and 4.7-17.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.7-3 through 4.7-9, 4.7-11 through 4.7-13, 4.7-16, and 4.7-17, supplemented with SCA HAZ-4 through SCA HAZ-8.</p>		
	SCA HAZ-4: Asbestos Removal in Structures: If asbestos-containing materials (ACM) are found to be present in building materials to be removed, demolished and disposed of, the project applicant	Prior to issuance of a demolition permit.	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
environment. (Addendum 3.8.5e; 2002 EIR Impact 4.7-4, 4.7-5 and 4.7-8 through 4.7-11, and Cumulative Impact 5.7-1)	shall submit specifications signed by a certified asbestos consultant for the removal, encapsulation, or enclosure of the identified ACM in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations, including but not necessarily limited to: California Code of Regulations, Title 8; Business and Professions Code; Division 3; California Health & Safety Code 25915-25919.7; and Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Regulation 11, Rule 2, as may be amended.		
	SCA HAZ-5: Lead-Based Paint/Coatings, Asbestos, or PCB Occurrence Assessment: The project applicant shall submit a comprehensive assessment report to the Fire Prevention Bureau, Hazardous Materials Unit, signed by a qualified environmental professional, documenting the presence or lack thereof of asbestos-containing materials (ACM), lead-based paint, and any other building materials or stored materials classified as hazardous waste by State or federal law.	Prior to issuance of any demolition, grading or building permit	City/Port
	SCA HAZ-6: Lead-based Paint Remediation: If lead-based paint is present, the project applicant shall submit specifications to the Fire Prevention Bureau, Hazardous Materials Unit signed by a certified Lead Supervisor, Project Monitor, or Project Designer for the stabilization and/or removal of the identified lead paint in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations, including but not necessarily limited to: Cal/OSHA's Construction Lead Standard, 8 CCR1532.1 and DHS regulation 17 CCR Sections 35001 through 36100, as may be amended.	Prior to issuance of any demolition, grading or building permit.	City/Port
	SCA HAZ-7: Other Materials Classified as Hazardous Waste: If other materials classified as hazardous waste by State or federal law are present, the project applicant shall submit written confirmation to Fire Prevention Bureau, Hazardous Materials Unit that all State and federal laws and regulations shall be followed when profiling, handling, treating, transporting and/or disposing of such materials.	Prior to issuance of any demolition, grading or building permit.	City/Port
	SCA HAZ-8: Health and Safety Plan per Assessment: If the required lead-based paint/coatings, asbestos, or PCB assessment finds presence of such materials, the project applicant shall create and implement a health and safety plan to protect workers from risks associated with hazardous materials during demolition, renovation of affected structures, and transport and disposal.	Prior to issuance of any demolition, grading or building permit.	City/Port
	Mitigation 4.7-3: Implement RAP/RMP as approved by DTSC, and if future use proposals include uses not identified in the Reuse Plan and incorporated into the RAP/RMP or if future amendments to the remediation requirements are proposed, obtain DTSC and, as required, City approval.	Prior to issuance of any demolition, grading or building permit; and on-going	City/Port
	Mitigation 4.7-4: For the project areas not covered by the DTSC-approved RAP/RMP, investigate potentially contaminated sites; if contamination is found, assess potential risks to human health and the environment, prepare and implement a clean up plan for DTSC or RWQCB approval, prepare and implement a Risk Management Plan and prepare and implement a Site Health and Safety Plan prior to commencing work.	Prior to issuance of any demolition, grading or building permit; and on-going	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p>Since implementation of the RAP/RMP approved by DTSC is proposed as part of the project for the OARB, and the RAP/RMP requires remediation to be fully protective of human health and the environment for the proposed future uses of the OARB, no further mitigation is required for the OARB unless either (1) future use proposals include those that were not identified in the Reuse Plan and incorporated into the RAP/RMP or (2) future amendments are proposed to the remediation requirements included in the approved RAP/RMP. In either of these two circumstances, required remediation includes obtaining the DTSC and, as required, City approval, for proposed changes in full conformance with applicable legal requirements including but not limited to the HSAA and CEQA.</p> <p>Specific contaminants and concentrations may vary across the redevelopment project area. Nevertheless, the types of impacts expected, and therefore, the general response actions and approaches to mitigation would be consistent throughout the redevelopment project area. With respect to the OARB and as described in greater detail above, the process across the redevelopment project area would mirror the RAP/RMP process that is already underway at the OARB. With respect to the OARB sub-district, pursuant to HSAA Chapter 6.8, the OBRA has proposed a RAP/RMP. The OBRA's remedial goal is to remediate soil and groundwater contamination consistent with the City of Oakland ULR Program 10⁻⁵ remedy with appropriate land use restrictions. This RAP/RMP must be approved by DTSC, which has the legal discretion to impose remedies falling within the 10⁻⁴ and 10⁻⁶ risk range.</p> <p>For the other sub-districts and areas not included in the DTSC-approved RAP/RMP, prior to beginning redevelopment-related activities, potentially affected areas shall be investigated, potentially including additional studies or site characterization activities, as required by the regulatory agencies (DTSC or RWQCB). Once contaminated areas are identified, potential human health risks from contaminants of concern based upon realistic future land use shall be assessed, health risk-based and environmental risk-based cleanup goals shall be established, and a determination regarding the need for additional site assessment work shall be made.</p> <p>The potential risks associated with affected areas shall be assessed in accordance with regulatory agency guidance and approvals and may result in remediation requirements. Such cleanup plans shall address each area where soil or groundwater is contaminated above ULR goals could be encountered during redevelopment. The clean up plan, the names of which vary based on the type and source of contamination and the legal framework for the particular oversight agency, shall specify measures to be taken to protect workers and the public from exposure to potential contamination and certify that the proposed remediation measures, including removal, disposal, stabilization and/or institutional controls are protective of human health and the environment and implemented in accordance with federal, state and local requirements. Additionally, a Risk Management Plan may be required by the oversight agency to address site redevelopment activities and operations and provide an enforcement structure to be in place during and post-construction. Finally, a Site Health and Safety Plan shall be prepared in accordance with the OSHA and Cal/OSHA regulations. Off-hauling of contamination shall comply with applicable laws, and</p>		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	construction hours shall be limited as provided for in Mitigation Measure 4.5-1 in order to prevent night-time glare. Additionally, potential odor impact measures, and dust or other nuisance conditions from remediation-related truck traffic is provided for in Mitigation Measure 4.3-13, and safety concerns are addressed in Mitigation Measure 4.9-3.		
	Mitigation 4.7-5: For the project areas not covered by the DTSC-approved RAP/RMP, remediate soil and groundwater contamination consistent with the City of Oakland ULR Program and other applicable laws and regulations.	<u>Prior to issuance of any demolition, grading or building permit; and on-going</u>	City/Port
	Mitigation 4.7-6: Buildings and structures constructed prior to 1978 slated for demolition or renovation that have not previously been evaluated for the presence of LBP shall be sampled to determine whether LBP is present in painted surfaces, and the safety precautions and work practices as specified in government regulations shall be followed during demolition.	<u>Prior to issuance of any demolition, grading or building permit; and on-going</u>	City/Port
	Mitigation 4.7-7: Buildings, structures and utilities that have not been surveyed for ACM, shall be surveyed to determine whether ACM is present prior to demolition or renovation, and the safety precautions and work practices as specified in government regulations shall be followed during demolition.	<u>Prior to issuance of any demolition, grading or building permit; and on-going</u>	City/Port
	Mitigation 4.7-8: Buildings and structures proposed for demolition or renovation shall be surveyed for PCB-impacted building materials, and the safety precautions and work practices as specified in government regulations shall be followed during demolition.	<u>Prior to issuance of any demolition, grading or building permit; and on-going</u>	City/Port
	Mitigation 4.7-9: For above-ground and underground storage tanks (ASTs/USTs) on the OARB, implement the RAP/RMP.	<u>Prior to issuance of any demolition, grading or building permit; and on-going</u>	City/Port
	Mitigation 4.7-11: For LBP-impacted ground on the OARB, implementation of RAP/RMP to be approved by DTSC as part of the project will result in avoidance of this potentially significant impact. For the remainder of the development project area, sampling shall be performed on soil or paved areas around buildings that are known or suspected to have LBP, and the safety precautions and work practices specified in government regulations shall be followed.	<u>Prior to issuance of any demolition, grading or building permit; and on-going</u>	City/Port
	Mitigation 4.7-12: The condition of identified ACM shall be assessed annually, and prior to reuse of a building known to contain ACM.	<u>Prior to issuance of any demolition, grading or building</u>	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
		permit; and on-going	
	Mitigation 4.7-13: No future tenancies shall be authorized at the OARB for use categories that are inconsistent with the Reuse Plan without an updated environmental analysis and DTSC approval as provided for in the RAP/RMP.	Pre-operations	City/Port
	Mitigation 4.7-16: Oil-filled electrical equipment in the redevelopment project area that has not been surveyed shall be investigated prior to the equipment being taken out of service to determine whether PCBs are present. Equipment found to contain PCBs should be part of an ongoing monitoring program. Surface and subsurface contamination from any PCB equipment shall be investigated and remediated in compliance with applicable laws and regulations.	Prior to issuance of any demolition, grading or building permit; and on-going during operations	City/Port
	Mitigation 4.7-17: PCB-containing or PCB-contaminated equipment taken out of service shall be handled and disposed in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Equipment filled with dielectric fluid (oil) including transformers, ballast, etc. containing more than 5 ppm PCBs is considered a hazardous waste in California	Prior to issuance of any demolition, grading or building permit; and on-going during operations	City/Port
4. Would the project fundamentally impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan? (Addendum 3.8.5i; 2002 EIR Impact 4.9-1, 4.3-4 and Cumulative Impact 5.9-1)	2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measure 4.3-8. 2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.3-8, and Mitigation Measure 3.16-15a and 3.16-15b (see Traffic and Transportation below)		
Hydrology and Water Quality			
1. Would the project violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements during in-water construction or encountering shallow groundwater during construction? (Addendum 3.9.5a; 2002 EIR Impact 4.15-1, 4.15-3 and Cumulative Impact 5.15-1)	2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.15-1 and 4.15-2 (for in-water construction) and Mitigation Measure 4.15-4 (for encountering shallow groundwater during construction) 2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.15-1 and 4.15-2, supplemented with SCA HYD-1 and SCA HAZ-1 (see Hazards and Hazardous Materials section above).		
	SCA HYD-1: Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP): The project applicant must obtain coverage under the General Construction Activity Storm Water Permit (General Construction Permit) issued by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). The project applicant must file a notice of intent (NOI) with the SWRCB. The project applicant will be required to prepare a stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) and submit the plan for review and approval by the	Prior to and ongoing throughout demolition, grading, and/or construction	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>Building Services Division. At a minimum, the SWPPP shall include a description of construction materials, practices, and equipment storage and maintenance; a list of pollutants likely to contact stormwater; site-specific erosion and sedimentation control practices; a list of provisions to eliminate or reduce discharge of materials to stormwater; Best Management Practices (BMPs), and an inspection and monitoring program. Prior to the issuance of any construction-related permits, the project applicant shall submit to the Building Services Division a copy of the SWPPP and evidence of submittal of the NOI to the SWRCB. Implementation of the SWPPP shall start with the commencement of construction and continue through the completion of the project. After construction is completed, the project applicant shall submit a notice of termination to the SWRCB.</u></p>	<p><u>activities.</u></p>	
	<p>Mitigation 4.15-1: Prior to in-water construction, the contractor shall prepare a water quality protection plan acceptable to the RWQCB, including site-specific best management practices for protection of Bay waters, and shall implement this plan during construction.</p> <p>BMPs to effectively control turbidity and/or contaminant suspension and migration would be site-specific. They may include, and are not limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use environmental or clamshell dredges or hydraulic cutterhead dredges designed to reduce release of solids. • Reduce or eliminate overflow of decant water from barges used to transport material. <p>Use silt curtains or other specialized equipment to reduce dispersion of material during dredging and filling operations.</p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of any demolition, grading or building permit; and on-going during operations</u></p>	<p>City/Port</p>
	<p>Mitigation 4.15-2: Contractors and developers shall comply with all permit conditions from the Corps, RWQCB and BCDC.</p> <p>This measure shall be enforced on Contractors by contract specifications.</p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of any demolition, grading or building permit; and on-going during operations</u></p>	<p>City/Port</p>
<p>2. Would the project result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site that would affect the quality of receiving waters? (Addendum 3.9.5c; 2002 EIR Impact 4.15-2, 2002 EIR Cumulative Impact 4.15-2)</p>	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.15-3 and 4.15-4.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.15-3 and 4.15-4, superseded by SCA HYD-1, SCA HAZ-1 and SCA GEO-1.</p>		
	<p>Mitigation 4.15-3: Prior to ground-disturbing activities, the contractor shall develop and implement a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan to be reviewed by the City or the Port, including erosion and sediment control measures.</p> <p>All construction activities shall be undertaken in accordance with requirements of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activity (General Permit). The General Permit requires that all dischargers develop and implement a SWPPP that specifies BMPs that would prevent construction</p>	<p>Pre-construction</p>	<p>City/Port</p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p>pollutants from contacting stormwater with the intent of keeping products of erosion from moving off site into receiving waters.</p> <p>The contractor shall prepare and implement a site specific SWPPP. The SWPPP shall be reviewed by either the City or Port, and shall be available for review by the RWQCB. While erosion/sediment/pollution control measures included in the plan would be site specific, they must be effective at prevention of accelerated erosion by the following: minimizing the length of time soils are exposed; reducing total area of exposed soil during the rainy season; protecting critical areas (the Bay); and monitoring before and after each rain storm to assess control measure effectiveness. BASMAA's <i>Start at the Source - Design Guidance for Stormwater Quality Protection</i>, 1999 edition, is a helpful reference for developing appropriate BMPs. SWPPP erosion and sediment control measures may include, and are not limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Schedule construction to occur during dry season; •Avoid run-on (divert run-off from up-slope sites so it does not enter construction zone); •Preserve existing vegetation; •Seed and mulch, or hydromulch; •Dust control; •Blankets, geotextiles, fiber rolls; and •Tire washers at exits. <p>Additional SWPPP sediment control measures may include, and are not limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Stabilize the construction entrance; •Silt fencing; •Temporary straw bale dike; •Sand/gravel bag; •Brush/rock filter; •Inlet protection; •Catch basin inlet filter; and •Sediment basin or trap. <p>SWPPP pollution control measures generally are "good housekeeping" BMPs, and may include, and are not limited to, establishing practices and protocols for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Solid and demolition waste management; •Hazardous materials and waste management; •Spill prevention and control; •Vehicle and equipment maintenance; •Covered materials storage; 		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Handling and disposal of concrete/cement; • Pavement construction management; • Contaminated soil and water management; and • Sanitary/septic waste management. 		
