

ATTACHMENT 6

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that City Council accept this informational report regarding the disposition of Citizens' Police Review Board (CPRB) policy recommendations from 2001-2016.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

As part of its mandate, the City Of Oakland Citizens Police Review Board occasionally issues proposals for changes to Oakland Police Department policy and training based on issues that surface as part of investigations into specific individual citizen complaints. By ordinance, these policy proposals are advisory in nature, and therefore have typically been forwarded to the Police Chief and the Office of the City Administrator where ultimate decisions regarding the implementation, modification, or timing of changes in policy and training occur. As the Citizens of Oakland recently voted to affirm Measure LL, moving the city from a model of citizen review and advisory oversight to a strong Police Commission model, and thereby transferring the authority of the CPRB to a Police Commission yet to be constituted, the CPRB deemed it useful to compile a record of every past policy proposal advanced by the Board since the year 2000, and where available a report on the department response to these proposals. Comprising a set of 50+ individual recommendations along with the response to the same by the Oakland Police Department, and the current status of each complaint, this list is provided as an informational report for Oakland residents, City decision makers, and members of the incoming Police Commission.

BACKGROUND

The Citizens' Police Review Board (CPRB) was originally created by Ordinance No. 9916 C.M.S., on April 15, 1980, for the purpose of reviewing certain complaints of conduct by police officers, conducting fact-finding investigations of these complaints, and thereafter making advisory reports to the City Administrator of the facts of these complaints. It was constituted in its current form on November 12, 2002, by City Ordinance No. 12454 C.M.S. which included language enabling the board to, up to four (4) times per year, recommend in writing policy changes with regards to matters within its jurisdiction to the Office of the City Administrator and Oakland Police Department (Ord. 12454 Section 6 No. 4). Under this mandate, the CPRB has periodically issued recommendations for changes to police policy, procedure, and training that it has identified as having especially problematic citizen impacts through its investigatory and hearing process.

On November 8, 2016, the citizens of Oakland voted to substantially restructure the City's civilian oversight of the Oakland Police Department through the passage of Measure LL, an initiative statute designed to transfer effective oversight of the OPD to an independent Police Commission and Citizens Police Review Agency from the CPRB. As the City negotiates the transition period from the CPRB to this

new oversight structure, it is prudent to review the past history of CPRB policy recommendations both to ground the conversation in the existing record of recommended police reforms and to document the policy issues that the citizen review process has served to bring to light.

Policy recommendations made by the CPRB have historically arisen organically out of staff investigations and Board hearings into specific citizen complaints, and have been forwarded to appropriate OPD staff and the City Administrator's Office on an ad-hoc basis as they apply to these specific incidents. Therefore, compiling a full list of past recommendations is a complicated process involving both a review of past disciplinary recommendations and incident documentation, annual reports, and a search for additional policy documentation that exists within the office of the CPRB Policy Analyst – a process complicated by an inconsistent history of staffing of the policy analyst position with the CPRB. Likewise, as there are no formal requirements or processes with respect to official OPD responses to these recommendations, there has been no consistent documentation of these responses or of the effect of CPRB policy advice. In some cases notes as to specific responses exist within CPRB records; in others it is possible to trace the implementation of specific recommendations to subsequent OPD Training Bulletins and Department General Orders. While it is impossible to know whether subsequent changes to official OPD policy is based on the recommendation of the CPRB or on internally generated feedback, the existence of policies responsive to the issues raised in specific recommendations is an indication that the recommendations were deemed to be valid by OPD command staff and these directives have been noted. Because of these complications, it is possible that there exist additional CPRB policy recommendations that occurred during the studied period but that were missed in the review process; however the appended list should be considered the most definitive record of the CPRB policy role during the examined period. The full report is comprised of 40 Policies/Incidents which include 79 separate Policy Recommendations

This informational report is meant to serve as the official record of the CPRB's policy recommendations from 2000-2016.

