



CITIZENS' POLICE REVIEW BOARD
Meeting of Thursday, June 25, 2015 - 6:15 P.M.
 City Council Chambers, Third Floor
 ONE FRANK H. OGAWA PLAZA, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA
 (510) 238-3159

	Attachments
I. Call to Order	
II. Roll Call	
III. Minutes	
A. June 11, 2015	1
IV. Open Forum	(10 minutes)
V. Director's Report	(5 minutes)
A. Announcements	
B. Pending Cases as of June 18, 2015	2
VI. Agenda Discussion	(5 minutes)
VII. Closed Session: New Business	(120 minutes)

A. Cases Proposed for Administrative Closure / Pursuant to Ordinance No. 12454 C.M.S. section 6, paragraph G subsection 9, hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process; and that no good cause is shown for further action.

1. Based on the findings of the investigation

Case No. 14-0654, Deborah Marie Seymour

- a. Complainant's Comment
- b. Staff Report
- c. Discussion
- d. Action

Complainant alleged that OPD officers conducted an unlawful probation search of her residence where her son and nephew (both are probationers) reside with her and that the officers improperly searched her closet, were rude to her son, and removed part fo a wall in the attic.

Rationale for administrative closure – The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Exonerated** to allegation #1 as the investigation reveals that the act or acts which provided the basis for the complaint occurred, however the acts were justified, lawful and/or proper and not violations under law and/or departmental policy.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Unfounded** to allegation #2 as the investigation disclosed sufficient evidence to determine that the alleged conduct was not conducted by Oakland Police officers.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint on the basis a hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process and that no good cause has been shown for further action.

Case No. 14-0797, Stoney Joseph Curtis

- a. Complainant's Comment
- b. Staff Report
- c. Discussion
- d. Action

Complainant alleged that OPD officers inappropriately and dangerously approached Complainant and his family members, including children, with their guns drawn, and screaming at them to put their hands up. Complainant feels that the should have known they were not the suspects the police sought. Complainant feels they were detained merely for being the first group of Blacks the officers spotted.

Rationale for administrative closure – The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Exonerated** to allegation #1 for Officer #1 as the investigation reveals that the act or acts which provided the basis for the complaint occurred, however the acts were justified, lawful and/or proper and not violations under law and/or departmental policy.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Unfounded** to allegation #1 for Officers #2 and #3 as the investigation disclosed sufficient evidence to determine that the alleged conduct did not occur.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Exonerated** to allegation #2 for Officer #1 as the investigation reveals that the act or acts which provided the basis for the complaint occurred, however the acts were justified, lawful and/or proper and not violations under law and/or departmental policy.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Unfounded** to allegation #2 for Officers #2 and #3 as the investigation disclosed sufficient evidence to determine that the alleged conduct did not occur.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **No Finding** to allegation #3 as insufficient information was provided to the Citizens' Police Review Board Investigator or could be obtained by the Citizens' Police Review Board Investigator to examine this issue.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint on the basis a hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process and that no good cause has been shown for further action.

- Case No. 14-0547, Felicia Easterling
- a. Complainant's Comment
 - b. Staff Report
 - c. Discussion
 - d. Action

Complainant alleged that an OPD officer pulled her over for a missing front license plate. Complainant alleged the OPD officer was rude to her and her family and harassed them. Complainant alleged that the OPD officer improperly gave her a car seat citation.

Rationale for administrative closure – The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Exonerated** to allegation #1 as the investigation reveals that the act or acts which provided the basis for the complaint occurred, however the acts were justified, lawful and/or proper and not violations under law and/or departmental policy.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Not Sustained** to allegation #2 as the investigation failed to disclose a preponderance of the evidence to either prove or disprove the allegation made in the complaint.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Unfounded** to allegation #3 as the investigation disclosed sufficient evidence to determine that the alleged conduct did not occur.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint on the basis a hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process and that no good cause has been shown for further action.

Case No. 14-0594, Gary Diggs

- a. Complainant's Comment
- b. Staff Report
- c. Discussion
- d. Action

Complainant alleged that OPD officers falsely arrested him for domestic violence against his girlfriend. Complainant further alleged that OPD officers were rude and condescending to him.

Rationale for administrative closure – The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Exonerated** to allegation #1 as the investigation reveals that the act or acts which provided the basis for the complaint occurred, however the acts were justified, lawful and/or proper and not violations under law and/or departmental policy.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint with a finding of **Unfounded** to allegation #2 as the investigation disclosed sufficient evidence to determine that the alleged conduct did not occur.

The CPRB staff recommends administrative closure of this complaint on the basis a hearing would not facilitate the fact-finding process and that no good cause has been shown for further action.

B. Evidentiary Hearing, CPRB Case #14-0662, Trevel Adanandus, Sr., Trevel Adanandus, Jr., marquis Ewing, Ronie Gage, and Derick May

- a. Public Comment Prior to the Commencement of the Closed Hearing
- b. Closed Hearing
- c. Closed Session Deliberations

XIII. Open Session

Disclosure of non-confidential closed session matters (5 minutes)

XIV. Adjournment

NOTE: Meetings of the Citizens' Police Review Board are accessible. Individuals requesting alternate format materials and/or auxiliary aids and services must do so no later than five working days before the scheduled meeting date, otherwise it may not be possible to arrange a specific accommodation. Such requests should be addressed to the Citizens' Police Review Board, 250 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor, Suite 6302, Oakland, CA 94612, or by telephone by calling (510) 238-3159; TTY/TDD (238-2007).

Because some persons are sensitive to certain chemicals, persons attending this meeting are requested to refrain from wearing scented products.

CPRB MISSION STATEMENT

The Citizens' Police Review Board strives to provide the community with a public forum to voice its concerns on policy matters and individual cases alleging police misconduct, through a mechanism of independent, impartial, fair, and transparent civilian oversight.