	<p>Mitigation 4.15-4: Prior to construction or remediation, the contractor shall develop and implement a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, including protocols for determining the quality and disposition of construction water which includes shallow groundwater encountered during construction/remediation; depending on the results of the testing, contaminated water shall be disposed of via standards of the applicable regulatory agency (RWQCB, DTSC, or EBMUD), as appropriate. In addition, the contractor shall comply with the requirements of NPDES Permit Nos. CAG912002 and CAG912003 if appropriate.</p> <p>This measure applies to Impact 4.15-3 and Cumulative Impact 5.15-2.</p> <p>The contractor's SWPPP shall include a RWQCB acceptable protocol and BMPs for handling construction water. The SWPPP shall include methods for visual inspection, triggers for laboratory testing, and appropriate use/disposal of the water. The contractor must also determine if NPDES Permit Nos. CAG912002 and CAG912003 are relevant to the site. If they are, an NOI must be filed, and the related Self-Monitoring Plan must be complied with.</p>		
3. Would the project result in substantial flooding on- or off-site? (Addendum 3.9.5d; 2002 EIR Impact 4.15-6)	<p>2002 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.15-7 and 4.15-8 (<i>Note: Mitigation Measures 4.15-7 and 4.15-8 are not applicable to the 2012 OARB Project</i>)</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: (New) Mitigation Measure 3.9-1.</p>		
	<p><u>Mitigation 3.9-1: Coordinate and consult with EBMUD and if necessary design and build storm drain improvements resulting from increased elevation in the North Gateway area.</u></p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of building permit (or other construction-related permit).</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>
4. Would the project create or contribute substantial runoff which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems? (Addendum 3.9.5e; 2002 EIR No Impact)	<p>2002 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted; Implementation of SCA HYD-2 through HYD-4 would further reduce impacts to the stormwater drainage system</p>		
	<p><u>SCA HYD-2: Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan: The applicant shall comply with the requirements of Provision C.3 of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit issued to the Alameda Countywide Clean Water Program. The applicant shall submit with the application for a building permit (or other construction-related permit) a completed Construction-Permit-Phase Stormwater Supplemental Form to the Building Services Division. The project drawings submitted for the building permit (or other construction-related permit) shall</u></p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of building permit (or other construction-related permit).</u></p> <p><u>Prior to final permit</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>contain a stormwater management plan, for review and approval by the City, to manage stormwater run-off and to limit the discharge of pollutants in stormwater after construction of the project to the maximum extent practicable.</u></p> <p>a) <u>The post-construction stormwater management plan shall include and identify the following:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>i. All proposed impervious surface on the site;</u> <u>ii. Anticipated directional flows of on-site stormwater runoff; and</u> <u>iii. Site design measures to reduce the amount of impervious surface area and directly connected impervious surfaces; and</u> <u>iv. Source control measures to limit the potential for stormwater pollution;</u> <u>v. Stormwater treatment measures to remove pollutants from stormwater runoff; and</u> <u>vi. Hydromodification management measures so that post-project stormwater runoff does not exceed the flow and duration of pre-project runoff, if required under the NPDES permit.</u> <p>b) <u>The following additional information shall be submitted with the post-construction stormwater management plan:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>i. Detailed hydraulic sizing calculations for each stormwater treatment measure proposed; and</u> <u>ii. Pollutant removal information demonstrating that any proposed manufactured/mechanical (i.e., non-landscape-based) stormwater treatment measure, when not used in combination with a landscape-based treatment measure, is capable of removing the range of pollutants typically removed by landscape-based treatment measures and/or the range of pollutants expected to be generated by the project.</u> <p><u>All proposed stormwater treatment measures shall incorporate appropriate planting materials for stormwater treatment (for landscape-based treatment measures) and shall be designed with considerations for vector/mosquito control. Proposed planting materials for all proposed landscape-based stormwater treatment measures shall be included on the landscape and irrigation plan for the project. The applicant is not required to include on-site stormwater treatment measures in the post-construction stormwater management plan if he or she secures approval from Planning and Zoning of a proposal that demonstrates compliance with the requirements of the City's Alternative Compliance Program.</u></p>	<p><u>inspection, the applicant shall also implement the approved stormwater management plan.</u></p>	
	<p><u>SCA HYD-3: Maintenance Agreement for Stormwater Treatment Measures:</u> <u>For projects incorporating stormwater treatment measures, the applicant shall enter into the "Standard City of Oakland Stormwater Treatment Measures Maintenance Agreement," in accordance with Provision C.3.e of the NPDES permit, which provides, in part, for the following:</u></p>	<p><u>Prior to final zoning inspection.</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p>i. The applicant accepting responsibility for the adequate installation/construction, operation, maintenance, inspection, and reporting of any on-site stormwater treatment measures being incorporated into the project until the responsibility is legally transferred to another entity; and</p> <p>Legal access to the on-site stormwater treatment measures for representatives of the City, the local vector control district, and staff of the Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Region, for the purpose of verifying the implementation, operation, and maintenance of the on-site stormwater treatment measures and to take corrective action if necessary. The agreement shall be recorded at the County Recorder's Office at the applicant's expense.</p>		
	<p>SCA HYD-4: Stormwater and Sewer: Confirmation of the capacity of the City's surrounding stormwater and sanitary sewer system and state of repair shall be completed by a qualified civil engineer with funding from the project applicant. The project applicant shall be responsible for the necessary stormwater and sanitary sewer infrastructure improvements to accommodate the proposed project. In addition, the applicant shall be required to pay additional fees to improve sanitary sewer infrastructure if required by the Sewer and Stormwater Division. Improvements to the existing sanitary sewer collection system shall specifically include, but are not limited to, mechanisms to control or minimize increases in infiltration/inflow to offset sanitary sewer increases associated with the proposed project. To the maximum extent practicable, the applicant will be required to implement Best Management Practices to reduce the peak stormwater runoff from the project site. Additionally, the project applicant shall be responsible for payment of the required installation or hook-up fees to the affected service providers.</p>	<p>Prior to completing the final design for the project's sewer service.</p>	<p>City/Port</p>
<p>5. Would the project create or contribute substantial runoff which would be an additional source of polluted runoff? (Addendum 3.9.5f; 2002 EIR Impact 4.15-4 and Cumulative Impact 5.15-2)</p>	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measure 4.15-5.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: Mitigation Measure 4.15-5, supplemented with SCA HYD-1 through SCA HYD-3 and SCA GEO-1.</p>		
	<p>Mitigation 4.15-5: Post-construction controls of stormwater shall be incorporated into the design of new redevelopment elements to reduce pollutant loads.</p> <p>NPDES permitting requires that BMPs to control post-construction stormwater be implemented to the maximum extent practicable. Analysis of anticipated runoff volumes and potential effects to receiving water quality from stormwater shall be made for specific redevelopment elements, and site-specific BMPs shall be incorporated into design. BMPs shall be incorporated such that runoff volume from 85 percent of average annual rainfall at a development site is pre-treated prior to its discharge from that site, or a pre-treated volume in compliance with RWQCB policy in effect at the time of design.</p> <p>Non-structural BMPs may include and are not limited to good housekeeping and other source control measures, such as the following:</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of building permit (or other construction-related permit).</p>	<p>City/Port</p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stencil catch basins and inlets to inform the public they are connected to the Bay; • Sweep streets on a regular schedule; • Use and dispose of paints, solvents, pesticides, and other chemicals properly; • Keep debris bins covered; and • Clean storm drain catch basins and properly dispose of sediment. <p>Structural BMPs may include and are not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimize impervious areas directly connected to storm sewers; • Include drainage system elements in design as appropriate such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ infiltration basins ○ detention/retention basins ○ vegetated swales (biofilters) ○ curb/drop inlet protection. 		
6. Would the project otherwise substantially degrade water quality? Would the project cause saltwater to intrude into shallow groundwater, cause contaminants to migrate to uncontaminated groundwater, or lead to degradation of surface water quality? (Addendum 3.9.5g; 2002 EIR Impact 4.14-1, 4.14-2, 4.14-5, and Cumulative Impact 5.14-1)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.14-1, 4.14-2, and 4.15-6.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.14-1, 4.14-2 and 4.15-6.</p>		
	<p>Mitigation 4.14-1: Installation of groundwater extraction wells into the shallow water-bearing zone or Merritt Sand aquifer for any purpose other than construction de-watering and remediation, including monitoring, shall be prohibited.</p> <p>Implementation of this measure would prevent saltwater from being drawn into the aquifer and potentially causing fresh water to become brackish or saline. Limiting extraction of shallow groundwater and groundwater from the Merritt Sand unit will prevent potential impacts to existing study area groundwater resources.</p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of building permit (or other construction-related permit); and during operations.</u></p>	City/Port
	<p>Mitigation 4.14-2: Extraction of groundwater for construction de-watering or remediation, including monitoring, shall be minimized where practicable; if extraction will penetrate into the deeper aquifers, than a study shall be conducted to determine whether contaminants of concern could migrate into the aquifer; if so, extraction shall be prohibited in that location.</p> <p>Implementation of this measure would prevent unnecessary extraction of groundwater and prohibit its extraction where contaminants of concern could migrate into deeper aquifers; therefore it will help avoid or reduce the potential migration of contaminants. The City and Port shall ensure that groundwater extraction, other than for remediation or construction dewatering, is minimized where practicable in the redevelopment project area.</p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of building permit (or other construction-related permit); and during operations.</u></p>	City/Port
	<p>Mitigation 4.15-6: Site-specific design and best management practices shall be implemented to</p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of building permit (or</u></p>	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p>prevent runoff of recycled water to receiving waters.</p> <p>Design of subsequent redevelopment activities shall ensure recycled water does not leave the site and enter receiving waters. Best management practices shall be implemented to prevent runoff of recycled water. These BMPs may be either structural or non-structural in nature and may include but are not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preventing recycled water from escaping designated use areas through the use of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ berms ○ detention/retention basins ○ vegetated swales (biofilters) • Not allowing recycled water to be applied to irrigation areas when soils are saturated. <p>Plumbing portions of irrigation systems adjacent to receiving waters with potable water.</p>	other construction-related permit.	
7. Would the project place housing, structures within a 100-year flood hazard area, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map, that would impede or redirect flood flows; or would the project expose people or structures to a substantial risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding? (Addendum 3.9.5.h, i and j; 2002 EIR Impact 4.15-6)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.15-5, 4.15-7, and 4.15-8 (<i>Note: Mitigation Measures 4.15-7 and 4.15-8 are not applicable to the 2012 Project.</i>)</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: Mitigation not warranted; one recommended measure (not required by CEQA)</p>		
	<p><u>Recommended Measure (not required by CEQA):</u></p> <p>The Project Sponsor should prepare a Sea Level Rise Adaptation Plan for City of Oakland for review and approval.</p>	Prior to approval of PUD.	City/Port
8. Would the project substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course, or increasing the rate or amount of flow, of a creek, river or stream in a manner that would result in substantial erosion, siltation, or flooding, both on- or off-site? (Addendum 3.9.5I and 2002 EIR Impact 4.15-6)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.15-5, 4.15-7, and 4.15-8 (<i>Note: Mitigation Measures 4.15-7 and 4.15-8 are not applicable to the 2012 Project.</i>)</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.15-5, supplemented with SCA HYD-1 through SCA HYD-3, and SCA GEO-1.</p>		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
Land Use and Planning⁷			
1. Would the project result in a fundamental conflict between nearby land uses? (Addendum 3.10b; 2002 EIR Impact 4.1-2; Note: Impact 4.1-2, is not applicable to the 2012 Project)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measure 4.1-1 through and 4.1-3. (<i>Note: these mitigation measures are not applicable to the 2012 Project</i>)</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted.</p>		
Noise			
1. Would the project generate noise in violation of the City of Oakland Noise Ordinance (Oakland Planning Code section 17.120.050) regarding construction noise, except if an acoustical analysis is performed that identifies recommend measures to reduce potential impacts? (Addendum 3.12.5a; 2002 EIR Impact 4.5-1 and Cumulative Impact 5.5-1)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measure 4.5-1.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted; Implementation of SCA NOI-1, SCA NOI-2, SCA NOI-3, and SCA NOI-6 would further reduce construction noise impacts.</p>		
	<p>SCA NOI-1: Days/Hours of Construction Operation⁸: <u>The project applicant shall require construction contractors to limit standard construction activities as follows:</u></p> <p>a) <u>Construction activities are limited to between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. Monday through Saturday, except that barging and unloading of soil shall be allowed 24 hours per day, 7 days per week for about 15 months.</u></p> <p>b) <u>Any construction activity proposed to occur outside of the standard hours of 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Monday through Saturday for special activities (such as concrete pouring which may require more continuous amounts of time) shall be evaluated on a case by case basis, with criteria including the proximity of residential uses and a consideration of resident's preferences for whether the activity is acceptable if the overall duration of construction is shortened and such construction activities shall only be allowed with the prior written authorization of the Building Services Division. The project applicant shall also submit an air quality report prepared by a qualified professional evaluating the air quality impacts of the special activities, if the duration of each activity exceeds 6 months.</u></p> <p>c) <u>No construction activity shall take place on Sundays or Federal holidays, except as noted above.</u></p> <p>d) <u>Construction activities include but are not limited to: truck idling, moving equipment</u></p>	<p><u>Ongoing throughout demolition, grading, and/or construction.</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

⁷ In the 2002 EIR, Land Use and Plans and Policies were separate sections.