DRAFT

2001 Policies/ Incidents	Recommendations	OPD Response	Status
OPD Hearing Attendance	1. The police department should revise General Order M-3 to provide clear direction to officers about their obligation to cooperate with CPRB, including giving interviews and attending Board hearings. The General Order should specify the grounds for being relieved from compliance with the CPRB subpoena to attend a hearing, e.g., for illness or injury and the procedures that must be followed.	Included in final draft of the General Order M-3.2.	Adopted
2002 Policies/ Incidents	Recommendations	OPD Response	Status
5150 Policies	1. The police department should immediately train and inform its officers that if an officer is unsure of whether a person meets the criteria of section 5150, the officer has the option of telephoning the psychiatric emergency room at the John George Psychiatric Pavilion to obtain an expert medical opinion. All officers would be given cellular phones for this purpose.	Training complete, but unable to provide cellular phones.	Adopted in Part (The treatment of 5150 calls was substantially changed with the introduction of the OPD Crisis Intervention Program, DGO O-1.1 10/3/2014)
	2. The police department should begin tracking information about 5150 detentions to determine the circumstances under which such detentions are made, the locations of these detentions, and the training needed by officers to correctly use section 5150 to detain individuals.	Declined – the current training is satisfactory given limited resources.	Not adopted (The treatment of 5150 calls was substantially changed with the introduction of the OPD Crisis Intervention Program, DGO O-1.1 10/3/2014)
	3. The police department should work with the Alameda County Behavioral Health Department, the Alameda County Sheriff's Department, community groups, and other interested parties to develop closer working relationships, to share resources, and to develop processes and procedures to address 5150 issues. Workshops should be publicly noticed and open to the public and should commence immediately.	Training is being conducted with a member of the Alameda County Health Department/Mental Health Crisis Response Team as a co-instructor.	Adopted in Part (The treatment of 5150 calls was substantially changed with the introduction of the OPD Crisis Intervention Program, DGO O-1.1 10/3/2014)

	4. The police department should expand its officer training on mental illness and 5150 detentions to 40 hours. The 40-hour training program should occur post-Academy and should include training on distinguishing mental illness from mental retardation, which is not a ground for a 5150 detention.	The sergeants' training has been completed and the officers are receiving their training through continual professional training courses.	Adopted in Part (The treatment of 5150 calls was substantially changed with the introduction of the OPD Crisis Intervention Program, DGO O-1.1 10/3/2014)
Searching Residences	1. Officers should be required to fill out a "notification" form when conducting warrantless searches. The chief of police should issue a special order revising departmental training bulletin I-O.3, which is entitled, <i>Legal Aspects of Searching Residences</i> , for the purpose of implementing this recommendation.	This recommendation will be considered in the issuing of business cards to all officers and in the future during the accreditation process.	Not Adopted
2003 Policies /Incidents	Recommendations	OPD Responses	Status
Anti-War Demonstrations	1. The police department should eliminate its use of wooden dowel.	Included in OPD Training Bulletin III-G	Adopted
	2. The police department should end its practice of using the sting grenade.	Included in OPD Training Bulletin III-G	Adopted
	3. The CPRB Executive Director and the Chief of Police should collaborate with community representatives to further work on revising OPD's crowd control policy.	Included in OPD Training Bulletin III-G	Adopted
Towing	1. The police department should draft a comprehensive training bulletin regarding procedures to be followed when vehicles have been towed – taking into consideration the age of the individual, the location of the tow, and the ability of the individual to relocate to a safe location. The training bulletin should also include the directive that an officer should offer the individual and passengers transportation to the Eastmont Substation or the Police Administration Building, whichever is closer, if leaving the individual or their passengers at the location of the tow would place them at risks of harm.	Included in Special Order No. 8098	Adopted

2004 Policies/ Incidents	Recommendations	OPD Responses	Status
Carijama Festival	1. At the pre-incident planning meetings, include the Fire Department and ambulance personnel to support OPD's efforts to manage large crowds. The Board recognizes the vital role the ambulance and fire personnel play in situations of this nature	Included OPD Training Bulletin III-G	Adopted
	2. Utilize "First Aid Stations fixed and/or mobile and/or ambulance and fire personnel play in situations of this nature.	Included in OPD Training Bulletin	Adopted
	3. Include, in the crowd control policy, considerations of: occupied buildings in the area, businesses, e.g. hospital, schools, senior centers, family restaurants, vehicular traffic, and age, health and mobility of those present.	Included in OPD Training Bulletin III-G	Adopted
	4. Officers must establish a presence commencing at the start of the event by having more community centered policing (e.g. talking with crowd) and by attempting to penetrate the crowd given officer safety. Private security must be part of the pre-incident planning meetings.	Included in OPD Training Bulletin III-G	Adopted
	5. In the pre-incident planning conduct a risk analysis of the event to determine the sufficient number of law enforcement and public safety personnel.	Included in OPD Training Bulletin III-G	Adopted
	6. As standard procedure, consider the use of multiple arrests before deploying chemical agents.	Included in OPD Training Bulletin III-G	Adopted
	7. Dispersal orders need to be given in a manner reasonably believed to be heard and understood by the intended audience including: documentation of the orders at time given and clear instructions on where people are to disperse when public transit is unavailable. Also included in the recommendations is the Oakland Police Department should obtain a better public address system and repeat their dispersal orders	Included in OPD Training Bulletin III-G	Adopted

