



CITIZENS' POLICE REVIEW BOARD

Meeting of Thursday, February 23, 2017 - 6:15 P.M.

City Council Chamber, Third Floor
ONE FRANK H. OGAWA PLAZA, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA
(510) 238-3159

Attachments

- | | | |
|------|------------------------------|---------------|
| I. | Call to Order | |
| II. | Roll Call | |
| III. | Minutes – February 9, 2017 | 1 |
| IV. | Open Forum | (5 minutes) |
| V. | Closed Session: New Business | (120 minutes) |

A. Cases Proposed for Administrative Closure / Pursuant to Ordinance No. 12454 C.M.S. section 6, paragraph G subsection 9, hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process and that no good cause is shown for further action.

1. Based on the findings of the investigation

Case No. 15-0883, Mary King

- a. Complainant's Comment
- b. Staff Report
- c. Discussion
- d. Action

Oakland Police Department (OPD) Internal Affairs Division (IAD) was made aware of the incident after a legal claim was filed with the City. The claim alleged assault, batter, false imprisonment, and negligence as a result of a wrongful arrest by OPD officers. OPD forwarded the information to the CPRB as required.

Rationale for administrative closure –The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Unfounded** to allegations #1 and #2 as the investigation disclosed sufficient evidence to determine that the conduct did not occur.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint on the basis a hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process and that good cause has not been shown for further action.

Case No. 16-0477, Kim Eschenmann

- a. Complainant's Comment
- b. Staff Report
- c. Discussion
- d. Action

Complainant alleges that a sworn member of OPD was rude, condescending and alienating when she inquired about the status of the lab results in her case.

Rationale for administrative closure –The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Not Sustained** to the allegation as the investigation did not disclose sufficient evidence to determine whether or not the alleged conduct occurred.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint on the basis a hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process and that good cause has not been shown for further action.

- B. Evidentiary Hearing, CPRB Case #16-0199, Complainant Christopher Britt
CPRB Board Report of Investigation
 - 1. Closed Hearing
 - 2. Closed Session Deliberations

- VI. Open Session
Disclosure of non-confidential closed session matters (5 minutes)
- VII. Adjournment

NOTE: Meetings of the Citizens' Police Review Board are accessible. Individuals requesting alternate format materials and/or auxiliary aids and services must do so no later than five working days before the scheduled meeting date, otherwise it may not be possible to arrange a specific accommodation. Such requests should be addressed to the Citizens' Police Review Board, 250 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor, Suite 6302, Oakland, CA 94612, or by telephone by calling (510) 238-3159 ; TTY/TDD (238-2007).

Because some persons are sensitive to certain chemicals, persons attending this meeting are requested to refrain from wearing scented products.

CPRB MISSION STATEMENT

The Citizens' Police Review Board strives to provide the community with a public forum to voice its concerns on policy matters and individual cases alleging police misconduct, through a mechanism of independent, impartial, fair, and transparent civilian oversight.