



CITIZENS' POLICE REVIEW BOARD

Meeting of Thursday, July 13, 2017 - 6:15 P.M.

City Council Chamber, Third Floor
ONE FRANK H. OGAWA PLAZA, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA
(510) 238-3159

	Attachments
I. Call to Order	
II. Roll Call	
III. Minutes – June 22, 2017	1
IV. Open Forum	(5 minutes)
V. Director's Report	(5 minutes)
A. Announcements	2
B. Pending Cases as of July 7, 2017	
VI. CPRB Special Committee Report	(5 minutes)
A. Transparency and Legislation	
1. General Updates	
2. Next Meeting	
VII. Closed Session: New Business	(30 minutes)
A. Cases Proposed for Administrative Closure / Pursuant to Ordinance No. 12454 C.M.S. section 6, paragraph G subsection 9, hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process and that no good cause is shown for further action.	
1. Based on the findings of the investigation	
Case No. 17-0484, JANE DOE ¹	
a. Complainant's Comment	
b. Staff Report	
c. Discussion	
d. Action	

The Complainant alleged that an OPD officer had sexual contact with her while he was off-duty in a consensual manner.

¹ The CPRB does not identify complainants alleging sexual assault and/or sexual contact with OPD officers.

Rationale for administrative closure – The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **No Finding** to the allegation as the complainant withdrew her complaint against the subject officer.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint on the basis a hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process and that no good cause has been shown for further action.

Case No. 16-1112, CPRB

- a. Complainant's Comment
- b. Staff Report
- c. Discussion
- d. Action

CPRB Executive Director Finnell submitted a written Complaint to Internal Affairs on December 2, 2016. The narrative states: On 11/30/16, while conducting an investigation into allegations of police misconduct, a CPRB investigator located PDRD footage of an OPD officer conducting a traffic stop at the intersection of E St. and 105th Ave. During the course of the traffic stop the OPD officer was rude, unprofessional and used profanity when addressing the driver.

Rationale for administrative closure – The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Sustained** to allegation #1 as the investigation disclosed a preponderance of evidence to prove the allegation made in the complaint.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Not Sustained** to allegation #2 as the investigation did not disclosed sufficient evidence to determine whether or not the alleged conduct occurred.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Sustained** to allegation #3 as the investigation disclosed a preponderance of evidence to prove the allegation made in the complaint.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **No finding** to allegation #4 as the investigation failed to identify the subject officer.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint on the basis a hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process and that no good cause has been shown for further action.

B. Pending Cases

VIII. Open Session

Disclosure of non-confidential closed session matters (5 minutes)

IX. Adjournment

NOTE: Meetings of the Citizens' Police Review Board are accessible. Individuals requesting alternate format materials and/or auxiliary aids and services must do so no later than five working days before the scheduled meeting date, otherwise it may not be possible to arrange a specific accommodation. Such requests should be addressed to the Citizens' Police Review Board, 250 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor, Suite 6302, Oakland, CA 94612, or by telephone by calling (510) 238-3159 ; TTY/TDD (238-2007).

Because some persons are sensitive to certain chemicals, persons attending this meeting are requested to refrain from wearing scented products.

CPRB MISSION STATEMENT

The Citizens' Police Review Board strives to provide the community with a public forum to voice its concerns on policy matters and individual cases alleging police misconduct, through a mechanism of independent, impartial, fair, and transparent civilian oversight.