	every city block.		
2005 Policies/ Incidents	Recommendations	OPD Responses	Status
Ruses	1. The Board recommended OPD develop a policy regarding the creation, management, and implementation of ruses.	Declined	Not Adopted
2006 Policies/ Incidents	Recommendations	OPD Responses	Status
Landlord/Tenant	1. The Board recommended OPD provide training to its officers on the landlord/tenant law.	Initial training occurred in officer line-ups and more formal training is being developed	Adopted in Part
2007 Policies/ Incidents	Recommendations	OPD Response	Status
Vehicle Pursuits Policy	The CPRB engaged the public in a discussion on police vehicle pursuits in a policy hearing held on February 8, 2007. At this hearing, the Board proposed six policy recommendations to improve Oakland Police Department's policy on police vehicle pursuits. Those recommendations are summarized as the following:		
	1. OPD should develop a more restrictive vehicle pursuit policy to permit the pursuit of fleeing suspects for "violent felonies only" based on a standard of reasonable suspicion. An exception should be made for all misdemeanors firearm related violations. Officer can pursue under this exception based on a standard of probable cause.	Included in OPD Department General Order J-4 (May 30, 2007) Pursuits may be initiated when there is a reasonable suspicion that a person committed a felony or a firearms related offence, or is a dangerous driver under the influence (DUI) and when there is no immediate unreasonable threat to the public or the officer. The person must clearly exhibit intent to avoid arrest by refusing to stop.	Adopted in Part
	2. OPD should increase the number of hours spent on teaching critical decision making skills.	Included in Departmental General Order J-4	Adopted

	3. OPD should review methods of officer accountability and compliance with pursuit policies.	Included in Departmental General Order J-4	Adopted
	4. OPD should review its pursuit tactics and technology for effectiveness and identify new technologies used by other jurisdictions.	Included in Departmental General Order J-4 (helicopter support) and Training Bulletin III-B.9 (May 30, 2007)	Adopted
	5. OPD should review the adequacy of its data collection and analysis regarding police pursuits.	Included in Departmental General Order J-4	Adopted
	6. CPRB proposed the creation of a Vehicle Pursuit Task Force with representatives from the CPRB, Community Police Advisory Board (CPAB), and People United for a Better Oakland (PUEBLO) as well as other community participants. The Task Force was formed to consider and officer opinions on the proposed recommendations.	The task force met for three meetings and created recommendations.	Adopted
Officer Recusal Policy	An officer should consider the possible appearance of impropriety in dealing with situation where he or she may be personally involved. In civil or criminal matters where an officer has a personal interest, the officer should consider recusing himself from participating in the investigation of the case if he/she is on duty and should consider calling a superior officer to handle the matter. When an officer is off-duty and deciding whether to become personally involved in an incident or call in which he/she has a personal interest, he/she should consider calling a sergeant or superior officer to respond to the scene to avoid the appearance of impropriety.		Adopted
2008 Policies/ Incidents	Recommendations	OPD Response	Status
The following three recommendations were accepted by	These recommendations are offered as additions to current police department policies		