⁸ Typically, only limited construction activities are permitted on Saturdays; however, given the location of the project, the distance from the project construction areas to the nearest residential land uses, and the existing noise environment in the project vicinity, Saturday construction as well as use of barges at all times for a 15-month construction period, is appropriate.

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>(including trucks, elevators, etc) or materials, deliveries, and construction meetings held on-site in a non-enclosed area.</u></p> <p>e) <u>Applicant shall use temporary power poles instead of generators where feasible.</u></p>		
	<p>SCA NOI-2: Noise Control: <u>To reduce noise impacts due to construction, the project applicant shall require construction contractors to implement a site-specific noise reduction program, subject to the Planning and Zoning Division and the Building Services Division review and approval, which includes the following measures:</u></p> <p>a) <u>Equipment and trucks used for project construction shall utilize the best available noise control techniques (e.g., improved mufflers, equipment redesign, use of intake silencers, ducts, engine enclosures and acoustically-attenuating shields or shrouds, wherever feasible).</u></p> <p>b) <u>Except as provided herein, Impact tools (e.g., jack hammers, pavement breakers, and rock drills) used for project construction shall be hydraulically or electrically powered to avoid noise associated with compressed air exhaust from pneumatically powered tools. However, where use of pneumatic tools is unavoidable, an exhaust muffler on the compressed air exhaust shall be used; this muffler can lower noise levels from the exhaust by up to about 10 dBA. External jackets on the tools themselves shall be used, if such jackets are commercially available and this could achieve a reduction of 5 dBA. Quieter procedures shall be used, such as drills rather than impact equipment, whenever such procedures are available and consistent with construction procedures.</u></p> <p>c) <u>Stationary noise sources shall be located as far from adjacent receptors as possible, and they shall be muffled and enclosed within temporary sheds, incorporate insulation barriers, or use other measures as determined by the City to provide equivalent noise reduction.</u></p> <p><u>The noisiest phases of construction shall be limited to less than 10 days at a time. Exceptions may be allowed if the City determines an extension is necessary and all available noise reduction controls are implemented.</u></p>	<p><u>Ongoing throughout demolition, grading, and/or construction.</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>
	<p>SCA NOI-3: Noise Complaint Procedures: <u>Prior to the issuance of each building permit, along with the submission of construction documents, the project applicant shall submit to the Building Services Division a list of measures to respond to and track complaints pertaining to construction noise. These measures shall include:</u></p> <p>a) <u>A procedure and phone numbers for notifying the Building Services Division staff and Oakland Police Department; (during regular construction hours and off-hours);</u></p> <p>b) <u>A sign posted on-site pertaining with permitted construction days and hours and complaint procedures and who to notify in the event of a problem. The sign shall also include a listing of both the City and construction contractor's telephone numbers (during regular construction hours and off-hours);</u></p>	<p><u>Ongoing throughout demolition, grading, and/or construction.</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p>c) The designation of an on-site construction complaint and enforcement manager for the project;</p> <p>d) Notification of neighbors and occupants within 300 feet of the project construction area at least 30 days in advance of extreme noise generating activities about the estimated duration of the activity; and</p> <p>e) A preconstruction meeting shall be held with the job inspectors and the general contractor/on-site project manager to confirm that noise measures and practices (including construction hours, neighborhood notification, posted signs, etc.) are completed.</p>		
	<p>SCA NOI-6: Pile Driving and Other Extreme Noise Generators: To further reduce potential pier drilling, pile driving and/or other extreme noise generating construction impacts greater than 90dBA, a set of site-specific noise attenuation measures shall be completed under the supervision of a qualified acoustical consultant. Prior to commencing construction, a plan for such measures shall be submitted for review and approval by the Planning and Zoning Division and the Building Services Division to ensure that maximum feasible noise attenuation will be achieved. This plan shall be based on the final design of the project. A third-party peer review, paid for by the project applicant, may be required to assist the City in evaluating the feasibility and effectiveness of the noise reduction plan submitted by the project applicant. The criterion for approving the plan shall be a determination that maximum feasible noise attenuation will be achieved. A special inspection deposit is required to ensure compliance with the noise reduction plan. The amount of the deposit shall be determined by the Building Official, and the deposit shall be submitted by the project applicant concurrent with submittal of the noise reduction plan. The noise reduction plan shall include, but not be limited to, an evaluation of implementing the following measures. These attenuation measures shall include as many of the following control strategies as applicable to the site and construction activity:</p> <p>a) Erect temporary plywood noise barriers around the construction site, particularly along on sites adjacent to residential buildings;</p> <p>b) Implement “quiet” pile driving technology (such as pre-drilling of piles, the use of more than one pile driver to shorten the total pile driving duration), where feasible, in consideration of geotechnical and structural requirements and conditions;</p> <p>c) Utilize noise control blankets on the building structure as the building is erected to reduce noise emission from the site;</p> <p>d) Evaluate the feasibility of noise control at the receivers by temporarily improving the noise reduction capability of adjacent buildings by the use of sound blankets for example and implement such measure if such measures are feasible and would noticeably reduce noise impacts; and</p> <p>e) Monitor the effectiveness of noise attenuation measures by taking noise measurements.</p>	Ongoing throughout demolition, grading, and/or construction.	City/Port
	Mitigation 4.5-1: Developers and/or contractors shall develop and implement redevelopment-specific noise reduction plans.	Construction	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p>This measure shall be enforced via contract specifications. The measure as written is intended to effectively limit construction noise, while allowing the sponsors of redevelopment activities and their contractors flexibility in controlling site specific noise.</p> <p>Each developer and/or contractor should be contractually required to demonstrate knowledge of the Oakland Noise Ordinance, and to construct in a manner whereby noise levels do not exceed significance criteria. Contractors may elect any combination of legal, non-polluting methods to maintain or reduce noise to thresholds levels or lower, as long as those methods do not result in other significant environmental impacts or create a substantial public nuisance. The developer and/or contractor shall perform a site specific acoustical analysis, and, if necessary, shall develop and implement a noise reduction plan subject to review and approval by the City or Port. The plan for attenuating these noises shall include some or all of the following measures, as appropriate and feasible, and shall be implemented prior to any required activities.</p> <p><u>Schedule</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Schedule operation of one piece of equipment that generates extreme levels of noise at a time. •Schedule activities that generate low and moderate levels of noise during weekend or evening hours. •Standard construction activities shall be limited to between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. Monday through Friday. No construction activities shall be allowed on weekends until after the building is enclosed without prior authorization of the Building Services and Planning Divisions of the Community and Economic Development Agency, or unless expressly permitted or modified by the provisions of a building and/or grading permit. <p><u>Pile Driving and/or Other Activities that Generate Extreme Levels of Noise for Noise Levels Greater than 90 dBA</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Pile driving and/or other activities that generate noise above 90 dBA shall be limited to between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, with no activity generating extreme levels of noise permitted between 12:30 and 1:30 p.m. No construction activities that generate extreme levels of noise shall be allowed on Saturdays, Sundays, or holidays unless expressly permitted or modified by the provisions of a building and/or grading permit. •Install engine and pneumatic exhaust controls as necessary to ensure exhaust noise from pile driver engines are minimized. Such controls can reduce noise levels by 6 dBA Leq. •Employ sonic or vibratory pile drivers (sonic pile drivers are only effective in some soils). Such drivers may reduce maximum noise levels by as much as 12 dBA (Lmax). In some cases however (e.g., sheet pile driving) vibratory pile drivers may generate more noise than impact pile drivers/methods. The specific circumstances should be evaluated. •Tie rubber aprons lined with absorptive material around sheetpile. •Hydraulically drive piles. •Pre-drill pile holes. •Erect temporary plywood noise barriers around the entire construction site. •Use noise control blankets on the building structure as it is erected to reduce noise emission from the site. •Evaluate the feasibility of noise control at the receivers by temporarily improving the noise reduction capability of adjacent buildings. •Monitor the effectiveness of noise attenuation measures by taking noise measurements. 		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>Other Equipment, Methods</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A pre-construction meeting shall be held with the job inspectors and the general contractor/on-site project manager to confirm that noise mitigation and practices are completed prior to the issuance of a building permit (including construction hours, neighborhood notification, posted signs, etc.). • All construction equipment, fixed and mobile, and motor vehicles shall be properly maintained to minimize noise generation. This would include maintaining equipment silencers, shields, and mufflers in proper operating order. "Quiet package" or "hush" equipment, which is readily available for such equipment as trailer-mounted compressors, welders, etc. shall be used. All equipment shall be operated in the quietest manner practicable. • Equipment and trucks used for construction shall use best available noise control techniques (e.g., improved mufflers, equipment redesign, use of intake silencers, ducts, engine enclosures, and acoustically attenuating shields or shrouds, wherever feasible). • Impact tools (e.g., jack hammers, pavement breakers, and rock drills) used for construction shall be hydraulically or electrically powered wherever possible to avoid noise associated with compressed air exhaust from pneumatically powered tools. However, where use of pneumatic tools is unavoidable, an exhaust muffler on the compressed air exhaust should be used; this muffler can lower noise levels from the exhaust by up to about 10 dBA. External jackets on the tools themselves shall be used where feasible, which could achieve a reduction of 5 dBA. Quieter procedures should be used, such as drills rather than impact equipment, where practicable. • Stationary noise sources should be located as far from sensitive receptors as possible, and they should be muffled and enclosed within temporary sheds, or insulation barriers, or other measures should be incorporated to the extent feasible. • Material stockpiles and/or vehicle staging areas should be located as far as practicable from dwellings. • Public address systems would be designed and to minimize "spill over" of sound onto adjacent properties. • Physical barriers/screens (e.g., along fence lines) may be used to attenuate noise. • Project workers exposed to noise levels above 80 dBA would be provided personal protective equipment for hearing protection (i.e., ear plugs and/or muffs). • Areas where noise levels are routinely expected to exceed 80 dBA would be clearly posted "Hearing Protection Required in this Area." • A process with the following components shall be established for responding to and tracking complaints pertaining to construction noise: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A procedure for notifying City Building Division staff and Oakland Police Department; • A list of telephone numbers (during regular construction hours and off hours); • A plan for posting signs on site pertaining to complaint procedures, permitted construction days and hours, day and evening contact telephone numbers for the job site and day and evening contact telephone numbers for the City in the event of a problem; • Designation of a construction complaint manager for the project who will respond to and track complaints; and • Notification of neighbors within 300 feet of the project construction area at least 30 days 		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	in advance of construction activities.		
2. Would the project generate noise in violation of the City of Oakland nuisance standards (Oakland Municipal Code section 8.18.020) regarding persistent construction-related noise? (Addendum 3.12.5b; ; 2002 EIR Impact 4.5-1 and Cumulative Impact 5.5-1)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measure 4.5-1.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted; Implementation of SCA NOI-1, SCA NOI-2, SCA NOI-3, and SCA NOI-6 would further reduce construction noise impacts.</p>		
3. Would the project generate noise in violation of the City of Oakland Noise Ordinance (Oakland Planning Code section 17.120.050) regarding operational noise? (Addendum 3.12.5c; 2002 EIR Impact was less-than-significant and mitigation was not warranted)	<p>2002 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted; Implementation of SCA NOI-4 and SCA NOI-5 would further reduce operational noise impacts.</p>		
	<p><u>SCA NOI-4: Interior Noise: If necessary to comply with the interior noise requirements of the City of Oakland’s General Plan Noise Element and achieve an acceptable interior noise level, noise reduction in the form of sound-rated assemblies (i.e., windows, exterior doors, and walls), and/or other appropriate features/measures, shall be incorporated into project building design, based upon recommendations of a qualified acoustical engineer and submitted to the Building Services Division for review and approval prior to issuance of building permit. Final recommendations for sound-rated assemblies, and/or other appropriate features/measures, will depend on the specific building designs and layout of buildings on the site and shall be determined during the design phases. Written confirmation by the acoustical consultant, HVAC or HERS specialist, shall be submitted for City review and approval, prior to Certificate of Occupancy (or equivalent) that:</u></p> <p>a) <u>Quality control was exercised during construction to ensure all air-gaps and penetrations of the building shell are controlled and sealed; and</u></p> <p>b) <u>Demonstrates compliance with interior noise standards based upon performance testing of a sample unit.</u></p> <p>c) <u>Inclusion of a Statement of Disclosure Notice in the CC&R’s on the lease or title to all new tenants or owners of the units acknowledging the noise generating activity and the single event noise occurrences. Potential features/measures to reduce interior noise could include, but are not limited to, the following:</u></p> <p>i) <u>Installation of an alternative form of ventilation in all units identified in the acoustical analysis as not being able to meet the interior noise requirements due to adjacency to a noise generating activity, filtration of ambient make-up air in each unit and analysis of ventilation noise if ventilation is included in the recommendations by the acoustical analysis.</u></p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of a building permit and Certificate of Occupancy.</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p>ii) Prohibition of Z-duct construction.</p> <p>SCA NOI-5: Operational Noise-General: Noise levels from the activity, property, or any mechanical equipment on site shall comply with the performance standards of Section 17.120 of the Oakland Planning Code and Section 8.18 of the Oakland Municipal Code. If noise levels exceed these standards, the activity causing the noise shall be abated until appropriate noise reduction measures have been installed and compliance verified by the Planning and Zoning Division and Building Services.</p>		
<p>4. Would the project generate noise resulting in a 5 dBA permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project; or, if under a cumulative scenario where the cumulative increase results in a 5 dBA permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity without the project (i.e., the cumulative condition including the project compared to the existing conditions) and a 3 dBA permanent increase is attributable to the project (i.e., the cumulative condition including the project compared to the cumulative baseline condition without the project)? (Addendum 3.12.5d; 2002 EIR Impact was less-than-significant and mitigation was not warranted)</p>	<p>2002 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted; Implementation of SCA NOI-4 and SCA NOI-5 would further reduce operational noise impacts.</p>		
<p>5. Would the project be exposed to a community noise in conflict with the land use compatibility guidelines of the Oakland General Plan after incorporation of all applicable Standard Conditions of Approval? (Addendum 3.12.5f; 2002 EIR Impact was less-than-significant and mitigation was not warranted)</p>	<p>2002 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted; Implementation of SCA NOI-4 and SCA NOI-5 would further reduce potential conflicts with land use compatibility guidelines.</p>		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
6. Would the project expose persons to or generate noise levels in excess of applicable standards established by a regulatory agency (e.g., occupational noise standards of OSHA)? (Addendum 3.12.5g; 2002 EIR Impact was less-than-significant and mitigation was not warranted)	<p>2002 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted; Implementation of SCA NOI-5 would further reduce noise level impacts associated with applicable standards established by a regulatory agency.</p>		
7. Would the project, during either project construction or project operation, expose persons to or generate groundborne vibration that exceeds the criteria established by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA)? (Addendum 3.12.5h; 2002 EIR Impact was less-than-significant and mitigation was not warranted)	<p>2002 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted; Implementation of SCA NOI-1, SCA NOI-2, SCA NOI-3, and SCA NOI-6 would further reduce potential vibration impacts.</p>		
Public Services			
<p>±. Would the project result in increased demand for fire protection services and first responder medical emergency services? (Addendum 3.14.5i; 2002 EIR Impact 4.9-1, 4.9-6, Cumulative Impact 5.9-1)</p>	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.9-1, 4.9-2, and 4.9-3.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.9-1, 4.9-2, and 4.9-3, supplemented with SCA PSU-1 and SCA PSU-2, and (new) Mitigation Measures 3.16-15a and 3.16-15b (see Traffic and Transportation section below).</p>		
	<p><u>SCA PSU-1: Underground Utilities: The project applicant shall submit plans for review and approval by the Building Services Division and the Public Works Agency, and other relevant agencies as appropriate that show all fire alarm conduits and similar facilities placed underground. The new facilities shall be placed underground along the project applicant's street frontage and from the project applicant's structures to the point of service. The plans shall show all fire water service and fire alarm facilities installed in accordance with standard specifications of the serving utilities.</u></p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of a building permit.</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>
	<p><u>SCA PSU-2: Fire Safety Phasing Plan: The project applicant shall submit a separate fire safety phasing plan to the Planning and Zoning Division and Fire Services Division for their review and approval. The fire safety plan shall include all of the fire safety features incorporated into the project and the schedule for implementation of the features. Fire Services Division may require changes to the plan or may reject the plan if it does not adequately address fire hazards associated with the</u></p>	<p><u>Prior to issuance of a demolition, grading, and/or construction and concurrent with any p-job submittal</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	project as a whole or the individual phase.	permit.	
