



# CITIZENS' POLICE REVIEW BOARD

**Meeting of Thursday, June 22, 2017 - 6:15 P.M.**

**City Council Chamber, Third Floor  
ONE FRANK H. OGAWA PLAZA, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA  
(510) 238-3159**

## Attachments

- |       |  |              |   |
|-------|--|--------------|---|
| I.    | Call to Order  |              |   |
| II.   | Roll Call  |              |   |
| III.  | Minutes – June 8, 2017   |              | 1 |
| IV.   | Open Forum   | (5 minutes)  |   |
| V.    | Director's Report  | (5 minutes)  | 2 |
|       | A. Announcements   |              |   |
|       | B. Pending Cases as of June 16, 2017   |              |   |
| VI.   | CPRB Special Committee Report  | (5 minutes)  |   |
|       | A. Transparency and Legislation  |              |   |
|       | 1. General Updates   |              |   |
|       | 2. Next Meeting  |              |   |
| VII.  | Sign-up Sheet for Examiners for Hearings   | (5 minutes)  | 3 |
| VIII. | Presentation – Policy Update   | (5 minutes)  |   |
|       | (Policy Analyst Juanito Rus)   |              |   |
|       | A. Discussion  |              |   |
|       | B. Public Comment  |              |   |
| IX.   | Closed Session: New Business   | (60 minutes) |   |
|       | A. Cases Proposed for Administrative Closure / Pursuant to Ordinance No. 12454 C.M.S. section 6, paragraph G subsection 9, hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process and that no good cause is shown for further action. |              |   |
|       | 1. Based on the findings of the investigation  |              |   |

Case No. 16-0589, Latrelle Parker

- a. Complainant's Comment
- b. Staff Report
- c. Discussion
- d. Action

The Complainant alleged that OPD officers were rude to her; they knocked on the door too hard and loudly; they didn't listen to her reasons for refusing visitation and wouldn't allow her to talk; that officers did not take her report; and that officers didn't interview her daughter about the reasons for refusing visitation. In the course of the investigation IAD also added an allegation that the officers failed to activate their PDRDs as required by policy.

**Rationale for administrative closure** – The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Exonerated** to allegations #1, #2 and #3 as the investigation revealed that the act or acts which provided the basis for the complaint occurred, however the acts were justified, lawful and proper and not violations under law and/or departmental policy.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Sustained** to allegation #4 as the investigation disclosed a preponderance of evidence to prove the allegation made in the complaint.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **No MOR Violation** to allegation #5 as the investigation disclosed sufficient evidence to determine that the conduct was not an MOR violation.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint on the basis a hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process and that no good cause has been shown for further action.

Case No. 16-0634, Sarai Smith-Mazariegos, Nola Brantley

- a. Complainant's Comment
- b. Staff Report
- c. Discussion
- d. Action

Complainants alleged that OPD officers engaged in sexual misconduct with minors between 2005 and 2012 and failed to take reports of reported misconduct.

**Rationale for administrative closure** – The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **No finding** to allegations #1 and #2 as the investigation failed to identify subject officers.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **No Jurisdiction** to allegation #2 as the investigation disclosed sufficient evidence to determine that the subject officer is no longer employed by the Oakland Police Department.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint on the basis a hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process and that no good cause has been shown for further action.

Case No. 16-0776, Bernell Williams

- a. Complainant's Comment
- b. Staff Report
- c. Discussion
- d. Action

Complainant alleged that an OPD officer twisted her arm behind her back, slammed her on the ground, and put his knee on her back. made an inappropriate statement to her during a telephone conversation approximately one year earlier. Upon review of the case the CPRB Investigator elected to consider an additional allegation of failure to take a report.

**Rationale for administrative closure** – The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Exonerated** to allegations #1 and #4 as the investigation revealed that the act or acts which provided the basis for the complaint occurred, however the acts were justified, lawful and proper and not violations under law and/or departmental policy.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Unfounded** to allegations #2 and #3 as the investigation disclosed sufficient evidence to determine that the conduct did not occur.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint on the basis a hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process and that no good cause has been shown for further action.

Case No. 16-0876, Robert Campbell, Amy Carozza

- a. Complainant's Comment
- b. Staff Report
- c. Discussion
- d. Action

Complainant alleged that OPD officers were directing drivers into their school's parking lot to issue traffic citations. It is alleged an OPD officer was rude, and an OPD Sergeant did not accept or refer a complaint.

**Rationale for administrative closure** – The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **No MOR Violation** to allegation #1 as the investigation disclosed sufficient evidence to determine that the conduct was a Service Complaint.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **No finding** to allegation #2 as the investigation failed to identify subject officers.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Sustained** to allegation #3 as the investigation disclosed a preponderance of evidence to prove the allegation made in the complaint.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint on the basis a hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process and that no good cause has been shown for further action.

**B. Action – 3-Member Panel Hearing Discussion – Case #16-0669**

- A. Discussion
- B. Public Comment

- X. Open Session  
Disclosure of non-confidential closed session matters (5 minutes)
- XI. Adjournment

**NOTE:** Meetings of the Citizens' Police Review Board are accessible. Individuals requesting alternate format materials and/or auxiliary aids and services must do so no later than five working days before the scheduled meeting date, otherwise it may not be possible to arrange a specific accommodation. Such requests should be addressed to the Citizens' Police Review Board, 250 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor, Suite 6302, Oakland, CA 94612, or by telephone by calling (510) 238-3159 ; TTY/TDD (238-2007).

**Because some persons are sensitive to certain chemicals, persons attending this meeting are requested to refrain from wearing scented products.**

**CPRB MISSION STATEMENT**

The Citizens' Police Review Board strives to provide the community with a public forum to voice its concerns on policy matters and individual cases alleging police misconduct, through a mechanism of independent, impartial, fair, and transparent civilian oversight.