<p>the Board on June 26, 2008. These recommendations were offered after an evidentiary hearing was held on an in-custody death complaint.</p>	<p>Introduction To ensure the safety of both peace officers and prisoners, and always maintain control, prisoners should always be positioned properly within the vehicle for transporting.</p> <p>General Procedures Certain procedures always apply when positioning prisoners for transportation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prisoners should be placed in and removed from a vehicle in a way that maintains control and advantage over the prisoner. • A second officer, if available, should act as a cover officer while the prisoner is being placed in the vehicle. 		
	<p>1. Prisoners should be seated in an upright position and wear seat belts during transportation. Seat belts help restrain the prisoner and increase the safety of the prisoner in case of an accident and decrease the likelihood of the prisoner gaining access to contraband or a weapon hidden on their person.</p>	<p>The use of safety belts for prisoners was not accepted because of the safety concerns for the officer while reaching across the prisoner's body during seat belting and the cost of installing seat belts in the back seat of many OPD vehicles.</p>	<p>Not Adopted.</p>
	<p>2. Proper placement of the prisoner in the vehicle is crucial for officer and prisoner safety purposes. Prisoners should be positioned in the vehicle to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Ensure the safety and welfare of the officers and prisoners. b. Allow for clear observation of the prisoners c. If transporting officers does not have a partner or cover officer to assist with transport, the prisoner should be placed in the right rear passenger seat. If the transporting officer has a partner or cover officer to assist with transport, the prisoner should be placed in left the left rear passenger seat. 		<p>Adopted</p>

	<p>3. Peace officers must observe prisoners closely while transporting them. When transporting a prisoner:</p> <p>a. An officer should assume that any prisoner could do any of the following: escape, attempt to destroy concealed evidence, and be a potential threat to officer safety.</p> <p>b. If available, have a backup or cover officer in the vehicle to closely monitor the prisoner during transport.</p>		Adopted
2009 Policies/ Incidents	Recommendations	OPD Response	Status
Availability of Less Lethal Weapons	1. Bean bag rounds and the accompanying 12 gauge shotguns should be made available to and carried by all Oakland Police Department patrol sergeants and other designated personnel.	Not Noted	Adopted in Part
Availability of Less Lethal Weapons From the City Administrator Lineup Training on Domestic Disputes (potential gender bias)	<p>2. OPD should make a concerted effort to train and equip all patrol sergeants and other designated personnel in the use of "Drag Stabilizer Flexible Baton Rounds" (bean bag rounds) which are fired from a 12 gauge shotgun.</p> <p>The City Administrator recommends that the Chief of Police work with CPRB in designing additional domestic violence training at lineups.</p>	Not Noted	Status Unknown
2010 Policies/ Incidents	Recommendations	OPD Response	Status
Language Access to OPD Services	OPD to provide language access services when encountering a limited English proficient (LEP) person consistent with federal, state, and local laws.		
Police Response to Sexual Assaults – Minors	1. If parents themselves are suspects, they should not be present during the child's interview	Included in Training Bulletin VIII-R.	Adopted
Police Response to Sexual Assaults – Minors No Covert Recordings	2. If parents are overly emotional and frighten the child, they should not be present during the interview.	In majority of cases, officers obtain a probable cause statement from the victim. An in depth interview is left for the child abuse	Pending
	3. Officers should never conduct the interview alone.		Not Adopted

	There should be NO covert recordings when a complainant specifically requests than interview is not recorded.	investigator, who coordinates with the victim, the victim's family, Child Protective Services, and the Child Abuse Listening and Interviewing Coordinator Center. Interviews are taped and recorded. The Negotiated Settlement Agreement mandates that the Internal Affairs Division of OPD record interviews.	
Vehicle Pursuits	Vehicle pursuits should only be initiated for violent felonies and violent firearm offences.	OPD adopted a new vehicle pursuit policy on January 2011 which does not include CPRB's recommendation.	Not Adopted
2011 Policies/ Incidents	Recommendations	OPD Response	Status
Maintain Updated Community Resource Lists Define what constitutes a "pattern of misconduct"	1. Replace old pamphlets with those from SEEDS Community Resolution Center.	Adopted in Full	Adopted
	2. Update the TF 3083 Resource Guide.		
	3. Mention the changes at the line-up training		
	4. Amend DGO M-3.1 to provide a quantitative definition of a "pattern of misconduct."		
Align OPD police on dog shootings with ASPCA recommendations	Adopt a training bulletin on the use of force against animals	Not Adopted	Not Adopted/Further Review Pending
Require Validation of CORPUS data	1. Adopt a training bulletin on the verification of parole status based on the Alameda County Sheriff's Department Training Bulletin 07-13.	Not Adopted	Not Adopted/Pending