	<p>Mitigation 4.9-1. The City and Port shall cooperatively investigate the need for, and if required shall fund on a fair-share basis, development and operation of increased firefighting and medical emergency response services via fireboat to serve the OARB sub-district.</p> <p>The City and Port of Oakland will each contribute a fair share toward cooperatively investigating the need for increased firefighting and emergency response services to serve the redevelopment area west of I-880. This investigation shall include consultation with the OES and OFD. Should this investigation conclude, based on detailed redevelopment design, that increased fireboat services are required, the Port and the City shall each fund its fair share to equip and staff fireboat-based services in the OARB sub-district. In addition, as subsequent redevelopment activities occur, the City and Port shall be allowed to develop fee formulae (to recoup initial investment from future development or tenants), as well as a long-term cost-sharing formula (to equitably distribute the cost of continuing operations).</p> <p>The fire facility will be constructed after basic underground infrastructure is constructed, and before any people-attracting subsequent redevelopment activities begin operations.</p>	Pre-operations; at time Port and Gateway development area employees exceed 2,044 (1995 baseline)	City/Port
	<p>Mitigation 4.9-2: The Port and City shall work with OES to ensure changes in local area circulation are reflected in the revised Response Concept.</p> <p>The Port and City would provide information to the OES to facilitate that agency's accurate revision of its Response Concept and Annex H. In particular, the City and Port would provide OES information regarding new and proposed project area development, intensification and changes in land uses, realignment of area roadways, and construction of new local circulation facilities.</p>	Pre-construction	City/Port
	<p>Mitigation 4.9-3: The Port and City shall require developers within their respective jurisdictions to notify OES of their plans in advance of construction or remediation activities.</p> <p>Each developer proposing construction in the redevelopment project area would be required to notify OES prior to initiation of construction, so that OES may plan emergency access and egress taking into consideration possible conflicts or interference during the construction phase. The developer would also be required to notify OES once construction is complete.</p>	Pre-construction	City/Port
Recreation			
1. Does the 2012 Project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have a substantial adverse physical effect on the environment? (Addendum 3.15b;	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.15-1 and 4.15-2</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: Mitigation is not warranted.</p>		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
2002 EIR Impact 4.10-2; <i>Note: this impact is not applicable to the 2012 Project</i>)			
Traffic and Transportation			
Project Impacts 1. At a study intersection which is located outside the Downtown area, would the Project cause the level of service (LOS) to degrade to worse than LOS D (i.e., LOS E)? (Addendum 3.16.5.4a; 2002 EIR Impact 4.3-1, Cumulative Impact 5.3-1)	2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.3-1, 4.3-2 and 4.3-3. 2012 Mitigation: (New) Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 and 3.16-2. (<i>Note: Mitigation Measures 4.3-1, 4.3-2 and 4.3-3 are no longer applicable.</i>)		
	Mitigation 4.3-1: West Grand Avenue/Maritime Street. As part of the design for the realignment of Maritime Street, project area developers shall fund on a fair share basis modifications to the West Grand Avenue/Maritime Street intersection.	Pre-Construction	City/Port
	Mitigation 4.3-2: West Grand Avenue/I-880 Frontage Road. Project area developers shall fund, on a fair share basis, modifications to the West Grand Avenue/I-880 Frontage Road intersection.	Pre-Construction	City/Port
	Mitigation 4.3-3: 7th/Maritime Street. As part of the design for the realignment of Maritime Street, project area developers shall fund on a fair share basis modifications to the 7th/Maritime Street intersection.	Pre-Construction	City/Port
	<u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-1: 7th Street & I-880 Northbound Off-Ramp (#12).</u> The project sponsor shall fund, prepare, and install the approved plans and improvements. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Optimize signal timing (i.e., adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for the PM peak hour.</u> <u>Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in the same signal coordination group.</u> <u>To implement this measure, the project sponsor shall submit the following to City of Oakland's Transportation Engineering Division and Caltrans for review and approval:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E) to modify the intersection. All elements shall be designed to City standards in effect at the time of construction and all new or upgraded signals should include these enhancements. All other facilities supporting vehicle travel and alternative modes through the intersection should be brought up to both City standards and ADA standards (according to Federal and State Access Board guidelines) at the time of construction.</u> <u>Current City Standards call for the elements listed below:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>2070L Type Controller</u> <u>GPS communication (clock)</u> <u>Accessible pedestrian crosswalks according to Federal and State Access Board guidelines</u> <u>City Standard ADA wheelchair ramps</u> 	Operations; at time level of service exceeds LOS D	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full actuation (video detection, pedestrian push buttons, bicycle detection) • Accessible Pedestrian Signals, audible and tactile according to Federal Access Board guidelines • Countdown Pedestrian Signals • Signal interconnect and communication to City Traffic Management Center for corridors identified in the City's ITS Master Plan for a maximum of 600 feet • Signal timing plans for the signals in the coordination group. 		
	<p>Mitigation Measure 3.16-2: San Pablo Ave & Ashby Avenue (#42). To implement this measure, the Project Sponsor shall coordinate with City of Berkeley and Caltrans, and shall fund, prepare, and install the improvements consistent with City of Berkeley and/or Caltrans standards.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Optimize signal timing (i.e., adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for the PM peak hour. <p>Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in the same signal coordination group.</p>	Operations: at time level of service exceeds LOS D	City/Port
<p>2. At two intersections, the project would cause (a) the total intersection average vehicle delay to increase by two (2) or more seconds, or (b) an increase in average delay for any of the critical movements of four (4) seconds or more; or (c) the volume-to-capacity (“V/C”) ratio exceeds 0.03 or more (<u>but only if the delay values are greater than 120 seconds of average intersection delay as delay values over 120 sections tend to increase exponentially and are then generally considered unreliable</u>). (Addendum 3.16.5.4e; 2002 EIR Impact 4.3-2 and Cumulative Impact 5.3-2)</p>	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation not warranted.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: (New) Mitigation Measure 3.16-3 and 3.16-4.</p>		
<p>3. Redevelopment would cause some roadway segments on the Congestion Management Program (CMP) to a) degrade to LOS F; or b) increase the V/C ratio by more than three percent for a roadway segment that would operate at LOS F without the project.</p>	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measure 4.3-4.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measure 4.3-4 supplemented with SCA TRANS-1.</p>		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
(Addendum 3.16.5.4g; 2002 EIR Impact 4.3-2, Cumulative Impact 5.3-2)			
	<p>SCA TRANS-1: Parking and Transportation Demand Management: The project sponsor shall pay for and submit for review and approval by the City a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) plan containing strategies to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Reduce the amount of traffic generated by new development and the expansion of existing development, pursuant to the City’s police power and necessary in order to protect the public health, safety and welfare.</u> 2. <u>Ensure that expected increases in traffic resulting from growth in employment and housing opportunities in the City of Oakland will be adequately mitigated.</u> 3. <u>Reduce drive-alone commute trips during peak traffic periods by using a combination of services, incentives, and facilities.</u> 4. <u>Promote more efficient use of existing transportation facilities and ensure that new developments are designed in ways to maximize the potential for alternative transportation usage.</u> 5. <u>Establish an ongoing monitoring and enforcement program to ensure that the desired alternative mode use percentages are achieved.</u> <p><u>The project sponsor shall implement the approved TDM plan. The TDM plan shall include strategies to increase pedestrian, bicycle, transit, and carpool/vanpool use. All four modes of travel shall be considered, and parking management and parking reduction strategies should be included.</u></p> <p><u>Actions to consider include the following:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) <u>Inclusion of additional long term and short term bicycle parking that meets the design standards set forth in chapter five of the Bicycle Master Plan, and Bicycle Parking Ordinance, and shower and locker facilities in commercial developments that exceed the requirement.</u> b) <u>Construction of and/or access to bikeways per the Bicycle Master Plan; construction of priority bikeways, onsite signage and bike lane striping.</u> c) <u>Installation of safety elements per the Pedestrian Master Plan (such as cross walk striping, curb ramps, count down signals, bulb outs, etc.) to encourage convenient and safe crossing at arterials.</u> d) <u>Installation of amenities such as lighting, street trees, trash receptacles per the Pedestrian Master Plan and any applicable streetscape plan.</u> e) <u>Construction and development of transit stops/shelters, pedestrian access, way finding signage, and lighting around transit stops per transit agency plans or negotiated improvements.</u> 	<p>For construction: <u>Prior to issuance of first permit related to construction (e.g., demolition, grading, etc.)</u></p> <p>For operation: <u>Prior to issuance of a final building permit</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>f) Direct onsite sales of transit passes purchased and sold at a bulk group rate (through programs such as AC Transit Easy Pass or a similar program through another transit agency).</u></p> <p><u>g) Employees or residents can be provided with a subsidy, determined by the project sponsor and subject to review by the City, if the employees or residents use transit or commute by other alternative modes.</u></p> <p><u>h) Provision of ongoing contribution to AC Transit service to the area between the development and nearest mass transit station. If that is not available, an ongoing contribution to an existing area shuttle service between the development and nearest mass transit station. The last option is establishment of a new shuttle service between the development and nearest mass transit station may be developed. The contribution required for the service (any option) will be based on the cost of the last option.</u></p> <p><u>i) Guaranteed ride home program for employees, either through 511.org or through separate program.</u></p> <p><u>j) Pre-tax commuter benefits (commuter checks) for employees.</u></p> <p><u>k) Free designated parking spaces for on-site car-sharing program (such as City Car Share, Zip Car, etc.) and/or car-share membership for employees or tenants.</u></p> <p><u>l) On-site carpooling and/or vanpool program that includes preferential (discounted or free) parking for carpools and vanpools.</u></p> <p><u>m) Distribution of information concerning alternative transportation options.</u></p> <p><u>n) Parking spaces sold/leased separately for residential units. Charge employees for parking, or provide a cash incentive or transit pass alternative to a free parking space in commercial properties.</u></p> <p><u>o) Parking management strategies; including attendant/valet parking and shared parking spaces.</u></p> <p><u>p) Requiring tenants to provide opportunities and the ability to work off-site.</u></p> <p><u>q) Allow employees or residents to adjust their work schedule in order to complete the basic work requirement of five eight-hour workdays by adjusting their schedule to reduce vehicle trips to the worksite.</u></p> <p><u>r) Provide or require tenants to provide employees with staggered work hours involving a shift in the set work hours of all employees at the workplace or flexible work hours involving individually determined work hours.</u></p> <p><u>The project sponsor shall submit an annual compliance report for review and approval by the City. This report will be reviewed either by City staff (or a peer review consultant, chosen by the City and paid for by the project sponsor). If timely reports are not submitted, the reports indicate a failure to achieve the stated policy goals, or the required alternative mode split is still not achieved, staff will work with the project sponsor to find ways to meet their commitments and achieve trip reduction goals. If the issues cannot be resolved, the matter may be referred to the Planning Commission for resolution. Project sponsors shall be required, as a condition of approval, to reimburse the City for costs incurred in maintaining and enforcing the trip reduction program for the approved project.</u></p>		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p>Mitigation 4.3-4: The City and Port, in consultation with transit agencies, shall jointly create and maintain a transit access plan(s) for the redevelopment project area designed to reduce demand for single-occupant, peak hour trips, and to increase access to transit opportunities. Major project area developers shall fund on a fair share basis the plan(s).</p> <p>The Transit Access Plan shall be funded on a fair-share basis by major project area developers, defined as developers of more than 20,000 square feet of employment-generating space, or developers who would generate more than 100 job opportunities.</p> <p>The City shall establish a Transportation Enhancement Association or other similar funding mechanism whereby developers will contribute their fair share to the Transit Access Plan. The plan shall include transportation demand management strategies designed to reduce peak hour trip generation, including but not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fund a transit coordinator to assist employers and employees in the project area; • Transit user subsidies including the bulk purchase of transit passes; • Implementation of a parking cash-out program. A parking cash-out program is an employer-funded program in which an employer offers to provide a cash allowance to an employee equivalent to the parking subsidy that the employer would otherwise pay to provide the employee with a parking space. The ACCMA estimates that such programs reduce employee commute traffic by five percent from previous non-monetary incentive-based programs and reduced parking utilization by an estimated three percent; • Flex-time schedules; • Telecommuting; • Utilization of site design standards that would benefit transit, pedestrians, and bicyclists; • Preferential parking for carpools and vanpools; • Rideshare matching programs; • Guaranteed Ride Home program (provides carpool and vanpool participants with a vehicle in an emergency or if they cannot leave at their usual times; and • Funding for City and/or Port monitoring of the programs. <p>The plan shall include strategies designed to promote transit use and increase availability of transit opportunities within the project area, including, but not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coordination with AC Transit to provide expanded bus service with no greater than 30 minute peak commute hour headways to major employment centers. • Coordination with BART to provide shuttle service with no greater than 15 minute peak commute hour headways between the West Oakland BART station and major employment centers 	Pre-operations	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provision of employer incentives to use alternative transit modes, such as “Flash” passes or transit reimbursements <p>These measures shall be coordinated with BAAQMD and CAP Transportation Control Measures (TCMs) implemented under Mitigation Measure 4.4-5.</p> <p>The Transit Access Plan shall be funded at a level that would enable the goal of a 15 percent reduction in single-occupancy, peak hour ridership.</p>		
4. The project would directly or indirectly cause or expose roadway users to a permanent and substantial transportation hazard due to a new or existing physical design feature or incompatible uses? (Addendum 3.16.5.4i; 2002 EIR Impact 4.3-3, Cumulative Impact 5.3-3)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.3-5, 4.3-6, 4.3-7, 4.3-8</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.3-5, 4.3-7 and 4.3-8 supplemented with (New) Mitigation Measures 3.16-5 through 3.16-15a and 3.16-15b provided below.</p>		
	<p>Mitigation 4.3-5: Redevelopment elements shall be designed in accordance with standard design practice and shall be subject to review and approval of the City or Port design engineer.</p> <p>Through design review, the City and/or Port, as applicable, shall ensure the design of roadways, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, parking lots, and other transportation features comply with design standards and disallow design proposals that likely to result in traffic hazards. Any mitigation or redevelopment features that may directly affect Caltrans facilities shall be submitted for review by that agency.</p>	Prior to approval of PUD.	City/Port
	<p>Mitigation 4.3-7: The City and the Port shall continue and shall work together to create a truck management plan designed to reduce the effects of transport trucks on local streets. The City and Port shall fund on a fair share basis, implementation of this plan.</p> <p>The truck management plan may include, and is not limited to, the following elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analyze truck traffic in West Oakland; Traffic calming strategies on streets not designated as truck routes designed to discourage truck through travel; Truck driver education programs; Expanded signage, including truck prohibitions on streets not designated as truck routes; Traffic signal timing improvements; Explore the feasibility of truck access to Frontage Road; Roadway and terminal gate design elements to prevent truck queues from impeding the flow of traffic on public streets; and Continue Port funding of two police officers to enforce truck traffic prohibitions on local streets. 	Pre-operations	City/Port
	<p>Mitigation 4.3-8: Provide an emergency service program and emergency evacuation plan using waterborne vessels.</p>	Pre-operations; at time Port and	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	The City shall provide emergency access to the OARB sub-district by vessel. The area is currently served by fire boat out of the Jack London Square Fire Station. The City may elect to equip that fire boat with first response medical emergency personnel as well as limited hazardous materials response personnel and equipment (see also Mitigation Measure 4.9-1). Major developers shall fund these improvements on a fair share basis.	Gateway development area employees exceed 2,044 (1995 baseline)	
	<p><u>With regard to Maritime Street between 7th Street and West Grand Avenue:</u></p> <p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-5:</u> The City shall provide a shoulder with a minimum width of 8 feet on the west side of Maritime Street to accommodate queuing trucks and minimize intrusion onto the southbound travel lane.</p> <p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-6:</u> The City shall provide a 9-foot wide area along the entire west side of Maritime Street in this area to accommodate a sidewalk and utilities; exact dimensions of these elements will be determined by the City's Transportation and Infrastructure Divisions during the PUD process.</p> <p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-7:</u> The City shall provide an 18-foot wide area along the entire east side of Maritime Street in this area to accommodate a Class 1 bicycle path and utilities; exact dimensions of these elements will be determined by the City's Transportation and Infrastructure Divisions during the PUD process.</p>	<u>Prior to approval of the PUD</u>	<u>City/Port</u>
	<p><u>With regard to North Maritime (formerly Wake Avenue):</u></p> <p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-8:</u> The City shall provide 2 travel lanes in each direction in this area with shoulders on each side for bicycle lanes. The exact dimensions of these elements will be determined by the City's Transportation and Infrastructure Divisions during the PUD process.</p>	<u>Prior to approval of the PUD</u>	<u>City/Port</u>
	<p><u>With regard to Burma Road between Maritime Street and West Oakland (Burma East):</u></p> <p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-9:</u> The City shall provide a 9-foot wide area along the entire north side of Burma Street in this area to accommodate utilities and a sidewalk; bicycles will be accommodated on the shoulder; exact dimensions of these elements will be determined by the City's Transportation and Infrastructure Divisions during the PUD process.</p>	<u>Prior to approval of the PUD</u>	<u>City/Port</u>
	<p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-10:</u> The City shall provide a 7-foot wide area along the entire south side of Burma Street in this area to accommodate utilities; bicycles will be accommodated on the shoulder; exact dimensions of these elements will be determined by the City's Transportation and Infrastructure Divisions during the PUD process.</p>	<u>Prior to approval of the PUD</u>	<u>City/Port</u>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>With regard to Burma Road between Maritime Street and Railroad Tracks (Burma West):</u></p> <p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-11: The City shall provide an 9-foot wide area along the entire south side of Burma Street in this area to accommodate utilities and a sidewalk; bicycles will be accommodated on the shoulder; exact dimensions of these elements will be determined by the City’s Transportation and Infrastructure Divisions during the PUD process.</u></p> <p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-12: The City shall provide a 20-foot wide area along the entire north side of Burma Street in this area to accommodate utilities and a Class 1 bicycle path; exact dimensions of these elements will be determined by the City’s Transportation and Infrastructure Divisions during the PUD process.</u></p>	Prior to approval of the PUD	City/Port
	<p><u>With regard to Burma Road between Railroad Tracks and Gateway Park (Burma Far West):</u></p> <p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-13: The City shall provide an 8-foot wide area along the entire south side of Burma Street in this area to accommodate utilities and a sidewalk; bicycles will be accommodated on the shoulder with a Class 2 bicycle lane; exact dimensions of these elements will be determined by the City’s Transportation and Infrastructure Divisions during the PUD process.</u></p>	Prior to approval of the PUD	City/Port
	<p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-14: The City shall provide a shoulder along the entire north side of Burma Street in this area to accommodate bicycles with a Class 2 bicycle lane; exact dimensions of these elements will be determined by the City’s Transportation and Infrastructure Divisions during the PUD process.</u></p>	Prior to approval of the PUD	City/Port
	<p><u>With regard to Emergency Access:</u></p> <p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-15a: The Project Sponsor shall develop, in consultation and coordination with adjacent property owners, including EBMUD, an emergency response plan for the 2012 Army Base Project, which addresses emergency ingress/egress.</u></p> <p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-15b: The Project Sponsor shall include in the design of West Burma Road turn-outs and turn-arounds at the appropriate locations and dimensions as required by the Fire Department, in order to allow for appropriate ingress and egress of emergency vehicles.</u></p>	For MM 3.15-15a: at the time of issuance of the first certificate of occupancy (CO); For MM 3.15-15b: prior to approval of the PUD	City/Port
<p>5. Project would directly or indirectly result in a permanent substantial decrease in pedestrian safety. (Addendum 3.16.5.4j; 2002 EIR Impact 4.3-3 and Cumulative Impact 5.3-3)</p>	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.3-5, 4.3-6 and 4.3-7</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.3-5 and new Mitigation Measures 3.16-5 through 3.16-15a and 3.16-15b provided above.</p>		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
6. Project would directly or indirectly result in a permanent substantial decrease in bicyclist safety. (Addendum 3.16.5.4k; 2002 EIR Impact 4.3-3 and Cumulative Impact 5.3-3)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.3-5, 4.3-6 and 4.3-7</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.3-5 and new Mitigation Measures 3.16-5 through 3.16-15a and 3.16-15b provided above.</p>		
7. Project would directly or indirectly result in a permanent substantial decrease in bus rider safety. (Addendum 3.16.5.4l; 2002 EIR Impact 4.3-3 and Cumulative Impact 5.3-3)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.3-5, 4.3-6 and 4.3-7. (<i>Note: Mitigation Measure 4.3-6 is no longer applicable to the 2012 Project.</i>)</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: Mitigation is not warranted.</p>		
8. Project would generate substantial multi-modal traffic traveling across at-grade railroad crossings that cause or expose roadway users to a permanent and substantial transportation hazard? (Addendum 3.16.5.4m)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.3-5, 4.3-6 and 4.3-7.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.3-5, 4.3-7 and SCA TRANS-3 and supplemented by (new) Mitigation Measure 3.16-9.</p>		
	<p><u>SCA TRANS-3: Railroad Crossings: Any proposed new or relocated railroad crossing improvements must be coordinated with California Public Utility Commission (CPUC) and affected railroads and all necessary permits/approvals obtained, including a GO 88-B Request (Authorization to Alter Highway Rail Crossings), if applicable. Appropriate safety-related design features and measures should be incorporated, including without limitation:</u></p> <p>a) <u>Installation of grade separations at crossings, i.e., physically separating roads and railroad tracks by constructing overpasses or underpasses.</u></p> <p>b) <u>Improvements to warning devices at existing highway rail crossings that are impacted by project traffic.</u></p> <p>c) <u>Installation of additional warning signage.</u></p> <p>d) <u>Improvements to traffic signaling at intersections adjacent to crossings, e.g., signal preemption.</u></p> <p>e) <u>Installation of median separation to prevent vehicles from driving around railroad crossing gates.</u></p> <p>f) <u>Where soundwalls, landscaping, buildings, etc. would be installed near crossings, maintaining the visibility of warning devices and approaching trains.</u></p> <p>g) <u>Prohibition of parking within 100 feet of the crossings to improve the visibility of warning devices and approaching trains.</u></p> <p>h) <u>Construction of pull-out lanes for buses and vehicles transporting hazardous materials.</u></p>	<u>Action required prior to railroad crossing construction</u>	<u>City/Port</u>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p>i) Installation of vandal-resistant fencing or walls to limit the access of pedestrians onto the railroad right-of-way.</p> <p>j) Elimination of driveways near crossings.</p> <p>k) Increased enforcement of traffic laws at crossings.</p> <p>l) Rail safety awareness programs to educate the public about the hazards of highway-rail grade crossings.</p> <p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-16:</u></p> <p>a. Redesign the Engineers Road to intersect the EBMUD driveway at least 100 feet north of the at-grade rail crossing or configure an internal circulation plan that prohibits turns from Engineers Road onto Wake Avenue.</p> <p>b. Provide a high visibility crosswalk with pedestrian crossing signs at the pedestrian crossing just west of the rail crossing on West Burma Road.</p> <p>c. Paint “KEEP CLEAR” on West Burma Road for westbound vehicles at the Truck Services driveway.</p> <p>d. Unless approved otherwise by the California Public Utility Commission (CPUC), construct all rail crossings at a minimum street-crossing angle of 45 degrees consistent with Institute of Transportation Engineers recommendations, 90 degrees is preferred for cross-traffic safety.</p> <p><u>Recommended Measures (not required by CEQA):</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Project Sponsor shall negotiate with EBMUD in good faith to reach an agreement which reasonably limits train movements from unreasonably parking, stopping and/or blocking access to EBMUD’s main gate to the MWWTP. Specifically, the Master Developer shall coordinate the timing of its use of the tracks to a schedule that reduces, to the maximum extent feasible, any potentially adverse impacts to EBMUD’s main gate to the MWWTP. The Project Sponsor shall make reasonable good faith efforts to explore the feasibility of, and if determined feasible, obtain/secure alternate emergency vehicle access to the MWWTP that would not be impacted by the 2012 Army Base rail traffic. The City shall coordinate its efforts with EBMUD. 		