	2. Discuss at line-up training.	Adopted in part	Adopted in part
Retrain Officers to Recognize Hate Crimes	1. Require officers to review relevant policies and take an examination.	Adopted in Full	Adopted
	2. Give training at line-ups.		
	3. Consider inclusion at next in-service training.		
2012 Policies/ Incidents	Recommendations	OPD Response	Status
Custody of Bicycles	Revise OPD Departmental General Order J-1, section B, to include the following language, underlined below: <i>"B. If an arrested person has possession of a bicycle that is not connected with the offense, the arresting officer shall attempt to get the arrestee's permission to release it to a responsible person and get the name and address by some identifying document of the person to whom the bicycle was released, and include this information in the police report. If such arrangements cannot be made, the officer shall take the bicycle in for safekeeping, note that information on the arrest and offense reports, and order an extra copy of the report package for the Bicycle Unit."</i>	Not Noted	Not Adopted as of 12/16/15 revision of DGO K 4.1
Use of Canines	When K-9 officers make an announcement to release a dog to perform a search they will:	Not Noted	Exists in Part
	1. Make the announcement at each residence location as the canine begins searching that area, allowing people and children to enter their homes and to retrieve their pets safely.		
	2. Make the announcement loud enough so that people in proximity to the canine can reasonably hear it. Use the PA system in patrol cars when available.		
Obsolete Brochure	Officers should stop distributing the pamphlet on Conciliation Forums of Oakland, as the organization no	Not Noted	Adopted

	longer exists and the phone number has been disconnected. Officers should obtain updated information from SEEDS Community Resolution Center and distribute its brochure in cases of neighbor disputes.		
Hate Crimes	1. OPD officers should receive additional training and information about the existing OPD Departmental policy related to hate crimes.	Not Noted	Status Unknown
	2. Information about the OPD hate crime policy should be distributed throughout the police department.	Not Noted	Status Unknown
Clarity and Accuracy in Reporting	1. Police officers should not write, "No witnesses" in a report unless they are certain of that fact. An officer should list all witnesses in her report. If the officer does not know whether there were witnesses, she should either refrain from commenting on the presence of witnesses or write "no known witnesses."	Not Noted	Status Unknown
	2. When an officer of evidence technician is tasked with photographing an individual, they should ensure that the photographs capture any injuries that individual sustained. For example, the photographer should remove spit masks covering an individual's face, if doing so does not violate privacy or create safety risks.	Not Noted	Status Unknown
	3. Use of Force reporting should contain specific information about not only what kind of force was used, but also how that force was employed and why it was necessary.		
2013 Policies/ Incidents	Recommendations	OPD Response	Status
Participation in OPD's Major Incident and Force Review Board	OPD Department General Order k-4.1, Force Review and Executive Force Review Board should be amended to include the CPRB Executive Director as a non-voting member of OPD's Major Incident Board of Review and Force Review and Executive Force Review Boards.	N/A	Pending

Policy and Training Recommendations Arising out of Occupy Oakland as of 3/19/13			
In Re Susie Cagle case #12-0158	1. Recommendation that OPD and the City of Oakland work on updating their definition of the Press		
	2. Recommendation Reiterated for an OPD Policy to be developed regarding videotaping, photographing, and filming of officers by the general public.		
Chanin & Burris (In Re Hargraves case #11-1177)	1. Recommendation that OPD expand the instances when a PDRD must be activated by an Officer		
	2. Recommendation that OPD develop a separate PDRD MOR Violation		
	3. Training Recommendation that OPD develop a training document for commanders, with the highlights of crowd control policy rules and regulations for delivery prior to engagement with protestors		
In Re Kayvan Sabehgi case #11-1179	1. Training Recommendation for IAD Investigators re: preconceived notions of officer misconduct		
	2. Reiteration of Policy Recommendation for the use of PDRDs		
	3. Reiteration of policy recommendation for omitting a lone mass use of force report writer		
	4. Policy recommendation for the mass use of force policy to be in writing		
In Re Scott Caompbell Case #11-1193	1. Recommendation for in-depth K-3 Policy training on the use of force in line-in-the-sand order situations and immediate threats		
2014 Incidents	Recommendations	OPD Response	Status
Cross-Jurisdiction Misconduct Reporting	In process TEVELSON: REWRITE/REVISE REQUEST (2/11/16)	N/A	Not Adopted
Limiting Officers with Problematic Complaint Histories from Certain Planned Crowd Control Assignments	Under discussion	N/A	Pending