		At the time of issuance of the first certificate of occupancy (CO)	City/Port
		At the time of issuance of the first certificate of occupancy (CO)	City/Port
9. Project could fundamentally conflict with adopted City policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect. (Addendum 3.16.5.4n; 2002 EIR	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.3-4 and 4.3-9</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.3-9 and Mitigation Measures 3.16-5 through 3.16-15a and 3.16-15b provided above.</p> <p>Mitigation 4.3-9: Redevelopment plans shall conform to City of Oakland or Port development standards with facilities that support transportation alternatives to the single-occupant automobile. Facilities that support transportation alternatives to the single-occupant automobile may include, and are not limited to, bus turnouts, bicycle racks, on-site showers, on-site lockers, and pedestrian and bicycle ways.</p>	Pre-construction	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
Impact 4.3-5)			
10. Would the project result in a substantial, though temporary, adverse effect on the circulation system during construction of the project. (Addendum 3.16.5.4o; 2002 EIR Impact 4.3-11)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.3-13.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: Mitigation Measure 4.3-13 and SCA TRANS-2.</p>		
	<p><u>SCA TRANS-2: Construction Traffic and Parking: The project sponsor and construction contractor shall meet with appropriate City of Oakland agencies to determine traffic management strategies to reduce, to the maximum extent feasible, traffic congestion and the effects of parking demand by construction workers during construction of this project (see also SCA TRANS-1, especially “h”) and other nearby projects that could be simultaneously under construction. The project sponsor shall develop a construction management plan. The plan shall be submitted to EBMUD and Caltrans for their review and comment ten (10) business days before submittal to the City. The project sponsor shall consider in good faith such comments and revise the plan as appropriate. The revised plan shall be submitted for review and approval by the Planning and Zoning Division, the Building Services Division, and the Transportation Services Division. The plan shall include at least the following items and requirements:</u></p> <p><u>a) A set of comprehensive traffic control measures, including scheduling of major truck trips and deliveries to avoid peak traffic hours, detour signs if required, lane closure procedures, signs, cones for drivers, and designated construction access routes.</u></p> <p><u>b) Notification procedures for adjacent project sponsors and public safety personnel regarding when major deliveries, detours, and lane closures will occur.</u></p> <p><u>c) Location of construction staging areas for materials, equipment, and vehicles at an approved location.</u></p> <p><u>d) A process for responding to, and tracking, complaints pertaining to construction activity, including identification of an onsite complaint manager. The manager shall determine the cause of the complaints and shall take prompt action to correct the problem. Planning and Zoning shall be informed who the Manager is prior to the issuance of the first permit issued by Building Services.</u></p> <p><u>e) Provision for accommodation of pedestrian flow.</u></p> <p><u>f) Provision for parking management and spaces for all construction workers to ensure that construction workers do not park in on-street spaces (see also SCA TRANS-1, especially “h”).</u></p> <p><u>g) Any damage to the street caused by heavy equipment, or as a result of this construction, shall be repaired, at the applicant's expense, within one week of the occurrence of the damage (or excessive wear), unless further damage/excessive wear may continue; in such case, repair shall occur prior to issuance of a final inspection of the building permit. All damage that is a threat to public health or safety shall be repaired immediately. The street shall be restored to its</u></p>	<p><u>Prior to the issuance of a demolition, grading or building permit</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>condition prior to the new construction as established by the City Building Inspector and/or photo documentation, at the applicant's expense, before the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.</u></p> <p><u>h) Any heavy equipment brought to the construction site shall be transported by truck, where feasible.</u></p> <p><u>i) No materials or equipment shall be stored on the traveled roadway at any time.</u></p> <p><u>j) Prior to construction, a portable toilet facility and a debris box shall be installed on the site, and properly maintained through project completion.</u></p> <p><u>k) All equipment shall be equipped with mufflers.</u></p> <p><u>l) Prior to the end of each work day during construction, the contractor or contractors shall pick up and properly dispose of all litter resulting from or related to the project, whether located on the property, within the public rights-of-way, or properties of adjacent or nearby neighbors.</u></p> <p><u>Specifically, to further implement SCA TRANS-2, a traffic construction management analysis was performed which recommended certain improvements to the Adeline/5th and Adeline/3rd Street and Adeline Street intersection, which is discussed under construction impacts of the Traffic and Transportation section of the 2012 OARB Initial Study/Addendum.</u></p>		
	<p>Mitigation 4.3-13: Prior to commencing hazardous materials or hazardous waste remediation, demolition, or construction activities, a Traffic Control Plan (TCP) shall be implemented to control peak hours trips to the extent feasible, assure the safety on the street system and assure that transportation activities are protective of human health, safety, and the environment.</p> <p>Construction and remediation TCPs shall be designed and implemented to reduce to the maximum feasible extent traffic and safety impacts to regional and local roadways.</p> <p>The TCP shall address items including but not limited to: truck routes, street closures, parking for workers and staff, access to the project area and land closures or parking restrictions that may require coordination with and/or approval by the City, the Port and/or Caltrans. The TCP shall be submitted to the City Traffic Engineering and Planning divisions or the Port, as appropriate, for review and approval prior to the issuance of any building, demolition or grading permits. The City and the Port shall coordinate their respective approvals to maximize the effectiveness of the TCP measures. DTSC would have ongoing authority under its Remedial Action Plan/Remedial Monitoring Plan oversight and the Hazardous Substances Account Act to regulate remediation transportation activities, which must be protective of human health, safety and the environment.</p> <p>Remediation and demolition/construction traffic shall be restricted to designated truck routes within the City, and the TCP shall include a signage program for all truck routes serving the site during remediation or demolition/construction. A signage program details the location and type of truck route signs that would be installed during remediation and demolition/construction to direct trucks to</p>	Pre-construction	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p>and from the project area. Truck access points for entry and exit should be included in the TCP. In addition, as determined by City of Port staff, the developer shall be responsible for repairing any damage to the pavement that is caused by remediation or demolition/construction vehicles for restoring pavement to pre-construction conditions.</p> <p>Remediation and demolition/construction-related trips will be restricted to daytime hours, unless expressly permitted by the City or the Port, and to the extent feasible, trips will be minimized during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours.</p> <p>The TCP shall identify locations for construction/remediation staging. Remediation staging areas are anticipated to be located near construction areas, since remediation will be largely coordinated with redevelopment. In addition, the TCP shall identify and provide off-street parking for remediation and demolition/construction staff to the extent possible throughout all phases of redevelopment. If there is insufficient parking available within walking distance of the site for workers, the developer shall provide a shuttle bus or other appropriate system to transfer workers between the satellite parking areas and remediation or demolition/construction site.</p> <p>The TCP shall also include measures to control dust, requirements to cover all loads to control odors, and provisions for emergency response procedures, health and safety driver education, and accident notification.</p>		
<p><u>Cumulative Impacts Year 2020 for 2012 OARB Project (Compared to Year 2025 for 2002 EIR Project)</u></p> <p>1. Increased congestion at signalized intersections outside the Downtown area exceeding the cumulatively significant threshold (Addendum 3.16-6a; 2002 EIR Impact 5.3-1)</p>	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation: Mitigation Measure 5.3-1, 5.3-2, 5.3-3, 5.3-4, 5.3-6.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: Mitigation Measure 3.16-19. Mitigation Measures 5.3-1 through 5.3-6 are no longer needed.</p>		
	<p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-17: West Grand Avenue & I-880 Frontage Road (#2).</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Optimize signal timing (i.e., adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for the AM peak hour.</u> <u>Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in the same signal coordination group.</u> <p><u>To implement this measure, the project sponsor shall submit plans specifications and estimates (PS&E) as detailed in Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 that are consistent with the City’s standards to City of Oakland’s Transportation Engineering Division for review and approval.</u></p> <p><u>The project sponsor shall fund, prepare, and install the approved plans and improvements.</u></p>	<p><u>Operations: at the time of issuance of the first certificate of occupancy (CO) and at time level of service exceeds LOS D;</u></p> <p><u>Mitigation at this intersection may be required by Year 2016. Investigation of the need for this mitigation shall be</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
		studied at the time of construction and every three years thereafter until 2035 or until the mitigation measure is implemented, whichever occurs first.	
	7th Street & I-880 Northbound Off-Ramp (#12). See Mitigation 3.16-1 above.		
	Mitigation 5.3-1: 7th/Maritime Street. Project area developers shall fund a fair share of additional modifications at the 7th/Maritime Street intersection.	Operations; at time level of service exceeds LOS E	City/Port
	Mitigation 5.3-2: 7th Street/I-880 Northbound Ramps. Project area developers shall fund a fair share of modifications at the 7th Street/I-880 Northbound ramp	Operations; at time additional delay exceeds 2 seconds	City/Port
	Mitigation 5.3-3: 3rd/Adeline Street. Project area developers shall fund a fair share of the modifications at the 3rd/Adeline Street intersection.	Operations; at time level of service exceeds LOS E	City/Port
	Mitigation 5.3-4: 3rd/Market Street. Project area developers shall fund a fair share of modifications at the 3rd/Market Street intersection.	Operations; at time level of service exceeds LOS E	City/Port
	Mitigation 5.3-5: 12th/Brush Street. Project area developers shall fund a fair share of modifications to the 12th/Brush Street intersection to increase the signal cycle length to 102 seconds.	Operations; at time level of service exceeds LOS E	City/Port
	Mitigation 5.3-6: Powell Street/I-80 Northbound Ramps. Project area developers shall fund a fair share of modifications at the Powell Street/I-80 northbound ramps intersection.	Operations; at time additional delay exceeds 2 seconds	City/Port
2. One intersection located outside the downtown area, where the level of service is LOS E, the project would cause the total intersection average vehicle delay to increase by four (4) or	<p>2002 Mitigation: No mitigation warranted.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: (New) Mitigation Measure 3.16-20.</p>		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
more seconds, or degrade to worse than LOS E: (Addendum 3.16.6c; 2002 EIR Impact not applicable)	<p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-18: San Pablo Ave & Ashby Ave (#42).</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Optimize signal timing (i.e., adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for the PM peak hour.</u> • <u>Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in the same signal coordination group.</u> <p><u>To implement this measure, the project sponsor shall coordinate with the City of Berkeley and Caltrans, and shall fund, prepare, and install the approved plans and improvements.</u></p>	<p><u>Operations: at the time of issuance of the first certificate of occupancy (CO) and at time additional delay exceeds 4 seconds or level of service exceeds LOS E</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>
3. One intersection with LOS F, where the project would cause (a) the total intersection average vehicle delay to increase by two (2) or more seconds, or (b) an increase in average delay for any of the critical movements of four (4) seconds or more; or (c) the volume-to-capacity (“V/C”) ratio exceeds three (3) percent (Addendum 3.16.6e; 2002 EIR Impact 5.3-1)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation: Mitigation Measure 5.3-1, 5.3-2, 5.3-3, 5.3-4, 5.3-6.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: Implementation of Mitigation Measure 3.16-4 would reduce the project impact to less than significant.</p>		
4. Four roadway segments of the Congestion Management Program (CMP) would a) degrade to LOS F; or b) increase the V/C ratio by more than three percent for a roadway segment that would operate at LOS F without the project in Year 2020: (Addendum 3.16.6.1g; 2002 EIR Impact 4.3-2 and Cumulative Impact 5.3-2)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measure 4.3-4.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: See Mitigation Measure 4.3-4 above.</p>		
<p><u>Cumulative Impacts for Year 2035 for 2012 OARB Project (Compared to Year 2025 for 2002 EIR Project)</u></p> <p>1. Three intersections located outside the Downtown area, which the project would cause the level of service (LOS)</p>	<p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-19: West Grand Avenue & Maritime Street (#1).</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Optimize signal timing (i.e., adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for the PM peak hour.</u> • <u>Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in</u> 	<p><u>Operations: at time level of service exceeds LOS D; Mitigation at this intersection may be required by Year</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
to degrade to worse than LOS D: (Addendum 3.16.6.2a; Cumulative Impact 5.3-1)	<p><u>the same signal coordination group.</u></p> <p><u>To implement this measure, the project sponsor shall submit plans specifications and estimates (PS&E) as detailed in Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 that are consistent with the City's standards to City of Oakland's Transportation Engineering Division for review and approval.</u></p> <p><u>The project sponsor shall fund, prepare, and install the approved plans and improvements.</u></p>	<p><u>2028. Investigation of the need for this mitigation shall be studied at the time of construction and every three years thereafter until 2035 or until the mitigation measure is implemented, whichever occurs first.</u></p>	
	<p><u>Mitigation Measure: 7th Street & I-880 Northbound Off-Ramp (#12). See Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 above.</u></p>		
	<p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-20: 7th Street & Union Street (#15).</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>• Optimize signal timing (i.e., adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for the AM peak hour.</u> <u>• Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in the same signal coordination group.</u> <p><u>To implement this measure, the project sponsor shall submit plans specifications and estimates (PS&E) as detailed in Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 that are consistent with the City's standards to City of Oakland's Transportation Engineering Division for review and approval.</u></p> <p><u>The project sponsor shall fund, prepare, and install the approved plans and improvements.</u></p>	<p><u>Operations; at time level of service exceeds LOS D; Mitigation at this intersection may be required by Year 2032. Investigation of the need for this mitigation shall be studied at the time of construction and every three years thereafter until 2035 or until the mitigation measure is implemented, whichever occurs first.</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>
<p>2. At one intersection located within the Downtown area, the project would cause the LOS to degrade to</p>	<p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-21: West Grand Avenue & Northgate Avenue (#8).</u></p>	<p><u>Operations; at time level of service</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
worse than LOS E: (Addendum 3.16.6.2b; Cumulative Impact 5.3-1)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Optimize signal timing (i.e., adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for the AM peak hour. Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in the same signal coordination group. <p>To implement this measure, the project sponsor shall submit plans specifications and estimates (PS&E) as detailed in Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 that are consistent with the City's standards to City of Oakland's Transportation Engineering Division for review and approval.</p> <p>The project sponsor shall fund, prepare, and install the approved plans and improvements.</p>	<p>exceeds LOS E;</p> <p>Mitigation at this intersection may be required by Year 2030. Investigation of the need for this mitigation shall be studied at the time of construction and every three years thereafter until 2035 or until the mitigation measure is implemented, whichever occurs first</p>	
3. At two intersections located outside the Downtown area where the level of service is LOS E, would the project cause the total intersection average vehicle delay to increase by four (4) or more seconds, or degrade to worse than LOS E (Addendum 3.16.6.2c; Cumulative Impact 5.3-2)	<p>2002 Mitigation: No Mitigation warranted.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: (New) Mitigation Measures 3.16-24 and 3.16-25</p> <p>Mitigation Measure 3.16-22: 5th Street & Union Street / I-880 North Ramps (#21).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Optimize signal timing (i.e., increase the traffic signal cycle length to 100 seconds and adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for the PM peak hour. Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in the same signal coordination group. <p>To implement this measure, the project sponsor shall submit plans specifications and estimates (PS&E) as detailed in Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 that are consistent with the City's standards to City of Oakland's Transportation Engineering Division for review and approval.</p> <p>The project sponsor shall fund, prepare, and install the approved plans and improvements.</p>	<p>Operations; at time when additional delay exceeds 4 seconds or the level of service exceeds LOS E;</p> <p>Mitigation at this intersection may be required by Year 2022. and every three years thereafter until 2035 or until the mitigation measure</p>	<p>City/Port</p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
		<u>is implemented, whichever occurs first.</u>	
	<p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16-23: MacArthur Boulevard & Market Street (#33).</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Optimize signal timing (i.e., adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for the AM peak hour.</u> • <u>Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in the same signal coordination group.</u> <p><u>To implement this measure, the project sponsor shall submit plans specifications and estimates (PS&E) as detailed in Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 that are consistent with the City’s standards to City of Oakland’s Transportation Engineering Division for review and approval.</u></p> <p><u>The project sponsor shall fund, prepare, and install the approved plans and improvements.</u></p>	<p><u>Operations: at time when additional delay exceeds 4 seconds or the level of service exceeds LOS E</u></p>	<u>City/Port</u>
<p>4. Eleven intersections where the level of service is LOS F, the project would cause (a) the total intersection average vehicle delay to increase by two (2) or more seconds, or (b) an increase in average delay for any of the critical movements of four (4) seconds or more; or (c) the volume-to-capacity (“V/C”) ratio increases 0.03 or more (but only if the delay values are greater than 120 seconds of average intersection delay as delay values over 120 seconds tend to increase exponentially and are then generally considered unreliable): (Addendum 3.16.6.2e; Cumulative Impact 5.3-2)</p>	<p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16- 24: West Grand Avenue & I-880 Frontage Road (#2).</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Optimize signal timing (i.e., increase the traffic signal cycle length and adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for the AM and PM peak hours.</u> • <u>Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in the same signal coordination group</u> <p><u>To implement this measure, the project sponsor shall submit plans specifications and estimates (PS&E) as detailed in Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 that are consistent with the City’s standards to City of Oakland’s Transportation Engineering Division for review and approval.</u></p> <p><u>The project sponsor shall fund, prepare, and install the approved plans and improvements.</u></p>	<p><u>Operations: at time when additional delay or V/C ratio exceeds thresholds (a), (b) or (c) as outlined for this 2035 Cumulative impact;</u></p> <p><u>Mitigation at this intersection may be required by Year 2021. Investigation of the need for this mitigation shall be studied at the time of construction and every three years thereafter until 2035 or until the mitigation measure is implemented, whichever occurs</u></p>	<u>City/Port</u>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16- 25: West Grand Avenue & Adeline Street (#4).</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Optimize signal timing (i.e., increase the traffic signal cycle length to 90 seconds and adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for the PM peak hour.</u> <u>Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in the same signal coordination group.</u> <p><u>To implement this measure, the project sponsor shall submit plans specifications and estimates (PS&E) as detailed in Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 that are consistent with the City’s standards to City of Oakland’s Transportation Engineering Division for review and approval.</u></p> <p><u>The project sponsor shall fund, prepare, and install the approved plans and improvements.</u></p>	<p><u>first.</u></p> <p><u>Operations: at time when additional delay or V/C ratio exceeds thresholds (a), (b) or (c) as outlined for this 2035 Cumulative impact;</u></p> <p><u>Mitigation at this intersection may be required by Year 2032. Investigation of the need for this mitigation shall be studied at the time of construction and every three years thereafter until 2035 or until the mitigation measure is implemented, whichever occurs first.</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>
	<p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16- 26: West Grand Avenue & Market Street (#5)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Provide split phasing for northbound and southbound movements.</u> <u>Optimize signal timing (i.e., increase the traffic signal cycle length to 120 seconds and adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for both the AM and PM peak hours.</u> <u>Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in the same signal coordination group.</u> <p><u>To implement this measure, the project sponsor shall submit plans specifications and estimates (PS&E) as detailed in Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 that are consistent with the City’s standards to City of Oakland’s Transportation Engineering Division for review and approval.</u></p> <p><u>The project sponsor shall fund, prepare, and install the approved plans and improvements.</u></p>	<p><u>Operations: at time when additional delay or V/C ratio exceeds thresholds (a), (b) or (c) as outlined for this 2035 Cumulative impact;</u></p> <p><u>Mitigation at this intersection may be</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
		required by Year 2022. Investigation of the need for this mitigation shall be studied at the time of construction and every three years thereafter until 2035 or until the mitigation measure is implemented, whichever occurs first.	