Special Committee on Post-Copley Hearing Procedures	Establish a process that the board members can more actively engage in the discussion and selection of cases for hearing	According to CPRB, "...Ordinance N. 12454, the CPRB members had the ability to provide input in the selection of cases for hearing. This policy change gives the opportunity for the board to engage in and participate more in the discussion for cases selected for hearing.	Process confirmed by City Ordinance.
2015 Incidents	Recommendations	OPD Response	Status
Interrupted PDRD footage/lack of pertinent footage (CPRB 12/10/2015 re Davis, #15-0032) (CPRB 5/12/16 re Lupian, #15-0483)	Requested clarification regarding when PDRDs are to be activated or deactivated and the exceptions as to when officers must have their PDRDs on under OPD policy, DGO I-15.1. In particular, has training been provided to clarify 1) whether the serving of a search or arrest warrant, which requires the PDRD activation, includes all activity from the arrival on the scene (i.e., before actually presenting the search/arrest warrant) to the officers' departure; and 2) what is deemed to be a discussion of "administrative, tactical or law enforcement sensitive information" necessitating the deactivation of PDRDs.		Emails to Training and IAD; 4/19/16 letter to Chief Whent Adopted as part of revised PDRD policy DGO I 15.1 7/16/15
Video surveillance in the PAB lobby (CPRB 12/10/2015 re McNaulty, #14-0996)		THIS IS NOT A POLICY MATTER	N/A
2016 Incidents	Recommendations	OPD Response	Status
Removal of hijab by a male officer (CPRB 1/28/2016 re Zelko, #15-0191)	New policy regarding search of religious headwear		4/19/16 letter with draft policy to Chief Whent
Insufficient filming of pre- and post-search sites (CPRB 2/11/2016 re	New/revised policy regarding required (not encouraged) filming/documentation of properties, pre- and post-search... (see Training		4/19/16 letter to Chief Whent

Dorsey, #15-0189)	Bulletin IV-O "Investigative Procedure for Search Warrant Service") AND require steps to improve the quality of video taken by officers (e.g., lighting, pace)		
Documentation and recordkeeping during multijurisdictional searches (CPRB 2/11/2016 re Dorsey, #15-0189)	New policy re multijurisdictional searches to improve the documentation of the role(s)/task(s) of each entity/staff; and the preservation or listing of supporting documents (warrants, police reports, PDRDs, other recordings, photographs, and operational plans) for multijurisdictional operations		4/19/16 email from AF to K. Coleman
No ability to review affidavits in support of search warrants allegedly obtained illegally/improperly (CPRB 2/11/2016 re Carson/Lewis, #15-0185) (CPRB 2/11/2016 re Kessee-Bridges, #15-0222)	THIS IS A MATTER TO DISCUSS WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, NOT CPD.		N/A
Witness statements (CPRB 2/25/2016 re J. Lopez, #15-0247)	TRAINING ISSUE (for Executive Director Finnell to follow up); Observations or other details not provided by a witness should not be included in his/her official witness statement; those details should be included in the supplemental portion of the officer's police report THIS IS NOT A POLICY MATTER		N/A
Police identification (CPRB 3/24/2016 re City Administrator response to CPRB recommendations re B. Jones, #15-0221)	Revised policy requiring officers to provide badge numbers <i>as soon as feasibly possible</i> when requested by a civilian		N/A
Police documentation of basis for a stop (CPRB 4/14/2016 re McGee, #15-0604)	Documentation of the basis for a police stop (e.g., PDRD videotape of a non-functioning brake light) could refute allegations of police misrepresentations and/or racial profiling by demonstrating the specific facts and circumstances that support reasonable suspicion or probable cause for stops		4/19/16 letter to Chief Whent

Language access: statements given in a foreign language (CPRB 5/12/16 re Lupian, #15-0483)	An oral statement provided in a foreign language by a person with limited English proficiency should be written in the person's primary language/language spoken before obtaining his/her review and signature. (See Training Bulletin VIII-R "Language Access")		5/##/16 letter to Chief Whent
--	--	--	-------------------------------

DRAFT