	<p>Mitigation Measure 3.16- 27: West Grand Avenue & San Pablo Avenue (#6)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Remove parking on the south side of West Grand Avenue; add an eastbound through lane between San Pablo Avenue and Martin Luther King Jr. Way; and convert the eastbound right turn lane to a through-right combination lane. • Optimize signal timing (i.e., adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for the PM peak hour. • Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in the same signal coordination group. <p>To implement this measure, the project sponsor shall submit plans specifications and estimates (PS&E) as detailed in Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 that are consistent with the City’s standards to City of Oakland’s Transportation Engineering Division for review and approval.</p> <p>The project sponsor shall fund, prepare, and install the approved plans and improvements.</p>	<p>Operations: at time when additional delay or V/C ratio exceeds thresholds (a), (b) or (c) as outlined for this 2035 Cumulative impact;</p> <p>Mitigation at this intersection may be required by Year 2026. Investigation of the need for this mitigation shall be studied at the time of construction and every three years thereafter until 2035 or until the mitigation measure is implemented, whichever occurs</p>	City/Port

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
		<u>first.</u>	
	<p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16- 28: West Grand Avenue & Harrison Street (#9)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>• Optimize signal timing (i.e., adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for the PM peak hour.</u> <u>• Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in the same signal coordination group.</u> <p><u>To implement this measure, the project sponsor shall submit plans specifications and estimates (PS&E) as detailed in Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 that are consistent with the City’s standards to City of Oakland’s Transportation Engineering Division for review and approval.</u></p> <p><u>The project sponsor shall fund, prepare, and install the approved plans and improvements.</u></p>	<p><u>Operations: at time when additional delay or V/C ratio exceeds thresholds (a), (b) or (c) as outlined for this 2035 Cumulative impact;</u></p> <p><u>Mitigation at this intersection may be required by Year 2025. Investigation of the need for this mitigation shall be studied at the time of construction and every three years thereafter until 2035 or until the mitigation measure is implemented, whichever occurs first.</u></p>	<u>City/Port</u>
	<p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16- 29: 7th Street & Harrison Street (#18)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>• Provide split phasing for northbound and southbound movements.</u> <u>• Optimize signal timing (i.e., increase the traffic signal cycle length to 80 seconds and adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for the PM peak hour.</u> <u>• Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in the same signal coordination group.</u> <p><u>To implement this measure, the project sponsor shall submit plans specifications and estimates (PS&E) as detailed in Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 that are consistent with the City’s standards to City of Oakland’s Transportation Engineering Division for review and approval.</u></p> <p><u>The project sponsor shall fund, prepare, and install the approved plans and improvements.</u></p>	<p><u>Operations: at time when additional delay or V/C ratio exceeds thresholds (a), (b) or (c) as outlined for this 2035 Cumulative impact;</u></p> <p><u>Mitigation at this intersection may be</u></p>	<u>City/Port</u>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
		required at the time of Project construction. Investigation of the need for this mitigation shall be studied at the time of construction and every three years thereafter until 2035 or until the mitigation measure is implemented, whichever occurs first.	
	<p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16- 30: 6th Street & Jackson Street (#20)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide split phasing for northbound and southbound movements. • Optimize signal timing (i.e., increase the traffic signal cycle length to 80 seconds and adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for the AM peak hour. • Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in the same signal coordination group. <p>To implement this measure, the project sponsor shall submit plans specifications and estimates (PS&E) as detailed in Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 that are consistent with the City’s standards to City of Oakland’s Transportation Engineering Division for review and approval.</p> <p>The project sponsor shall fund, prepare, and install the approved plans and improvements.</p>	<p>Operations; at time when additional delay or V/C ratio exceeds thresholds (a), (b) or (c) as outlined for this 2035 Cumulative impact;</p> <p>Mitigation at this intersection may be required by Year 2025. Investigation of the need for this mitigation shall be studied at the time of construction and every three years thereafter until 2035 or until the mitigation measure</p>	<p>City/Port</p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
		<u>is implemented, whichever occurs first.</u>	
	<p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16- 31: 12th Street & Brush Street (#28)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>• Optimize signal timing (i.e., increase the traffic signal cycle length to 120 seconds and adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for the AM peak hour.</u> <u>• Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in the same signal coordination group.</u> <p><u>To implement this measure, the project sponsor shall submit plans specifications and estimates (PS&E) as detailed in Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 that are consistent with the City's standards to City of Oakland's Transportation Engineering Division for review and approval.</u></p> <p><u>The project sponsor shall fund, prepare, and install the approved plans and improvements.</u></p>	<p><u>Operations: at time when additional delay or V/C ratio exceeds thresholds (a), (b) or (c) as outlined for this 2035 Cumulative impact;</u></p> <p><u>Mitigation at this intersection may be required by Year 2023. Investigation of the need for this mitigation shall be studied at the time of construction and every three years thereafter until 2035 or until the mitigation measure is implemented, whichever occurs first.</u></p>	<u>City/Port</u>
	<u>12th Street & Castro Street (#29). See Mitigation Measure 3.16-4 above.</u>		
	<p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16- 32: Powell Street & Hollis Street (#37)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>• Provide protected plus permitted traffic signal phasing for the northbound and southbound Hollis Street movements.</u> <u>• Optimize signal timing (i.e., adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for both the AM and PM peak hours.</u> <u>• Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in the same signal coordination group.</u> 	<p><u>Operations: at time when additional delay or V/C ratio exceeds thresholds (a), (b) or (c) as outlined for this 2035 Cumulative</u></p>	<u>City/Port</u>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>To implement this measure, the project sponsor shall submit plans specifications and estimates (PS&E) as detailed in Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 that are consistent with the City's standards to City of Emeryville's Transportation Engineering Division for review and approval.</u></p> <p><u>The project sponsor shall fund, prepare, and install the approved plans and improvements.</u></p>	<p><u>impact;</u></p> <p><u>Mitigation at this intersection may be required by Year 2028. Investigation of the need for this mitigation shall be studied at the time of construction and every three years thereafter until 2035 or until the mitigation measure is implemented, whichever occurs first.</u></p>	
	<p><u>Mitigation Measure 3.16- 33: Powell Street/Stanford Avenue & San Pablo Avenue (#38)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>• Optimize signal timing (i.e., adjust the allocation of green time for each intersection approach) for the AM peak hour.</u> <u>• Coordinate the signal timing changes at this intersection with the adjacent intersections that are in the same signal coordination group.</u> <p><u>To implement this measure, the project sponsor shall submit plans specifications and estimates (PS&E) as detailed in Mitigation Measure 3.16-1 that are consistent with the City's standards to City of Oakland's Transportation Engineering Division for review and approval.</u></p> <p><u>The project sponsor shall fund, prepare, and install the approved plans and improvements.</u></p>	<p><u>Operations: at time when additional delay or V/C ratio exceeds thresholds (a), (b) or (c) as outlined for this 2035 Cumulative impact; Mitigation at this intersection may be required by Year 2021. Investigation of the need for this mitigation shall be studied at the time of construction and every three years thereafter until 2035 or until the</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
		mitigation measure is implemented, whichever occurs first.	
<p>4. Four roadway segments of the Congestion Management Program (CMP) would a) degrade to LOS F; or b) increase the V/C ratio by more than three percent for a roadway segment that would operate at LOS F without the project in Year 2035:</p> <p>(Addendum 3.16.6.1g; 2002 EIR Impact 4.3-2 and Cumulative Impact 5.3-2)</p>	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measure 4.3-4.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: See Mitigation Measure 4.3-4 above.</p>		
<p>Planning Related Non-CEQA Issues</p> <p>Queuing</p> <p>Existing Plus Project: The project would result in exceedance of available storage capacity at only the following locations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Northbound left-turn at W. Grand Avenue & Maritime Street (#1) - PM peak hour • Westbound left-turn at 7th Street & Maritime Street (#10) – AM & PM peak hours • Eastbound left-turn at 7th Street & I-880 northbound off-ramp (#12) – PM peak hour 	<p><u>Recommended Measures (not required by CEQA)</u></p> <p><u>The following improvements are recommended to accommodate the anticipated queues:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <u>W. Grand Avenue & Maritime Street (#1). Extend the northbound left-turn storage length to 475 feet; while providing a minimum of 100 feet storage length for the southbound left-turn movement at the Burma Road and Maritime Street intersection (#46).</u> ▪ <u>7th Street & Maritime Street (#10). Extend the westbound left-turn storage length to 320 feet by removing a portion of the existing center median.</u> ▪ <u>7th Street & I-880 northbound off-ramp (#12). Convert one of the existing eastbound through lane to an exclusive left-turn lane to provide two left-turn lanes, and one through lane.</u> 	<u>Prior to approval of PUD</u>	<u>City/Port</u>
<p>Year 2020 cumulative conditions: Similar to Existing plus Project conditions, the Project would result in exceedance of available storage at the same three intersections:</p>	<p><u>Recommended Measures (not required by CEQA)</u></p> <p><u>The following improvements are recommended to accommodate the anticipated queues:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <u>W. Grand Avenue & Maritime Street (#1). Widen Maritime Street to provide two northbound left-turn lanes at the intersection.</u> 	<u>Prior to approval of PUD</u>	<u>City/Port</u>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Northbound left-turn at W. Grand Avenue & Maritime Street (#1) - PM peak hour • Westbound left-turn at 7th Street & Maritime Street (#10) – AM & PM peak hours • Eastbound and southbound left-turn at 7th Street & I-880 northbound off-ramp (#12) – PM peak hour 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 7th Street & Maritime Street (#10). Extend the westbound left-turn storage length to 320 feet by removing a portion of the existing center median. ▪ 7th Street & I-880 northbound off-ramp (#12). Convert one of the existing eastbound through lane to an exclusive left-turn lane to provide two left-turn lanes, and one through lane; and extend the southbound left-turn storage pocket to 250 feet by removing a portion of the existing center median. 		
Utilities			
1. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board? (Addendum 3.17.5a; 2002 EIR Impact 4.9-10)	<p>2002 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted; Implementation of SCA HYD-4 (see Hydrology and Water Quality section above) would further reduce impacts related to wastewater to less-than-significant-levels.</p>		
2. Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? (Addendum 3.17.5b; 2002 EIR Impact 4.9-9)	<p>2002 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted.</p> <p>2012: No Mitigation Warranted; Implementation of SCA UTL-3, SCA UTL-5, SCA UTL-6, and SCA HYD-4 would further reduce impacts related to the construction of new water and wastewater treatment facilities to less-than-significant levels.</p>		
	<p>SCA UTL-3: Underground Utilities: The project applicant shall submit plans for review and approval by the Building Services Division and the Public Works Agency, and other relevant agencies as appropriate, that show all new electric and telephone facilities; fire alarm conduits; street light wiring; and other wiring, conduits, and similar facilities placed underground. The new facilities shall be placed underground along the project applicant's street frontage and from the project applicant's structures to the point of service. The plans shall show all electric, telephone, water service, fire water service, cable, and fire alarm facilities installed in accordance with standard specifications of the serving utilities.</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of a building permit.</p>	<p>City/Port</p>
	<p>SCA UTL-5: Improvements in the Public Right-of Way (Specific): Final building and public improvement plans submitted to the Building Services Division shall include the following components: Examples include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Install additional standard City of Oakland streetlights. b) Remove and replace any existing driveway that will not be used for access to the property with new concrete sidewalk, curb and gutter. c) Reconstruct drainage facility to current City standard. d) Provide separation between sanitary sewer and water lines to comply with current City of Oakland and Alameda Health Department standards. 	<p>Approved prior to the issuance of a grading or building permit.</p>	<p>City/Port</p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p>e) Construct wheelchair ramps that comply with Americans with Disability Act requirements and current City Standards.</p> <p>f) Remove and replace deficient concrete sidewalk, curb and gutter within property frontage.</p> <p>Provide adequate fire department access and water supply, including, but not limited to currently adopted fire codes and standards.</p>		
	<p>SCA UTL-6: Payment for Public Improvements: The project applicant shall pay for and install public improvements made necessary by the project including damage caused by construction activity.</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of a final inspection of the building permit.</p>	<p>City/Port</p>
<p>3. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed? (Addendum 3.17.5d; 2002 EIR Impact 4.9-8 and Cumulative Impact 5.9-5)</p>	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.9-4, 4.9-5, and 4.9-6.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: 2002 EIR Mitigation Measures 4.9-4, 4.9-5, and 4.9-6, supplemented with SCA UTL-1a and UTL-1b.</p>		
	<p>Mitigation 4.9-4: Individual actions with landscaping requirements of one or more acres shall plumb landscape areas for irrigation with recycled water.</p> <p>As subsequent redevelopment activities are designed, the City and Port would require that activities of a certain magnitude shall include a reclaimed landscaping irrigation system. The City and Port would make this a condition of approval for private actions that require such approval, and would include reclaimed landscape water systems in the design of their own public projects.</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of a building permit or other construction-related permit.</p>	<p>City/Port</p>
	<p>Mitigation 4.9-5: Individual buildings with gross floor area exceeding 10,000 square feet shall install dual plumbing for both potable and recycled water, unless determined to be infeasible by the approving agency (City or Port).</p> <p>Any major subsequent redevelopment activity that includes total usable floor area within or more building of 10,000 square feet or more would be required to provide a dual plumbing system—one for potable water, and one for reclaimed water. Reclaimed water may be used for certain industrial uses, and for landscape irrigation, toilet flushing, and other appropriate purposes.</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of a building permit or other construction-related permit.</p>	<p>City/Port</p>
	<p>Mitigation 4.9-6: Site design shall facilitate use of recycled water, and shall comply with requirements of CCR Title 22 regarding prohibitions of site run-off to surface waters.</p> <p>When subsequent redevelopment activities are required to include reclaimed water in their design, the City and Port would ensure that requirements of Title 22 intended to protect the environment are reflected in that design, including prohibitions against run-off to surface waters. The City, Port, and proponents of subsequent redevelopment activities should coordinate these efforts with the reclaimed water supplier, EBMUD.</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of a building permit or other construction-related permit.</p>	<p>City/Port</p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p><u>SCA UTL-1a: Compliance with the Green Building Ordinance, OMC Chapter 18.02:</u></p> <p><u>Prior to issuance of a demolition, grading, or building permit</u></p> <p><u>The applicant shall comply with the requirements of the California Green Building Standards (CALGreen) mandatory measures and the applicable requirements of the Green Building Ordinance, OMC Chapter 18.02.</u></p> <p>a) <u>The following information shall be submitted to the Building Services Division for review and approval with the application for a building permit:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. <u>Documentation showing compliance with Title 24 of the 2008 California Building Energy Efficiency Standards.</u> ii. <u>Completed copy of the final green building checklist approved during the review of the Planning and Zoning permit.</u> iii. <u>Copy of the Unreasonable Hardship Exemption, if granted, during the review of the Planning and Zoning permit.</u> iv. <u>Permit plans that show, in general notes, detailed design drawings, and specifications as necessary, compliance with the items listed in subsection (b) below.</u> v. <u>Copy of the signed statement by the Green Building Certifier approved during the review of the Planning and Zoning permit that the project complied with the requirements of the Green Building Ordinance.</u> vi. <u>Signed statement by the Green Building Certifier that the project still complies with the requirements of the Green Building Ordinance, unless an Unreasonable Hardship Exemption was granted during the review of the Planning and Zoning permit.</u> vii. <u>Other documentation as deemed necessary by the City to demonstrate compliance with the Green Building Ordinance.</u> <p>b) <u>The set of plans in subsection (a) shall demonstrate compliance with the following:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. <u>CALGreen mandatory measures.</u> ii. <u>All pre-requisites per the LEED / GreenPoint Rated checklist approved during the review of the Planning and Zoning permit, or, if applicable, all the green building measures approved as part of the Unreasonable Hardship Exemption granted during the review of the Planning and Zoning permit.</u> iii. <u>Insert green building point level/certification requirement: (See Green Building Summary Table) per the appropriate checklist approved during the Planning entitlement process.</u> iv. <u>All green building points identified on the checklist approved during review of the Planning and Zoning permit, unless a Request for Revision Plan-check application is submitted and approved by the Planning and Zoning Division that shows the previously approved points that will be eliminated or substituted.</u> 	<p><u>Prior to issuance of a demolition, grading, or building permit; or during construction or after construction as specified in SCA UTL-1a or UTL-1b.</u></p>	<p><u>City/Port</u></p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p>v. The required green building point minimums in the appropriate credit categories.</p> <p><u>During construction</u></p> <p>The applicant shall comply with the applicable requirements CALGreen and the Green Building Ordinance, Chapter 18.02.</p> <p>a) The following information shall be submitted to the Building Inspections Division of the Building Services Division for review and approval:</p> <p>i. Completed copies of the green building checklists approved during the review of the Planning and Zoning permit and during the review of the building permit.</p> <p>ii. Signed statement(s) by the Green Building Certifier during all relevant phases of construction that the project complies with the requirements of the Green Building Ordinance.</p> <p>iii. Other documentation as deemed necessary by the City to demonstrate compliance with the Green Building Ordinance.</p> <p><u>After construction, as specified below</u></p> <p>Within sixty (60) days of the final inspection of the building permit for the project, the Green Building Certifier shall submit the appropriate documentation to Build It Green/Green Building Certification Institute and attain the minimum certification/point level identified in subsection (a) above. Within one year of the final inspection of the building permit for the project, the applicant shall submit to the Planning and Zoning Division the Certificate from the organization listed above demonstrating certification and compliance with the minimum point/certification level noted above.</p> <p><u>SCA UTL-1b: Compliance with the Green Building Ordinance, OMC Chapter 18.02, for Building and Landscape Projects Using the StopWaste.Org Small Commercial or Bay Friendly Basic Landscape Checklist</u></p> <p><u>Prior to issuance of a building permit</u></p> <p>The applicant shall comply with the requirements of the California Green Building Standards (CALGreen) mandatory measures and the applicable requirements of the Green Building Ordinance, (OMC Chapter 18.02.) for projects using the StopWaste.Org Small Commercial or Bay Friendly Basic Landscape Checklist.</p> <p>a) The following information shall be submitted to the Building Services Division for review and approval with application for a Building permit:</p> <p>i. Documentation showing compliance with the 2008 Title 24, California Building Energy Efficiency Standards.</p>		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ii. Completed copy of the green building checklist approved during the review of a Planning and Zoning permit. iii. Permit plans that show in general notes, detailed design drawings and specifications as necessary compliance with the items listed in subsection (b) below. iv. Other documentation to prove compliance. <p>b) The set of plans in subsection (a) shall demonstrate compliance with the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. CALGreen mandatory measures. ii. All applicable green building measures identified on the StopWaste.Org checklist approved during the review of a Planning and Zoning permit, or submittal of a Request for Revision Plan-check application that shows the previously approved points that will be eliminated or substituted. <p><u>During construction</u></p> <p>The applicant shall comply with the applicable requirements of CALGreen and Green Building Ordinance, Chapter 18.02 for projects using the StopWaste.Org Small Commercial or Bay Friendly Basic Landscape Checklist.</p> <p>a) The following information shall be submitted to the Building Inspections Division for review and approval:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Completed copy of the green building checklists approved during review of the Planning and Zoning permit and during the review of the Building permit. ii. Other documentation as deemed necessary by the City to demonstrate compliance with the Green Building Ordinance. 		
4. Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments? (Addendum 3.17.5e; 2002 EIR Impact 4.9-10)	<p>2002 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted; Implementation of SCA HYD-4 would further reduce impacts related to the capacity of wastewater collection and treatment systems to less-than-significant levels.</p>		
5. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs? (Addendum 3.17.5f; 2002 EIR Impact 4.9-10, Cumulative)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.9-7, 4.9-8, and 4.9-9.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.9-7, 4.9-8, and 4.9-9, supplemented with SCA UTL-2.</p>		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
Impact 5.9-7)	<p>SCA UTL-2: Waste Reduction and Recycling:The project applicant will submit a Construction & Demolition Waste Reduction and Recycling Plan (WRRP) and an Operational Diversion Plan (ODP) for review and approval by the Public Works Agency.</p> <p><u>Prior to issuance of demolition, grading, or building permit</u></p> <p>Chapter 15.34 of the Oakland Municipal Code outlines requirements for reducing waste and optimizing construction and demolition (C&D) recycling. Affected projects include all new construction, renovations/alterations/modifications with construction values of \$50,000 or more (except R-3), and all demolition (including soft demo). The WRRP must specify the methods by which the development will divert C&D debris waste generated by the proposed project from landfill disposal in accordance with current City requirements. Current standards, FAQs, and forms are available at www.oaklandpw.com/Page39.aspx or in the Green Building Resource Center. After approval of the plan, the project applicant shall implement the plan.</p> <p><u>Ongoing</u></p> <p>The ODP will identify how the project complies with the Recycling Space Allocation Ordinance, (Chapter 17.118 of the Oakland Municipal Code), including capacity calculations, and specify the methods by which the development will meet the current diversion of solid waste generated by operation of the proposed project from landfill disposal in accordance with current City requirements. The proposed program shall be implemented and maintained for the duration of the proposed activity or facility. Changes to the plan may be re-submitted to the Environmental Services Division of the Public Works Agency for review and approval. Any incentive programs shall remain fully operational as long as residents and businesses exist at the project site.</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of demolition, grading, or building permit; or ongoing as specified in SCA UTL-2.</p>	<p>City/Port</p>
	<p>Mitigation 4.9-7: To the maximum extent feasible, the City and Port shall jointly participate in a deconstruction program to capture materials and recycle them into the construction market.</p> <p>Substantial quantities of construction debris would be generated by the removal of structures at the OARB, in both the Gateway and Port development areas. Some of the buildings span both development areas, and coordination between the Port and City is critical in reducing the amount of solid waste disposal that occurs in this sub-district. The City and Port would jointly plan, implement, and operate a program whereby buildings would be deconstructed, rather than demolished, and the resulting material would be recycled to the construction market as practicable. Material for recycling may include, and is not limited to, timbers and siding, ceramic fixtures, metal, and copper wiring. The City and Port may elect to partner with local job-training bridge programs to provide construction training opportunities to Oakland residents through their deconstruction program.</p>	<p>Pre-construction</p>	<p>City/Port</p>
	<p>Mitigation 4.9-8: Concrete and asphalt removed during demolition/construction shall be crushed on</p>	<p>Construction</p>	<p>City/Port</p>

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
	<p>site or at a near site location, and reused in redevelopment or recycled to the construction market.</p> <p>Foundation and paving removal would generate substantial debris, and the City and Port would ensure these materials are crushed and recycled. As a first preference, these materials should be re-used on-site; as a second preference, they would be sold to the construction market. The City and Port would make every effort practicable to avoid disposal to landfill of this material.</p> <p>This mitigation measure may itself result in impacts to the environment relative to noise and air quality. These impacts are discussed in Sections 4.4: Air Quality, and 4.15: Noise.</p>		
	<p>Mitigation 4.9-9: The City and Port shall require developers to submit a plan that demonstrates a good faith effort to divert at least 50 percent of the operations phase solid waste from landfill disposal.</p> <p>Each project sponsor of a redevelopment activity or subsequent redevelopment activity would be required to submit to the City or Port (depending on the location of the activity) a source reduction/waste diversion plan specifying how the activity will reduce solid waste disposal by 50 percent. The sponsor would be responsible for development and implementation of its plan, and for reporting its progress and success rate to the Port or City. Should the source reduction/diversion plan program not meet its stated goal, the sponsor would modify the plan until the desired level of reduction/diversion is achieved. While each plan would be specific, the following general topics should be addressed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Goals. • Key personnel. • Quantification of waste. • Identification of waste materials. • Program elements. • Monitoring requirements and performance standards. • Reporting. 	Operations	City/Port
6. Comply with federal, State, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste? (Addendum 3.17.5g; 2002 EIR Impact 4.9-10 and Cumulative Impact 5.9-7)	<p>2002 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.9-7, 4.9-8, and 4.9-9.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: Mitigation Measures 4.9-7, 4.9-8, and 4.9-9, supplemented with SCA UTL-2.</p>		
7. Would the project violate applicable federal, state and local statutes and regulations relating to energy standards? (Addendum 3.17.5h; 2002 EIR Impact 4.9-11)	<p>2002 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted; Implementation of SCA UTL-1 would further reduce impacts related to applicable energy standards to less-than-significant levels.</p>		

Environmental Impact	Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Implementation/ Monitoring:	
		Schedule	Responsibility
8. Would the project result in a determination by the energy provider which serves or may serve the project that it does not have adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the providers' existing commitments and require or result in construction of new energy facilities or expansion of existing facilities, construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? (Addendum 3.17.5i; 2002 EIR Impact 4.9-11)	<p>2002 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted.</p> <p>2012 Mitigation: No Mitigation Warranted; Implementation of SCA UTL-1 would further reduce impacts related to energy facilities to less-than-significant levels.</p>		